

Comments on “Development and Validation of a META-Algorithm to Identify the Indications of Use of Biological Drugs Approved for the Treatment of Immune-Mediated Inflammatory Diseases from Claims Databases: Insights from the VALORE Project” [Letter]

Fitri Rokhmalia¹, Dwi Krihariyani², Sari Luthfiyah³, T Triwiyanto⁴

¹Department of Environmental Health, Poltekkes Kemenkes Surabaya, Surabaya, Indonesia; ²Department of Medical Laboratory Technology, Poltekkes Kemenkes Surabaya, Surabaya, Indonesia; ³Department of Nursing, Poltekkes Kemenkes Surabaya, Surabaya, Indonesia; ⁴Department of Medical Electronics Technology, Poltekkes Kemenkes Surabaya, Surabaya, Indonesia

Correspondence: T Triwiyanto, Department of Medical Electronics Technology, Poltekkes Kemenkes, Jl. Pucang Jajar Timur No. 10, Surabaya, East Java, Indonesia, Tel +628155126883, Fax +6231-5025609, Email triwi@poltekkesdepkes-sby.ac.id; triwiyanto123@gmail.com

Dear editor

With great interest, we read the article entitled “Development and Validation of a META-Algorithm to Identify the Indications of Use of Biological Drugs Approved for the Treatment of Immune-Mediated Inflammatory Diseases from Claims Databases: Insights from the VALORE Project” by Spini et al published in Clinical Epidemiology which discusses the development and validation of a META-Algorithm to identify indications for the use of approved biological drugs for the treatment of immune-mediated inflammatory diseases.¹ This study makes a significant contribution in the field of healthcare, especially clinical epidemiology, where significant levels of specificity and accuracy were achieved, with values exceeding 0.70 for each indication considered. The findings presented in this study shed light on the importance of follow-up and hindsight periods in accurately identifying indications for use, especially showing the impact of different time frames on sensitivity levels across different immune-mediated inflammatory diseases.

However, despite the strengths of this study, there are some important limitations to note. Firstly, the META-Algorithm was unable to identify the indications for use of approximately 5% of biologic drug users, which highlights potential gaps in the algorithm’s coverage. Secondly, potential misclassification of indications, such as the use of certolizumab in patients with inflammatory bowel disease, poses a challenge to the accuracy of the algorithm. Additionally, the inclusion of codes for Juvenile Idiopathic Arthritis and Still’s disease in the RA algorithm without separate identification may lead to potential confusion. Finally, the lack of consideration for censoring biological treatment discontinuation during follow-up raises concerns about the algorithm’s ability to accurately capture ongoing treatment patterns.

For further research, we recommend several suggestions for improvement and future research efforts based on the findings of this study. First, improving the coverage and accuracy of the META-Algorithm to reduce the percentage of missing indications and minimize misclassification should be a priority.² Secondly, conducting further sensitivity analysis to explore the impact of different algorithm parameters on validity estimation may provide valuable findings for algorithm refinement.³ In addition, considering the implications of treatment discontinuation and implementing strategies to address them in the algorithm design will enhance its applicability in real-world clinical settings.⁴ Finally, collaborating with

healthcare providers and stakeholders to validate the algorithm's performance in diverse patient populations and healthcare settings can strengthen its generalizability and utility.

In conclusion, the quantitative performance metrics and insightful findings from this study emphasize the importance of developing robust algorithms to identify indications for biologic drug use in clinical practice. By addressing critical limitations, implementing recommendations for improvement, and initiating future research efforts, the field of clinical epidemiology can continue to evolve towards more accurate and reliable methods to optimize patient care and treatment outcomes.

Disclosure

The authors declare no competing interests in this communication.

References

1. Spini A, L'Abbate L, Ingrassiotta Y, et al. Development and Validation of a META-Algorithm to Identify the Indications of Use of Biological Drugs Approved for the Treatment of Immune-Mediated Inflammatory Diseases from Claims Databases: insights from the VALORE Project. *Clin Epidemiol.* 2024;16:395–407. doi:10.2147/CLEP.S445120
2. Ingrassiotta Y, Spini A, L'Abbate L, et al. Comparing clinical trial population representativeness to real-world users of 17 biologics approved for immune-mediated inflammatory diseases: an external validity analysis of 66,639 biologic users from the Italian VALORE project. *Pharmacol Res.* 2024;200:107074. doi:10.1016/j.phrs.2024.107074
3. Monteleone G, Moscardelli A, Colella A, Marafini I, Salvatori S. Immune-mediated inflammatory diseases: common and different pathogenic and clinical features. *Autoimmune Rev.* 2023;22(10):103410. doi:10.1016/j.autrev.2023.103410
4. Jourdain H, Hoisnard L, Sbidian E, Zureik M. Persistence and safety of anti-TNF biosimilars versus originators in immune-mediated inflammatory diseases: an observational study on the French National Health Data System. *RMD Open.* 2024;10(1):e003531. doi:10.1136/rmdopen-2023-003531

Dove Medical Press encourages responsible, free and frank academic debate. The content of the Clinical Epidemiology 'letters to the editor' section does not necessarily represent the views of Dove Medical Press, its officers, agents, employees, related entities or the Clinical Epidemiology editors. While all reasonable steps have been taken to confirm the content of each letter, Dove Medical Press accepts no liability in respect of the content of any letter, nor is it responsible for the content and accuracy of any letter to the editor.

Clinical Epidemiology

Dovepress

Publish your work in this journal

Clinical Epidemiology is an international, peer-reviewed, open access, online journal focusing on disease and drug epidemiology, identification of risk factors and screening procedures to develop optimal preventative initiatives and programs. Specific topics include: diagnosis, prognosis, treatment, screening, prevention, risk factor modification, systematic reviews, risk & safety of medical interventions, epidemiology & biostatistical methods, and evaluation of guidelines, translational medicine, health policies & economic evaluations. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use.

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/clinical-epidemiology-journal>

<https://doi.org/10.2147/CLEP.S481983>