Melorheostosis associated with peripheral form spondyloarthropathy: new image with 18-fluoride positron emission tomoscintigraphy coupled to computed tomography
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Abstract: Melorheostosis is a rare bone pathology which can be responsible for incapacitating pain and bone deformations. Its imaging abnormalities are often typical. We describe here the case of a patient with melorheostosis involving the lower limbs, associated with a peripheral form of inflammatory spondyloarthropathy, who underwent 18FNa positron emission tomography coupled to a computed tomography scan. Our objective is to present this new image, to show the value of this new modality and emphasize its advantages compared to the 99mTechnetium bone scan.
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Introduction
Melorheostosis is a rare bone disease easy to identify on plain radiographies. The extent of the disease is usually studied using a whole-body 99mTc-diphosphonates bone scan. We report here the case of a patient with a suspected associated diagnosis of peripheral form inflammatory spondyloarthropathy, who underwent an 18FNa positron emission tomography (PET) coupled with computed tomography (CT). This new modality of whole-body imaging is based on an old, excellent bone radionuclide agent, in which interest is rising with the spread of PET-CT machines, and which will certainly become a very interesting tool for bone scanning in the near future. To the best of our knowledge, this is a new image in the medical literature.

Case report
The patient was a 36-year-old man with no significant previous disease except a gastric ulcer. He suffered from chronic right foot heel pain. He underwent radiographs that showed a cortical hyperostosis involving almost the entire right femur, the right tibia and the right foot (Figure 1A–E). These results were considered as typical of melorheostosis.

A 99mTc-diphosphonates bone scan ([HMDP bone scan] Infinia™ Hawkeye®; General Electric Healthcare, Chalfont St. Giles, UK) was performed to study the extent of the disease and showed an extensive increased uptake of nuclides consistent with the radiographic abnormalities on the right limb, and revealed a similar uptake in the left femur and tibia. The pubis bones were also both involved (Figure 2).
Figure 1 (A) and (B): anteroposterior and lateral views of the right femur showing dripping cortical hyperostosis. (C) and (D): anteroposterior and lateral views of the right tibia evidencing tibial cortical hyperostosis. (E): lateral view of the right foot showing heterogeneous osseous condensation of the heel.
Over the next 5 years, the evolution of the condition was marked by an increase in pain and its extension to both the lower limbs, with a persistent predilection for the right side.

As there is no curative treatment available, a wide range of analgesic treatments were tested, including the nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs gabapentin and amitriptyline, with unsatisfactory results. Intravenous diphosphonates (pamidronate) were introduced but resulted in little pain relief. The patient became unable to continue his work as a warehouseman.

At this time, because of its refractory state, an association with a peripheral form of inflammatory spondyloarthropathy was suspected. The patient underwent a magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of his right foot which demonstrated an Achilles tendon enthesopathy. The patient was then referred to our center for a PET combined with CT examination in order to detect other sites of inflammatory enthesopathy. Results are depicted in Figure 3A–E. Lesions of melorheostosis were evidenced again as a strongly increased uptake of nuclides in the same localization as the HMDP bone scan, consistent with the hyperostosis seen on the CT images. Achilles enthesopathy was confirmed and an increased uptake of nuclides in the tibial tuberosity was shown. Such enthesopathies were compatible with the diagnosis of inflammatory spondyloarthropathy, although the patient was negative for the HLA B27 antigen and methotrexate was thus prescribed to the patient with a relief in the ankle pain.

Discussion
Melorheostosis, also named Lérid’s disease after the author who first described it in 1922, is a rare mesenchymal dysplasia, with about 300 cases reported so far in the literature. It is a benign, sporadic pathology causing abnormalities of the bones and soft tissues. Its incidence is estimated at 0.9 per million, with no sex preference. It usually begins during childhood, with 40% to 50% of cases diagnosed before the age of 20, and flares during the teenage years. There are several hypotheses about its etiology: the most frequent explanation is that a root nerve insult resulted in bone scarring along the corresponding embryonal metamer.

The usual clinical presentation consists of chronic bone pain and joint contractures, with episodes of exacerbation, often associated with soft tissue abnormalities such as edema, cutaneous manifestations (spots of pigmented skin, circumscribed or linear scleroderma), fibrosis, or...
Figure 3 Sagittal plane of the right lower limb (A) and coronal planes of both lower limbs (B and C). The PET images (on the left) evidence increased uptake of nuclides, the CT images in bone window show the cortical thickening of the bones (center). Fusion of both techniques is shown on the right. Maximum Intensity Projection of the whole body PET data (D and E).
As there is no report of melorheostosis imaged with 18FNa PET-CT examination that was made of the patient, as there is no report of melorheostosis imaged with 18FNa in the literature to the best of our knowledge. The patient underwent this procedure because of the suspected diagnosis of peripheral form inflammatory spondyloarthropathy. Signs of this pathology are widely searched for with centered MRI studies, which have a high sensitivity in detecting bone edema and enthesitis. Whole-body diffusion (T1 and STIR sequences) MRI has recently been used as a screening tool to detect axial and peripheral signs of spondyloarthropathy.10

The 18F fludeoxyglucose (18FDG) PET-CT has also been proven valuable in the diagnosis of spondyloarthropathy with enthesitis.11 Some centers, like ours, are currently evaluating the use of 18FNa PET-CT in this field, which, at least in this case, was valuable for this diagnosis of spondyloarthropathy. 18FNa is an old bone-scanning agent that was used in nuclear medicine in the 1970s.12 At this time, it was considered an excellent radiopharmaceutical agent for skeletal imaging, with a fast and high bone uptake along with a rapid blood clearance, allowing a high bone-to-background-ratio in less than an hour after intravenous injection.

However, its use suffered from two limitations. The first was the high-energy 511 keV annihilation photons produced by the decay of the 18FNa, when gamma cameras were mostly optimized for 140 keV photons. With the introduction in the early 1970s of 99mTc-labeled bone imaging agents (polychlorides and, later, pyrophosphates) and the increasing availability of 140 keV optimized gamma cameras, bone scan became one of the most performed examinations in nuclear medicine. In the 1990s, 99mTc-diphosphonates, with faster blood clearance, became the standard radiopharmaceutical agents for bone scans.

The second reason was the shorter 18FNa half-life of 110 minutes causing difficulties in the efficiency of the production and delivery chains, while 99mTc is easy to handle. Indeed, 99mTc, whose half-life is about 6 hours, is produced by the decay of 99Mo (Molybdenum), with a half-life of 66 hours. Nuclear Medicine Centers receive a bottle of 99Mo every week, which is enough to have technetium available every day. Those bottles are the so-called “technetium cows” and permit an easy chemical separation of Mo and Tc.

In the early 90s, 18FNa made a come-back in the development of whole-body PET for research and development techniques, then, in the late 2000s, in clinical use.

Nowadays, as 18FDG PET is becoming a routine examination in oncology, PET cameras with greater spatial resolution and physical sensitivity than conventional gamma cameras have become widely available. PET examination is now always coupled to a CT scan for hybrid imaging, which can go from a 4 detector CT for the older systems to a last-generation CT for the most recent ones. 18FNa also benefits from the improvements in the production and delivery system driven by the widespread use of 18FDG techniques.

Recently, it has been proved that 18FNa PET is superior to classic HMDP bone scans for the assessment of malignant or benign bone diseases.13–15 PET provides a higher spatial resolution, offering both a better sensitivity and specificity.
for the diagnostic. Unlike the HMDP bone scan, whose main drawback is a lesser sensitivity for spine lesions, $^{18}$FNa PET sensitivity is not influenced by the anatomical localizations of bone lesions.

The PET examination is coupled with a low-dose CT-scan which allows attenuation correction of the PET emission data and accurate anatomic diagnosis.

The CT images themselves are often under-rated for diagnostic purposes.

In the field of bone diseases, the CT data are valuable and can replace the need for a standard bone CT-scan or for plain radiographs if not yet performed.16

Thus, the $^{18}$FNa PET-CT is a hybrid technique that brings the best of both imaging worlds to patient care.

In the case of melorheostosis, the PET can replace the HMDP bone scan to assess the topography and extent of the uptake, while the CT part can study hyperostosis, bone deformities, soft-tissue abnormalities and ossifications. Inflammation in the surrounding tissues could be demonstrated with a complementary CT localized acquisition or MRI coupled to a contrast agent.

Regarding the associated diagnosis of spondyloarthropathy, PET is also able to assess enthesitis in the peripheral form with the $^{18}$FDG radiotracer and probably even better with the $^{18}$FNa.

From a practical point of view, the $^{18}$FNa PET-CT is a procedure that takes less than 120 minutes, including patient management and imaging acquisition time. After the intravenous administration of the radionuclide, the rapid uptake in bones and fast clearance from the blood allow image recording to start 20 minutes later. Acquisition times can be set to 3 minutes by step on the trunk and upper limbs, then to 1 minute and 30 seconds on the lower limbs. PET steps are about 15 to 20 cm according to the axial field of view of the PET device. Thus, whole body scan is performed for an average adult patient in no more than 30 to 35 minutes. The CT part of the examination takes no more than 1 minute. It compares favorably with the overall duration of a $^{99m}$Tc bone scan of about 4 to 5 hours, including patient management.

The duration is also shorter than a whole-body diffusion (T1 and STIR) MRI examination with a total imaging time for an average patient of 65 minutes.10

Concerning the radiation dose, the injected activity for the $^{18}$FNa PET can be reduced to 2 to 3 MBq/kg due to the high sensitivity of new PET detectors.17 The effective radiation dose is only slightly higher to that received during a bone scintigraphy (4 mSv for an average adult of 70 kg for the PET-CT versus 3 mSv for the bone scintigraphy).17 This slight increase in the radiation dose is well counterbalanced by the numerous advantages of the PET.

In conclusion, we strongly believe that $^{18}$FNa PET imaging will soon become a new standard for bone scanning in the years to come. Its greater sensitivity is an advance in diagnosis. The combination with CT makes it a great tool for the practitioner. Its fast realization, compared to the classic HMDP bone scan or whole body MRI, is very convenient for the patient.
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