

Re: Reduction of Inflammatory RANTES/CCL5 Serum Levels by Surgery in Patients with Bone Marrow Defects of the Jawbone [Response to Letter]

Joé Diederich ¹, Hendrik Schwagten², Georges Biltgen³, Johann Lechner ⁴, Kurt E Müller⁵

¹Center for General, Clinical Environmental and Functional Medicine, Colmar-Berg, Luxembourg; ²Private Practice, Center for Biological Dentistry, Luxembourg-City, Luxembourg; ³Private Practice, Center for Biological Dentistry, Diekirch, Luxembourg; ⁴Private Practice, Centre for Integrative Dentistry, Munich, Germany; ⁵Dermatology, Clinical Environmental Medicine, Functional Medicine, Preventive Medicine, Dresden International University, Kempten, Germany

Correspondence: Joé Diederich, 4b, Avenue G. Smith, Colmar-Berg, L-7740, Luxembourg, Tel +352 268866-1, Fax +352 268866-66, Email diederichjo@gmail.com

Dear editor

We appreciate the response from our colleague Dr Keith regarding our paper, although it barely touches the statements of our publication.

Our main interest was to share our findings and observations, precisely the variations of RANTES/CCL5, whose relevance is sustained in numerous papers, in relation to different interventions versus no intervention. We ourselves are continuing to evaluate the parameter as well as the interventions and keep getting corresponding correlations.

The clinical improvement was not part of the study, and we certainly do not limit our patients' therapeutic options to dental treatments. Nevertheless, we cannot ignore that we see more clinical improvement if we integrate dentistry.

Furthermore, our paper did not contain any treatment indication, as we think that a treatment plan should always be individually discussed and adapted to a number of variables.

We esteem a controversial discussion should be welcomed in medicine and in science in general, to allow for the best patient-centered approach. As for the BMDJ, a German expert opinion report has certainly dampened the BMDJ controversy since 2021.¹

Open-mindedness and pattern recognition are important skills in research, targeting results that do not fit into a prefigured concept might be less helpful.²

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest related to this communication.

References

1. May CA. Technical University Dresden. Wissenschaftliches Gutachten [Scientific opinion]; 2021. Available from: <https://protect-us.mimecast.com/s/LS9cCzpBnGH8oDR5kUXulkq?domain=icosim.de>. Accessed 7 November, 2023. German.
2. Müller KE. A review targeting a result is a bias itself. *Oral Dis*. 2022;28(4):1296. doi:10.1111/odi.13974

Dove Medical Press encourages responsible, free and frank academic debate. The content of the Clinical, Cosmetic and Investigational Dentistry 'letters to the editor' section does not necessarily represent the views of Dove Medical Press, its officers, agents, employees, related entities or the Clinical, Cosmetic and Investigational Dentistry editors. While all reasonable steps have been taken to confirm the content of each letter, Dove Medical Press accepts no liability in respect of the content of any letter, nor is it responsible for the content and accuracy of any letter to the editor.

Clinical, Cosmetic and Investigational Dentistry

Dovepress

Publish your work in this journal

Clinical, Cosmetic and Investigational Dentistry is an international, peer-reviewed, open access, online journal focusing on the latest clinical and experimental research in dentistry with specific emphasis on cosmetic interventions. Innovative developments in dental materials, techniques and devices that improve outcomes and patient satisfaction and preference will be highlighted. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/clinical-cosmetic-and-investigational-dentistry-journal>

<https://doi.org/10.2147/CCIDE.S447266>