Mild and moderate pre-dialysis chronic kidney disease is associated with increased coronary artery calcium
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Background: It is increasingly evident that patients with chronic kidney disease (CKD) are more likely to die from heart disease than kidney failure. This study evaluated whether pre-dialysis CKD is an independent risk factor for coronary artery calcium (CAC).

Methods: A total of 544 consecutive patients who underwent CAC scoring were analyzed. Eleven patients requiring hemodialysis were excluded. Patients were divided into three groups: normal glomerular filtration rate (GFR) (GFR > 90 mL/min/1.73 m²), mild CKD (90 ≥ GFR > 60 mL/min/1.73 m²), and moderate CKD (60 ≥ GFR > 30 mL/min/1.73 m²). Continuous and categorical variables were compared using analysis of variance and the χ² statistic. A multiple logistic regression model was used for detecting the association between total CAC score and GFR. An unadjusted model was used, followed by a second model adjusted for covariates known to be related to CAC. Another multivariable binary logistic model predicting the presence of CAC (>10) was performed and odds of incidence of CAC (>10) were calculated among the three GFR subgroups.

Results: After adjustment for covariates, patients with mild CKD had mean CAC scores 175 points higher than those with the referent normal GFR (P = 0.048), while those with moderate CKD had mean CAC scores 693 points higher than the referent (P < 0.001). After adjustment for covariates, patients with mild CKD were found to be 2.2 times more likely (95% confidence interval 1.3–3.7, P = 0.004) and patients with moderate CKD were 6.4 times more likely (95% confidence interval 2.9–14.3, P < 0.001) to have incident CAC compared with the group with normal GFR.

Conclusion: Mild and moderate pre-dialysis CKD are independent risk factors for increased mean and incident CAC.
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Background
Chronic kidney disease (CKD) has been shown to be an independent risk factor for cardiovascular events and it has become increasingly evident that patients with CKD are more likely to die from cardiovascular disease than kidney failure. Coronary artery calcium (CAC) score is a marker for atherosclerotic plaque burden and has been shown to be a predictor of incidence of myocardial infarction and death from cardiovascular disease. Numerous studies have identified an association between end-stage renal disease and CAC, but a link between pre-dialysis CKD and increased CAC has not been clearly established. CKD should lead to increased CAC scores secondary to accelerated progression of atherosclerosis, as well as changes in calcium homeostasis.
CKD results in accelerated progression of atherosclerosis for many reasons. CKD has been shown to cause both hypertension and dyslipidemia, which are known risk factors for coronary artery disease (CAD). It causes an increase in blood pressure because of plasma volume expansion, retention of sodium, increased sympathetic activity, increased renin–angiotensin–aldosterone axis activity, and the accumulation of endogenous vasoactive substances. Additionally, there is clear evidence that CKD results in increased LDL and triglycerides, as well as decreased HDL.

Atherosclerosis is an inflammatory condition, as well, and CRP, a marker of systemic inflammation, has been shown to be a surrogate for atherosclerosis and treatment. CKD results in increased CRP levels, which is evidence that CKD results in the increased systemic inflammation associated with atherosclerosis. CKD also alters calcium and phosphorous homeostasis, resulting in increased phosphorous and parathyroid hormone, as well as decreased vitamin D levels. All of this contributes to vascular calcification, including coronary artery calcification. Finally, CKD also results in increased lipoprotein A, increased homocysteine, and anemia, all of which have been associated with CAD.

The authors of this paper sought to evaluate whether pre-dialysis CKD is an independent risk factor for increased CAC score.

**Methods**

**Study subjects**

A total of 544 consecutive patients referred to the authors’ center (Harbor-UCLA Medical Center) who underwent multidetector computed tomography for CAC assessment were included. Blood draws and detailed surveys regarding symptoms and medical history were performed prior to MDCT scanning. Upon excluding patients undergoing any form of hemodialysis, 533 subjects were available for analysis. No other exclusion criteria were applied. The study was approved by the institutional review board at the authors’ institution, and informed consent was obtained from each participant.

Baseline characteristics collected included age, gender, weight, height, body mass index (BMI), self-identified ethnicity (Caucasian, African-American, Hispanic/Latino, Asian, or Other), self-identified lifestyle (subjectively sedentary, active, or stressful), comorbid conditions (hyperlipidemia, hypertension, diabetes), current smoking status, current use of lipid lowering medications, and family history. Reported comorbidities were verified via physical exam, chart review, and laboratory data. Glomerular filtration rate (GFR) was calculated from serum creatinine drawn within 1 month prior to scanning using the simplified Modification of Diet in Renal Disease (MDRD) formula.

**MDCT protocol**

All MDCT scans were performed at the center using a six-detector row Lightspeed VCT scanner (GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, UK). Patients were given beta blockers as needed for a goal heart rate of <60 bpm. The MDCT scanning protocol and interpretation methods for this study were identical to the authors’ core computed tomography (CT) reading laboratory function in the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA).

Calcification was quantified as previously described by Agatston et al. Total coronary artery calcium score was calculated by the Agatston method as the sum of lesion scores from the four major coronary arteries (left main, left anterior descending, left circumflex, and right coronary arteries). A single experienced interpreter, blinded to the GFR of the participant, interpreted all studies on commercially available software (AccuImage Workstation, AccuImage Diagnostics Corporation, San Mateo, CA) at the time of the study. CAC scores were not re-measured for the purposes of this study.

**Statistical analysis**

Patients were divided into three groups: normal GFR (GFR > 90 mL/min/1.73 m²), mild CKD (90 ≥ GFR > 60 mL/min/1.73 m²), and moderate CKD (60 ≥ GFR > 30 mL/min/1.73 m²) based on the National Kidney Foundation Kidney Disease Outcomes Quality Initiative practice guidelines for classification. Continuous variables and categorical variables were compared using analysis of variance and the χ² statistic, respectively. A multiple logistic regression model was used for detecting the association between total CAC score and GFR in the overall study population. An unadjusted model was used, followed by a second model adjusted for covariates known to be related to CAC, including age, gender, race, BMI, family history, hyperlipidemia, hypertension, diabetes, use of lipid-lowering medication, and current smoking status. The association analysis was performed on the full population, as well as gender subgroups. Since calcium scores have a nonnormal distribution, the median CAC scores are presented in the GFR subgroup comparison.

Another multivariable binary logistic model predicting presence of CAC (>10) was performed and odds of incidence of CAC (>10) were calculated among the three GFR subgroups. This analysis was performed on the full population.
and gender subgroups as well. All data were exported to SAS (v.9.2; SAS Institute, Inc, Cary, NC) for analysis.

**Results**

A total of 533 participants were evaluated in the study after eleven subjects were excluded because they were currently undergoing hemodialysis. Of the 533, 240 had GFR in the normal range, 197 subjects had mild CKD, and 96 had moderate CKD. There were significant differences between the groups in terms of gender \((P < 0.001)\), race \((P < 0.001)\), BMI \((P < 0.001)\), lifestyle \((P < 0.001)\), smoking status \((P = 0.03)\), and incidence of hypertension \((P = 0.001)\). The median CAC scores values among the GFR subgroups were 58, 151, and 606, respectively. Patient demographic data can be found in Table 1.

In the unadjusted analysis, patients with mild CKD \((90 \geq \text{GFR} \geq 60 \text{ mL/min/1.73 m}^2)\) had mean CAC scores 174 points higher than those with the referent normal GFR \((\text{GFR} > 90 \text{ mL/min/1.73 m}^2)\) \((P = 0.03)\), while patients with moderate CKD \((60 \geq \text{GFR} > 30 \text{ mL/min/1.73 m}^2)\) had mean CAC scores 575 points higher than the referent \((P < 0.001)\). The significance of these findings held up after adjustment for age, gender, race, BMI, hypertension, lifestyle, and smoking status. After adjustment, patients with mild CKD had mean CAC scores 175 points higher than those with normal GFR \((P = 0.048)\), while those with moderate CKD had mean CAC scores 693 points higher than the referent \((P < 0.001)\). (See Table 2.)

When men were analyzed as a group, men with moderate CKD had mean CAC scores 834 points higher than those with normal GFR \((P < 0.001)\) without adjustment. After adjustment for age, gender, race, BMI, hypertension, lifestyle, and smoking status, men with moderate CKD were found to have mean CAC scores 809 points higher than the referent \((P < 0.001)\). Similarly, women with moderate CKD had mean CAC scores 441 points higher than those with normal GFR \((P < 0.001)\) without adjustment. After adjustment for the factors just listed, women had mean CAC 544 points higher than those with normal GFR \((P < 0.001)\). (See Table 2.)

Without adjustment, in the full population, patients with mild CKD were 1.9 times more likely to have incident CAC (defined as CAC score \(> 10\)) \((95\% \text{ confidence interval } [CI] 1.3–2.9, P = 0.002)\), while patients with moderate CKD were 4.1 times more likely to have incident CAC when compared to the group with normal GFR \((95\% \text{ CI 2.3–7.8, } P < 0.001)\). After adjustment for age, gender, race, BMI, hypertension, lifestyle, and smoking status, patients with mild CKD were found to be 2.2 times more likely \((95\% \text{ CI 1.3–3.7, } P = 0.004)\) and patients with moderate CKD were

Table 1  Clinical and demographic characteristics of study population stratified by glomerular filtration rate (GFR) (mL/min per 1.73 m²)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>GFR &gt; 90 (n = 240)</th>
<th>90 (\geq) GFR &gt; 60 (n = 197)</th>
<th>60 (\geq) GFR &gt; 30 (n = 96)</th>
<th>(P)-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>55 ± 11</td>
<td>65 ± 9</td>
<td>74 ± 9</td>
<td>(&lt; 0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men, n (%)</td>
<td>177 (73.8)</td>
<td>126 (64.0)</td>
<td>49 (51.0)</td>
<td>(&lt; 0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>174.4 ± 11.0</td>
<td>170.5 ± 10.0</td>
<td>164.9 ± 11.8</td>
<td>(&lt; 0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>93.3 ± 19.3</td>
<td>78.5 ± 14.3</td>
<td>67.2 ± 13.4</td>
<td>(&lt; 0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>30.7 ± 6.2</td>
<td>27.0 ± 4.2</td>
<td>24.7 ± 4.9</td>
<td>(&lt; 0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ethnicity, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caucasian</td>
<td>156 (65.0)</td>
<td>133 (67.5)</td>
<td>49 (51.0)</td>
<td>(&lt; 0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African-American</td>
<td>10 (4.2)</td>
<td>7 (3.6)</td>
<td>7 (7.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic/Latino</td>
<td>60 (25.0)</td>
<td>33 (16.8)</td>
<td>17 (17.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>14 (5.8)</td>
<td>22 (11.2)</td>
<td>21 (21.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>2 (0.9)</td>
<td>2 (2.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking status</td>
<td>30 (12.6)</td>
<td>12 (6.2)</td>
<td>5 (5.6)</td>
<td>(0.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lifestyle, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(&lt; 0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sedentary</td>
<td>34 (14.2)</td>
<td>33 (16.8)</td>
<td>29 (30.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>112 (46.7)</td>
<td>118 (60.2)</td>
<td>48 (50.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stressful</td>
<td>71 (29.6)</td>
<td>22 (11.2)</td>
<td>7 (7.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>23 (9.5)</td>
<td>23 (11.8)</td>
<td>12 (12.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history, n (%)</td>
<td>139 (59.9)</td>
<td>102 (53.4)</td>
<td>56 (61.5)</td>
<td>(0.29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperlipidemia, n (%)</td>
<td>133 (55.9)</td>
<td>127 (64.8)</td>
<td>57 (61.3)</td>
<td>(0.16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipid lowering medication, n (%)</td>
<td>57 (25.0)</td>
<td>61 (31.9)</td>
<td>29 (32.2)</td>
<td>(0.22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension, n (%)</td>
<td>100 (42.2)</td>
<td>95 (48.2)</td>
<td>60 (64.5)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes, n (%)</td>
<td>46 (19.2)</td>
<td>32 (16.3)</td>
<td>23 (25.0)</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total CAC score median</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>606</td>
<td>(&lt; 0.001)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviation:** CAC, coronary artery calcium.
6.4 times more likely (95% CI 2.9–14.3, \( P < 0.001 \)) to have incident CAC compared with the referent group with normal GFR. (See Table 3.)

Without adjustment, men with moderate CKD were 6.7 times more likely to have incident CAC (95% CI 2.0–22.6, \( P = 0.002 \)) compared to men with normal GFR. After adjustment for age, gender, race, BMI, hypertension, lifestyle, and smoking status, men with moderate CKD were 5.4 times more likely (95% CI 1.4–20.6, \( P < 0.001 \)) to have CAC compared with the referent. Women with moderate CKD were 6.4 times more likely (95% CI 2.7–15.3, \( P < 0.001 \)) to have CAC compared with women with normal GFR. After adjustment for age, gender, race, BMI, hypertension, lifestyle, and smoking status, women with moderate CKD were 6.6 times more likely (95% CI 2.3–18.7, \( P < 0.001 \)) to have CAC compared with the referent. (See Table 3.)

**Discussion**

CAC scores are a reliable screening tool for cardiovascular disease.\(^1\) CAC scores help predict both incidence of myocardial infarction and death from cardiovascular disease.\(^2\) In a small cohort, Russo et al previously showed that patients with pre-dialysis CKD have an increased incidence of CAC\(^3\) and, in the Dallas Heart Study, Kramer et al showed an association between CKD stages 3–5 and increased CAC.\(^4\) Most recently,
Budoff et al showed a graded relationship between the severity of CKD and CAC. Haydar et al have further shown that CAC in CKD is a predictor of obstructive atherosclerosis.

As far as the authors are aware, this present analysis is the first to show a significant association between both mild and moderate pre-dialysis CKD and increased mean CAC, as well as CAC incidence. The results are quite dramatic but come as no surprise given previous data showing that CKD is an independent risk factor for cardiovascular mortality. In the authors’ full cohort of patients, mild CKD independently predicted an average increase in CAC score of 175, while moderate CKD independently predicted an increase in CAC score of 693. Patients with a CAC score of 101–299 have an independent increase in relative risk of all-cause mortality of 4.5, while patients with a CAC score of 400–699 have an independent increase in relative risk of all-cause mortality of 9.2. In this study’s male cohort, patients with a CAC score of 101–299 have an independent increase in relative risk of all-cause mortality of 4.5, while patients with a CAC score of 400–699 have an independent increase of 9.2. In this study’s male cohort, moderate CKD independently predicted an average increase in CAC score of 834. A CAC score of 834 alone puts any asymptomatic male younger than 75 years old in at least the seventieth percentile for CAC in his age group. In the female cohort, moderate CKD independently predicted an average CAC score increase of 544. A CAC score of 544 puts any asymptomatic female under the age of 75 years old in at least the eightieth percentile for her age group.

Both the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA) and Framingham Offspring Study failed to demonstrate a similar independent correlation between CKD and CAC. Both these studies only compared patients with GFR ≥ 60 mL/min/1.73 m² to patients with GFR ≤ 60 mL/min/1.73 m², however. They did not do an analysis comparing patients categorized in three GFR categories as was done in the present study. Additionally, neither of these studies had a sufficiently large enough cohort of patients with CKD: only 9.9% of patients in the MESA cohort had moderate CKD and only 6.3% of the Framingham cohort had moderate CKD. The authors’ cohort had a robust 18.0% of patients with moderate CKD in addition to 37.0% with mild CKD, which explains why the present study showed a significant relationship between CKD and CAC whereas these other studies did not.

The authors’ findings provide more impetus that patients with even mild pre-dialysis CKD should be screened and treated more aggressively for heart disease than the average population. This is particularly important in light of studies which have shown that patients with heart disease and concomitant CKD are often treated less aggressively than those with normal kidney function. Additionally, there is evidence that more aggressive treatment in these patients does work. In a subanalysis of the Treating to New Targets study, Shepherd et al showed that more aggressive treatment with high-dose atorvastatin led to a cardiovascular risk reduction of 32% in patients with CKD. In the Study of Heart and Renal Protection trial, Baigent et al showed that lowering LDL cholesterol with simvastatin and ezitimibe reduced the incidence of major atherosclerotic adverse events in patients with CKD.

Current guidelines say that the goal blood pressure for patients with CKD is <130/80, the goal LDL is <100 mg/dL, and the goal triglycerides are <200 mg/dL. These goals are largely not being met in clinical practice. Physicians must improve at controlling hypertension and hyperlipidemia, which are major risk factors for cardiovascular disease in patients with even mild CKD. Aggressive treatment to keep calcium and phosphorous levels within the normal range, as well as controlling anemia and diabetes, are important as well. While CKD will inherently accelerate heart disease in our patients, we as physicians must do a better job of slowing down this process so that our patients can live longer and healthier lives.

This paper’s major limitation is that it is based upon a single-center, retrospective study. In the future, it would be interesting to perform a prospective, randomized trial to see the impact that strict cardiovascular risk-factor management has on patient outcomes.
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