

Carotid-Cavernous Fistulas: The Utility of Ocular Echography in Their Differentiation [Letter]

Mario Graziano, Danilo Biondino , Isabella Fioretto

Department of Medicine, Surgery and Dentistry, University of Salerno, Salerno, Italy

Correspondence: Danilo Biondino, Department of Medicine, Surgery and Dentistry, "Scuola Medica Salernitana", University of Salerno, Via S. Allende – Baronissi, Salerno, 84081, Italy, Email danilobiondino@libero.it

Dear editor

We read the article by Supasai et al on the clinical differences between Direct and Dural Carotid Cavernous Sinus Fistulas (CCFs) with great interest and would like to provide additional insights.¹

CCFs are vascular abnormalities that can be classified based on pathogenesis, hemodynamics, and angiography. Pathogenic classification divides CCFs into two subgroups: traumatic and spontaneous. Hemodynamic classification divides CCFs based on flow into low or high subgroups. Lastly, angiographic classification subdivides CCFs into direct and dural fistulas. Direct fistulas show a direct communication between the internal carotid artery and cavernous sinus, while dural fistulas are further divided into three sub-groups based on the location of shunting. Direct fistulas are usually caused by trauma and exhibit a high flow rate, instead dural fistulas are usually spontaneous and exhibit a low flow rate.

The authors endeavored to differentiate between the clinical features and symptoms of direct and dural CCFs. While individuals who exhibit ocular symptoms, such as proptosis, red eye, and glaucoma, might initially consult an expert ophthalmologist, we believe that relying solely on clinical features might be inadequate for distinguishing between them.

We were surprised to see that no mention was present in the paper concerning the use of the echographic findings.

Echography is widely used as a non invasive method to differentiate several disease, among others papilledema due to intracranial hypertension² from optic nerve drusen.³

However it has also been suggested to rapidly detect and differentiate between dural and direct CCFs since late 1970s with the so called standardized echography by KC Ossoinig⁴ utilizing B scan together with an A scan with an 8 MHz non-focused probe with S-shaped amplification that provides more accurate information and measurements of structures than the B-scan technique alone.⁵

In case of direct CCFs, ultrasound detects dilation of the superior ophthalmic vein with pulsation of the walls, vertical veins, and sometimes the inferior ophthalmic vein due to arterialization and increased flow within these vessels. A low and regular reflectivity, weak sound attenuation with an angle α lower than 30° , and the presence of rapid spontaneous movements in the lumen of these vessels indicating flow arterialization.

In cases of dural CCFs, A-scan ultrasound shows thickening of all orbital structures, particularly the extraocular muscles and optic nerve sheaths due to venous congestion in the orbit. The extraocular muscles will appear thickened only on the affected side, with normal reflectivity in the initial phase and subsequently high and irregular reflectivity.

Therefore, we recommend using standardized echography for a rapid and accurate differentiation between dural and direct CCFs after the clinical exam.

Disclosure

All the authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest in this communication.

References

1. Supasai P, Kanjana K, Yospaiboon Y. Direct and dural carotid cavernous sinus fistulas: comparison of clinical characteristics. *Clin Ophthalmol*. 2023;17:1207–1214. doi:10.2147/OPTH.S410930
2. Rosa N, De Bernardo M, Di Stasi M, Cione F, Capaldo I. A-scan ultrasonographic evaluation of patients with idiopathic intracranial hypertension: comparison of optic nerves. *J Clin Med*. 2022;11(20):6153. doi:10.3390/jcm11206153
3. Rosa N, De Bernardo M, Abbinante G, Vecchio G, Cione F, Capasso L. Optic nerve drusen evaluation: a comparison between ultrasound and OCT. *J Clin Med*. 2022;11(13):3715. doi:10.3390/jcm11133715
4. Ossoinig KC. Standardized echography: basic principles, clinical applications, and results. *Int Ophthalmol Clin*. 1979;19(4):127–210. doi:10.1097/00004397-197901940-00007
5. Graziano M, Biondino D, Fioretto I, Marino AV. Optic nerve sheath diameter measurement by ultrasound after moderate traumatic brain injury. *Clin Exp Emerg Med*. 2023. doi:10.15441/ceem.23.017

Dove Medical Press encourages responsible, free and frank academic debate. The content of the Clinical Ophthalmology 'letters to the editor' section does not necessarily represent the views of Dove Medical Press, its officers, agents, employees, related entities or the Clinical Ophthalmology editors. While all reasonable steps have been taken to confirm the content of each letter, Dove Medical Press accepts no liability in respect of the content of any letter, nor is it responsible for the content and accuracy of any letter to the editor.

Clinical Ophthalmology

Dovepress

Publish your work in this journal

Clinical Ophthalmology is an international, peer-reviewed journal covering all subspecialties within ophthalmology. Key topics include: Optometry; Visual science; Pharmacology and drug therapy in eye diseases; Basic Sciences; Primary and Secondary eye care; Patient Safety and Quality of Care Improvements. This journal is indexed on PubMed Central and CAS, and is the official journal of The Society of Clinical Ophthalmology (SCO). The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/clinical-ophthalmology-journal>

<https://doi.org/10.2147/OPTH.S420582>