


Dental Students' Knowledge, Attitudes and Beliefs Regarding Molar Incisor Hypomineralization (MIH): A Survey in Vienna, Austria

Katrin Bekes¹
Kaya Melichar¹
Tanja Stamm²
Karim Elhennawy³

¹Department of Paediatric Dentistry, University Clinic of Dentistry, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria;

²Center for Medical Statistics, Informatics, and Intelligent Systems, Medical University of Vienna, Section for Outcomes Research, Vienna, Austria; ³Department of Orthodontics, Dentofacial Orthopedics and Pedodontics, Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin, Germany

Purpose: Molar incisor hypomineralization (MIH) is a frequently encountered dental condition worldwide. The aim of this study was to evaluate the knowledge of Austrian dental students about MIH.

Methods: A validated paper-based survey was distributed among 100 final year dental students at the Medical University of Vienna to assess their knowledge, perception and beliefs regarding MIH. One hundred dental students at the University Dental Clinic of Vienna were included in the study. At the time of the survey, they were in their 11th or 12th semester (final year). Information about the knowledge on diagnosis and prevalence, and attitudes and beliefs around etiology and management was collected.

Results: All students replied, 94 questionnaires could be included in the analysis (50% female). 98% of the students were familiar with MIH and 86% were aware with the clinical features of MIH. Only 13% reported clinical ability to identify MIH. Regarding the etiology of MIH, most of the students (69%) named “genetic factors” as the most frequent etiological factor.

Conclusion: Dental students showed great interest in the topic of MIH, as the majority of all respondents were in favor of deepening their knowledge regarding this topic. The students should be offered opportunities to do this both during and after their studies.

Keywords: molar incisor hypomineralization, MIH, students, knowledge, beliefs, attitudes

Introduction

The big range of the worldwide prevalence of molar incisor hypomineralization (2–40% worldwide)^{1–3} and the increasingly research interest of MIH makes this entity one of the most important topics in modern dentistry. It is defined as enamel hypomineralization of systemic origin affecting one or more first permanent molars that are associated frequently with affected incisors.⁴ The etiology of MIH is not clear yet, theories such as illness during pregnancy, premature or prolonged birth, childhood illness (in the first year of life) and others like vitamin D deficiency and Bisphenol A are being discussed.^{5–10} MIH affected enamel differs both, morphologically and histologically in comparison to healthy enamel.¹¹ The enamel is characterized by decreased mechanical properties, mineral density and an increased protein content.¹¹ Clinically, MIH ranges from creamy/white through yellow to brown color changes with or without enamel post-eruptive breakdown (PEB).^{12,13} The presence of such lesions in the aesthetic zone and/or the fact that they can be hypersensitive negatively affects the child's oral health-related quality of life.^{14–16}

Correspondence: Katrin Bekes
Department of Pediatric Dentistry,
University Clinic of Dentistry, Medical
University of Vienna, Sensengasse 2a,
Vienna, 1090, Austria
Tel +43-1-400702801
Fax +43-1-400702809
Email katrin.bekes@meduniwien.ac.at

As MIH may be mistaken for a range of other conditions, it is essential to distinguish between MIH and other abnormalities in the dental structures. Judgement criteria include the presence of demarcated opacities, post-eruptive enamel breakdown, atypical restorations, extraction of molars due to MIH or failure of eruption of a molar or an incisor. To diagnose MIH, at least one FPM has to be affected.¹⁷

The management of MIH is challenging as the clinical appearance and individual need for treatment varies widely. Available treatment modalities are extensive, ranging from prevention, restoration, to extraction.¹⁸ The suitability of these, however, differs depending on a number of factors. Commonly identified factors are severity of the condition (eg extent of the defective enamel and quality of both, defective enamel and unaffected parts of the tooth), presence of symptoms (with or without association of hypersensitivity), patient's dental age and child/parent's social background and expectation.¹⁹ The decision as to which of these options is suitable needs to be made individually.

MIH has a significant burden on patients and their caregivers and is also a challenge for most practitioners. In order to determine the recognition of MIH as a common condition and as a significant clinical problem, several questionnaire-based studies on the awareness, knowledge, and perceptions of dental health care providers regarding MIH have been conducted in many countries,^{20–24} showing that there was confusion about the prevalence, etiology, and treatment options for MIH. Until now, there are only extremely sparse data on dental students' knowledge. So far, this topic was only investigated in Australia,²⁵ Germany,²⁶ and in Saudi Arabia.²⁷ In Austria, no studies have been conducted yet.

Therefore, this study aimed to assess the clinical knowledge and perception regarding the distribution, severity, etiology and treatment modalities of MIH in final year (11th and 12th semester) dental students at the biggest Austrian dental school (Medical University of Vienna).

Materials and Methods

Study Design

A survey of dental students at the Medical University of Vienna who were in their final year (11th and 12th semester) was conducted. A paper-based questionnaire was used to assess Vienna dental students' knowledge, perception and beliefs regarding MIH. This

questionnaire was already validated and applied in a similar study in Germany.²⁶ Further similar surveys were published before^{23,28,29} and served as a template for our questionnaire ([Appendix 1](#)). The questionnaire was piloted among five test persons regarding the phrasing of the questions. To our knowledge, Austrian data are not published yet.

In Austria, a survey among experts is the only type of research involving humans that does not need an ethics approval. The internal data protection committee of the Medical University of Vienna is responsible for surveys among employees and students at the university. Approval for this study was given. Reporting follows the STROBE checklist ([Appendix 2](#)).³⁰

Setting, Participants, Sample Size

One hundred dental students at the University Dental Clinic of Vienna which were randomly chosen were included in the study. At the time of the survey, they were in their 11th or 12th semester (final year of a six years programme). Lower semesters were excluded. The paper-based questionnaire was given personally to all study participants. A total of 100 questionnaires were distributed. The students were asked to fill out this questionnaire and return it to a locked box with a thin opening at the top. All (100%) questionnaires were returned. Before the statistical evaluation, all data records were checked for completeness in order to eliminate unnecessary response errors in advance. All data records were transferred to an Excel table.

Data Sources and Variables (Questionnaire)

Data were collected between June and August 2019. We used a validated questionnaire-based survey in German language in this study that was already applied in a similar study in Germany.²⁶ The survey comprised a series of 18 multiple-choice questions and a cover letter that summarized the aim of the study, briefly described MIH and showed clinical photographs of hypomineralized permanent molars and incisors as well as second deciduous molars. The first section focused on demographic data (age, gender, students' semester), the second section assessed the knowledge and attitudes (diagnosis and clinical presentation) and the final section concentrated on the prevalence and beliefs towards management and educational needs.

Statistical Analysis

This study was part of the “Knowledge Project of MIH in Vienna” focusing on students’ and dentists’ knowledge. Based on the data already available from Gambetta-Tessini,²¹ a power of 80%, an assumed significance level of 5%, the sample size estimate results in a necessary total number of $n = 100$ subjects per group. Therefore, 100 students were approached to take part in the survey.

Only completed questionnaires were included in the analysis. Categorical data were processed using descriptive statistics and, if possible and useful, using the Chi² test. Absolute and relative frequencies of the answer options were calculated for the individual questions and presented in tabular form. The significance level was set to $p = 0.05$. Univariate analysis methods were used. Calculations were done with R version 3.6.1.

Results

From the 100 students who were invited to participate, all replied. Six surveys were eliminated because they were not completed, resulting in a response rate of 94% (50% of the participants were female). At the time of the survey, 20 students (21%) were in their 11th semester and 74 students (79%) in their 12th semester (Table 1).

The majority (98%, $n=92$) of the students were familiar with MIH. 88% ($n=81$) stated that they had already heard of MIH in lectures, and 73% ($n=67$) reported that they were confronted with the topic of MIH in dental clinic courses (Table 1).

Most students were familiar with the clinical features of MIH (86%), however, only 23% ($n=18$) knew how to implement them. Furthermore, only 13% reported clinical ability to identify MIH (Table 1). Regarding confidence of diagnosing MIH, 5% reported being confident, followed by 86% being slightly confident. Moreover, 77% of the students answered having difficulties distinguishing MIH from other developmental defects, especially amelogenesis imperfecta (72%, $n=54$) or enamel hypoplasia (68%, $n=49$).

Regarding the etiology of MIH, most of the students (69%, $n=65$) named “genetic factors” as the most frequent etiological factor, followed by “chronic illness of the affected child” with 22% ($n=21$) (Table 2).

Only 32% ($n=30$) of the study participants were certain that they knew the prevalence of MIH in Austria, but the majority (94%, $n=88$) answered that it was worth investigating this further.

Approximately one quarter of the students (23%, $n=22$) believed they were able to diagnose patients with MIH, from which 91% ($n=20$) were in their 12th semester.

A total of 19% ($n=18$) students had observed MIH in fewer than approximately 10% of their patients and <1% ($n=4$) in more than 25% of their patients. Yellow/brown demarcated lesions and post-eruptive enamel breakdown were the most common defects seen by the students (Table 1).

When asked about the choice of material regarding treatment of MIH molars, 59% ($n=13$) of the students decided to use composite. Amalgam was not mentioned by any of the participants (Table 2). Approximately two-thirds (64%, $n=14$) of the students claimed that the “durability” factor influences mostly their choice of restorative material.

Nearly all students (91%, $n=20$) acknowledged MIH as a clinical problem, for almost two-thirds (64%, $n=14$) of them, the long-term success of the restorations was challenging.

The majority of the students from the 11th semester (90%, $n=18$) and from the 12th semester (97%, $n=72$) suggested that the subject should be included in more detail in the dental curriculum. Students in their 12th semester were significantly more familiar with MIH and its prevalence in Austria ($p=0.01$, Chi Square Test), compared to students in the 11th semester.

Discussion

In this study, we explored the knowledge and assessment of Austrian last year dental students with regard to MIH. This condition is a widely recognized condition and presents significant clinical problems for the majority of patients, particularly difficulties in providing high quality restorative care.

In order to be able to assess the extent of the teaching needs in the field of MIH, it is important to determine the current state of knowledge in this regard. Particular attention was given to this topic in different countries, including Australia, New Zealand, Kuwait, Chile, Hong Kong, Saudi Arabia, Ireland, UK, Spain and Germany.^{20–24,27–29,31–33} Most of these studies were of cross-sectional nature and dealt with the perception and knowledge of dentists (general dentists and specialized ones) about MIH, only the studies done in Australia, Saudi Arabia and Germany reported students’ knowledge of this topic.^{25–27}

Table 1 Students' Responses on Knowledge, Attitudes and Beliefs Toward MIH Diagnosis and Prevalence

Question	Total Response Rate	Percentage Distribution of Positive Answers		
		All Students (N=94)	11th Semester (N=20)	12th Semester (N=74)
Are you familiar with MIH?				
	98%	92 (98%)	2 (10%)	28 (38%)*
How did you hear about it?				
Dental journals		13 (14%)	6 (32%)	7 (10%)
Lectures		81 (88%)	15 (79%)	66 (90%)
Lecture notes		35 (38%)	8 (42%)	27 (37%)
Brochures or pamphlets		6 (7%)	0 (0%)	6 (8%)
Internet		23 (25%)	9 (47%)	14 (19%)*
Books		17 (18%)	5 (26%)	12 (16%)
Dental clinic		67 (73%)	13 (68%)	54 (74%)
Other students		8 (9%)	1 (5%)	7 (10%)
Do you know the clinical features of MIH?				
	86%	81 (86%)	15 (79%)	66 (90%)
Do you know if there are clinical criteria to diagnose MIH?				
Yes, and I know how to implement them	23%	18 (82%)	1 (50%)	17 (85%)
Yes, but I do not know how to implement them	23%	4 (18%)	1 (50%)	3 (15%)
In clinic, do you know if you can identify a patient with MIH?				
Yes	23%	12 (13%)	2 (10%)	10 (27%)
Not sure	57%	54 (57%)	12 (60%)	42 (57%)
How confident do you feel when diagnosing MIH?				
Very confident		–	–	–
Confident	23%	1 (5%)	–	1 (5%)
Slightly confident		19 (86%)	2 (100%)	17 (85%)
Not confident at all		2 (9%)	–	2 (10%)
Do you have difficulty distinguishing MIH as a developmental defect of enamel that differs from other tooth conditions?				
	77%	72 (77%)	12 (80%)	60 (81%)
Which ones?				
Dental fluorosis	77%	18 (25%)	3 (20%)	15 (26%)
Enamel hypoplasia		49 (68%)	8 (53%)	41 (72%)
Amelogenesis imperfecta		52 (72%)	12 (80%)	40 (70%)
Dentinogenesis imperfecta		11 (15%)	3 (20%)	8 (14%)
Are you aware of the prevalence of MIH in Austria?				
	32%	30 (32%)	2 (10%)	28 (38%)*
Do you think it would be worthwhile investigating the prevalence in Austria?				
	94%	88 (94%)	18 (90%)	70 (95%)

(Continued)

Table 1 (Continued).

Question	Total Response Rate	Percentage Distribution of Positive Answers		
		All Students (N=94)	11th Semester (N=20)	12th Semester (N=74)
How often do you notice these teeth in clinic?				
Monthly basis	23%	3 (14%)	–	3 (15%)
Yearly basis		19 (86%)	2 (100%)	17 (85%)
In what proportion of patients do you observe MIH teeth?				
<10%	23%	18 (82%)	2 (100%)	16 (80%)
10–25%		4 (18%)	–	4 (20%)
Which of the following features do you most frequently notice regarding severity of the defect?				
White demarcation	23%	2 (9%)	–	2 (10%)
Yellow/brown demarcation		11 (50%)	2 (100%)	9 (45%)
Post-eruptive enamel breakdown		9 (41%)	–	9 (45%)
In clinic, have you encountered demarcated hypomineralized defects in permanent teeth other than the first permanent molars and incisors?				
	6%	6 (27%)	–	6 (30%)
Name the tooth/teeth				
Canines	3%	3 (14%)		3 (15%)
Premolars		3 (14%)		3 (15%)
How frequently do you notice demarcated hypomineralized lesions in the second primary molar tooth in comparison to the first permanent molar tooth?				
More frequently	23%	–	–	–
Less frequently		9 (41%)	–	9 (45%)
The same as for the first permanent molar		1 (5%)	–	1 (5%)
Never seen it		12 (55%)	2 (100%)	10 (50%)

Note: Significant differences between 11th and 12th semester ($P < 0.05$, Chi-squared test) are indicated in bold*.

In Saudi Arabia 43% dental students from the 4th year and 28% of the dental students from the 5th year had heard of MIH, in Germany these percentages were 95% and 99%.^{26,27} In our study, 95% of the Austrian students from the 11th semester and 98.6% of the students from the 12th semester reported there were familiar with MIH. The agreement with Germany and the non-agreement with Saudi Arabia may be related to the different years of application of the questionnaire. In Saudi Arabia the study was conducted in 2016, in Germany 4 years later. In all countries, university lectures were the highest ranked source of information on MIH (66–90%). It is interesting that in all three studies approximately a quarter (16–26%) of the students obtained their information about MIH from books. There were significant differences between the two studies in terms of knowledge of the clinical characteristics of MIH

which might also be explained by the different time points of the conduction of the study. Between 25% and 35% of students at King Saud University in Riyadh knew about the clinical features of MIH. In contrast, 86% of the students at the Medical University of Vienna stated that they knew about the clinical presentation of MIH. This was in agreement with German students reporting similar results. Most of the students from all studies did not trust themselves to make a diagnosis (55–67%). Only between 1.5% and 4.9% of dental students from Saudi Arabia knew the clinical criteria for diagnosing MIH and how to apply them practically. In Austria, 5% of the 11th semester students reported they knew the clinical criteria for diagnosing MIH, however, 16.2% of the 12th semester students knew how to use the clinical criteria to diagnose MIH. More than two-thirds dental students at King Saud University had encountered

Table 2 Knowledge, Attitudes and Beliefs on Etiology, Management and Education Need of Students Towards MIH

Question	Total Response Rate	Percentage Distribution of Positive Answers		
		All Students (N=94)	11th Semester (N=20)	12th Semester (N=74)
Which factor(s) do you think are involved in the etiology of MIH?				
Genetic factors	100%	65 (69%)	14 (70%)	51 (69%)
Chronic medical condition(s) that affect the mother during pregnancy		40 (43%)	8 (40%)	32 (43%)
Chronic medical condition(s) that affect the involved child		21 (22%)	9 (45%)	12 (16%)*
Antibiotics/medications taken by the mother during pregnancy		51 (54%)	7 (35%)	44 (59%)
Antibiotics/medications taken by the involved child		42 (45%)	5 (25%)	37 (50%)
Environmental contaminants		32 (34%)	6 (30%)	26 (35%)
Acute medical condition(s) that affect the mother during pregnancy		16 (17%)	3 (15%)	14 (19%)
Acute medical condition(s) that affect the involved child		7 (7%)	–	7 (9%)
Fluoride exposure		3 (3%)	2 (10%)	5 (7%)
None		1 (1%)	1 (5%)	–
Which material do you use MOST in treating MIH molars?				
Amalgam	23%	–	–	–
Composite resin		13 (59%)	1 (50%)	12 (60%)
Flowable composite resin		9 (41%)	–	9 (45%)
Glass Ionomer Cement		4 (18%)	–	4 (20%)
Compomer		7 (32%)	1 (50%)	4 (20%)
Resin Modified Glass Ionomer Cement		5 (23%)	1 (50%)	4 (20%)
Preformed crowns		9 (41%)	–	9 (45%)
Which factors influence your choice of restorative material?				
Adhesion	23%	10 (45%)	1 (50%)	9 (45%)
Aesthetics		7 (32%)	1 (50%)	6 (30%)
Patient/parent preference		7 (32%)	1 (50%)	6 (30%)
Durability		14 (64%)	1 (50%)	13 (65%)
Remineralization potential		6 (27%)	1 (50%)	5 (25%)
Sensitivity		7 (32%)	1 (50%)	6 (30%)
Personal experience		8 (36%)	2 (100%)	6 (30%)
Research findings		7 (32%)	1 (50%)	6 (30%)
Do you think MIH is a clinical problem?				
	23%	20 (91%)	1 (50%)	19 (95%)
If yes, what do you experience problems with?				
Diagnosis	23%	8 (40%)	–	8 (42%)
Aesthetics		5 (25%)	–	5 (26%)
Achieving adequate local anesthesia		2 (10%)	–	2 (11%)
Determining the restorative margins of affected enamel		5 (25%)	–	5 (26%)
Providing adequate restorations		5 (25%)	–	5 (26%)
Long-term success of restorations		14 (64%)	2 (100%)	12 (60%)
Achieving patient comfort (for function, oral hygiene)		8 (36%)	2 (100%)	6 (30%)
Would you suggest including clinical training regarding MIH in your dental course?				
Diagnosis	96%	85 (90%)	17 (85%)	68 (92%)
Etiology		44 (47%)	11 (55%)	33 (45%)
Treatment		85 (90%)	19 (95%)	66 (89%)

Note: Significant differences between 11th and 12th semester ($P < 0.05$, Chi-squared test) are indicated in bold*.

MIH patients in their practice at the training clinic on a monthly basis. In our study, the number of students who came into contact with MIH teeth every month during their internship was only 15% ($n = 22$) which was in agreement with Germany (16%). Of the possible answers for the possible factors involved in the etiology of MIH, the majority of the students of all studies rated genetics as important. A majority of all study groups wanted the topic to be included more in their studies (73–97%). The majority of students in all questionnaire studies (90–98%) felt that more should be taught about diagnosing MIH. When comparing the three questionnaire studies with each other, it is interesting that the Saudi Arabian students apparently have more contact with patients with MIH, but the German and Austrian students of dentistry are more familiar with the clinical criteria for diagnosing or the clinical appearance of MIH. Both groups wished that MIH would be taught more in their clinical courses and lectures and that dealing with MIH would be practiced. In summary, it can be noted that the results from Germany and Austria are comparable. It can be assumed that this is due to the similarity of the dental curriculum, similar cultural circumstances and the fact that both studies were conducted at approximately the same time. The Saudi Arabian study was carried out 5 years earlier which is a long time in terms of the developments MIH has taken in recent years.

The answers of the students in the 11th semester often differed significantly from those of the students in the 12th semester. Especially with regard to the students' self-assessment of being able to diagnose and/or treat MIH patients. Only two students in the 11th semester believed there were able to diagnose and treat MIH. On the other hand, 27% of the 12th semester students reported their ability in diagnosing and treating MIH patients. The reason for this is probably the greater clinical experience of the 12th semester students in dealing with patients. In addition, the internship in pediatric dentistry only takes place in 12th semester, where the probability of encountering a patient with MIH is relatively higher. Students in the 11th semester are given preference to patients, who have caries need restorative treatment.

With regard to a comparison of the results found for Austrian students with those of dentists, it can be noted that general practitioners are also mostly unconfident about diagnosing MIH and have difficulty in distinguishing MIH from other conditions when compared to dental specialists.^{24,27,33} Studies have shown that these practitioners requested similarly for a training course regarding MIH in terms of aetiological, diagnostic and therapeutic fields which underlines the

importance of offering opportunities to deepen the knowledge in this field.

Strengths and Limitations of the Study

One of the strengths of this study is that a validated questionnaire was used. The original version was applied in Australia, Chile and Saudi Arabia.^{21,27} In Germany, this questionnaire was translated and also used.²⁶ Therefore, it is possible to generalize and compare the results found in our study for Austria with other countries.

One limitation that needs to be mentioned is students putatively provide “desired” responses given them being aware that their answers were being evaluated in a study. However, the anonymity of respondents should have limited this source of bias. Another limitation of this study includes that we did not choose a nationwide design. However, the Medical University of Vienna is one of three public dental schools in Austria with the highest proportion of graduates. Nevertheless, further studies should include all dental schools in Austria as well as practicing dentists. Furthermore, the selection of students can be considered as a limitation. Randomized sampling was chosen for the whole sample and not for each semester, leading to an imbalance in the semester sizes, which could have an influence on the results.

Conclusions

MIH seems to be a topic of interest to Austrian dental students and dentists, as the majority of all respondents are in favor of deepening their knowledge regarding this topic. It is necessary to offer students opportunities to deepen their knowledge in the field of MIH both during and after their studies. The results suggest that the MIH topic should be more deeply implemented in the dental curriculum, moreover, a standardized European or international curriculum might ensure a worldwide spread of knowledge about MIH. In Vienna, the results found have already been discussed with the local curriculum commission so that the topic of MIH can be given a greater share within the theoretical and practical dental curriculum.

Funding

No funding was received.

Disclosure

Prof. Dr. Tanja Stamm reports grants, personal fees from AbbVie, Roche, Sanofi, Takeda, outside the submitted

work. The authors report no other conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- Kukleva MP, Petrova SG, Kondeva VK, Nihtyanova TI. Molar incisor hypomineralisation in 7-to-14-year old children in Plovdiv, Bulgaria—an epidemiologic study. *Folia Med.* 2008;50:71–75.
- Jalevik B. Prevalence and diagnosis of Molar-Incisor-Hypomineralisation (MIH): a systematic review. *Eur Arch Paediatr Dent.* 2010;11(2):59–64. doi:10.1007/BF03262714
- Garcia-Margarit M, Catala-Pizarro M, Montiel-Company JM, Almerich-Silla JM. Epidemiologic study of molar-incisor hypomineralization in 8-year-old Spanish children. *Int J Paediatr Dent.* 2014;24(1):14–22. doi:10.1111/ipd.12020
- Weerheijm KL, Jalevik B, Alaluusua S. Molar-incisor hypomineralisation. *Caries Res.* 2001;35(5):390–391. doi:10.1159/000047479
- Allazzam SM, Alaki SM, El Meligy OA. Molar incisor hypomineralization, prevalence, and etiology. *Int J Dent.* 2014;2014:234508. doi:10.1155/2014/234508
- Garot E, Rouas P, Somani C, Taylor GD, Wong F, Lygidakis NA. An update of the aetiological factors involved in molar incisor hypomineralisation (MIH): a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur Arch Paediatr Dent.* 2021;1–6. doi:10.1007/s40368-021-00646-x
- Iwai T, Isomatsu Y, Iwamoto M, Tohnai I. Bisphosphonate-related enamel hypoplasia in a child with idiopathic arterial calcification of infancy. *Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2013;51(7):e186–e187. doi:10.1016/j.bjoms.2012.05.008
- Jeremias F, Koruyucu M, Küchler EC, et al. Genes expressed in dental enamel development are associated with molar-incisor hypomineralization. *Arch Oral Biol.* 2013;58(10):1434–1442. doi:10.1016/j.archoralbio.2013.05.005
- Jeremias F, Pierri RA, Souza JF, et al. Family-based genetic association for molar-incisor hypomineralization. *Caries Res.* 2016;50(3):310–318. doi:10.1159/000445726
- Bussaneli DG, Restrepo M, Fragelli CMB, et al. Genes regulating immune response and amelogenesis interact in increasing the susceptibility to molar-incisor hypomineralization. *Caries Res.* 2019;53(2):217–227. doi:10.1159/000491644
- Elhennawy K, Manton DJ, Crombie F, et al. Structural, mechanical and chemical evaluation of molar-incisor hypomineralization-affected enamel: a systematic review. *Arch Oral Biol.* 2017;83:272–281. doi:10.1016/j.archoralbio.2017.08.008
- Elhennawy K, Schwendicke F. Managing molar-incisor hypomineralization: a systematic review. *J Dent.* 2016;55:16–24. doi:10.1016/j.jdent.2016.09.012
- Weerheijm KL. Molar incisor hypomineralization (MIH): clinical presentation, aetiology and management. *Dent Update.* 2004;31(1):9–12. doi:10.12968/denu.2004.31.1.9
- Gutiérrez TV, Ortega CCB, Pérez NP, Pérez AG. Impact of molar incisor hypomineralization on oral health-related quality of life in Mexican schoolchildren. *J Clin Pediatr Dent.* 2019;43(5):324–330. doi:10.17796/1053-4625-43.5.4
- Hasmun N, Lawson J, Vettore MV, Elcock C, Zaitoun H, Rodd H. Change in oral health-related quality of life following minimally invasive aesthetic treatment for children with molar incisor hypomineralisation: a prospective study. *Dent J.* 2018;6(4):61. doi:10.3390/dj6040061.
- Velandia LM, Alvarez LV, Mejia LP, Rodriguez MJ. Oral health-related quality of life in Colombian children with molar-incisor hypomineralization. *Acta Odontol Latinoam.* 2018;31:38–44.
- Weerheijm KL, Duggal M, Mejare I, et al. Judgement criteria for molar incisor hypomineralisation (MIH) in epidemiologic studies: a summary of the European meeting on MIH held in Athens, 2003. *Eur J Paediatr Dent.* 2003;4:110–113.
- Somani C, Taylor GD, Garot E, Rouas P, Lygidakis NA, Wong FSL. An update of treatment modalities in children and adolescents with teeth affected by molar incisor hypomineralisation (MIH): a systematic review. *Eur Arch Paediatr Dent.* 2021;1–26. doi:10.1007/s40368-021-00635-0
- Lygidakis NA, Wong F, Jalevik B, Vierrou AM, Alaluusua S, Espelid I. Best clinical practice guidance for clinicians dealing with children presenting with Molar-Incisor-Hypomineralisation (MIH): an EAPD policy document. *Eur Arch Paediatr Dent.* 2010;11(2):75–81. doi:10.1007/BF03262716
- Wall A, Leith R. A questionnaire study on perception and clinical management of molar incisor hypomineralisation (MIH) by Irish dentists. *Eur Arch Paediatr Dent.* 2020;21(6):703–710. doi:10.1007/s40368-020-00519-9
- Gambetta-Tessini K, Marino R, Ghanim A, Calache H, Manton DJ. Knowledge, experience and perceptions regarding Molar-Incisor Hypomineralisation (MIH) amongst Australian and Chilean public oral health care practitioners. *BMC Oral Health.* 2016;16(1):75. doi:10.1186/s12903-016-0279-8
- Serna-Munoz C, Martinez-Beneyto Y, Perez-Silva A, Poza-Pascual A, Ibanez-Lopez FJ, Ortiz-Ruiz AJ. Perception, knowledge, and attitudes towards molar incisor hypomineralization among Spanish dentists: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Oral Health.* 2020;20(1):260. doi:10.1186/s12903-020-01249-6
- Gamboa GCS, Lee GHM, Ekambaram M, Yiu CKY. Knowledge, perceptions, and clinical experiences on molar incisor hypomineralization among dental care providers in Hong Kong. *BMC Oral Health.* 2018;18(1):217. doi:10.1186/s12903-018-0678-0
- Kalkani M, Balmer RC, Homer RM, Day PF, Duggal MS. Molar incisor hypomineralisation: experience and perceived challenges among dentists specialising in paediatric dentistry and a group of general dental practitioners in the UK. *Eur Arch Paediatr Dent.* 2016;17(2):81–88. doi:10.1007/s40368-015-0209-5
- Reaga J. Evaluating knowledge regarding Molar Incisor Hypomineralisation (MIH): a survey of dental students in Victoria, Australia., in Melbourne dental school. Melbourne: The University of Melbourne; 2016.
- Elhennawy K, Anang M, Splieth C, et al. Knowledge, attitudes, and beliefs regarding molar incisor hypomineralization (MIH) amongst German dental students. *Int J Paediatr Dent.* 2020;31(4):486–495. doi:10.1111/ipd.12715
- Silva MJ, Alhowaish L, Ghanim A, Manton DJ. Knowledge and attitudes regarding molar incisor hypomineralisation amongst Saudi Arabian dental practitioners and dental students. *Eur Arch Paediatr Dent.* 2016;17(4):215–222. doi:10.1007/s40368-016-0230-3
- Crombie FA, Manton DJ, Weerheijm KL, Kilpatrick NM. Molar incisor hypomineralization: a survey of members of the Australian and New Zealand society of paediatric dentistry. *Aust Dent J.* 2008;53(2):160–166. doi:10.1111/j.1834-7819.2008.00026.x
- Hussein AS, Ghanim AM, Abu-Hassan MI, Manton DJ. Knowledge, management and perceived barriers to treatment of molar-incisor hypomineralisation in general dental practitioners and dental nurses in Malaysia. *Eur Arch Paediatr Dent.* 2014;15(5):301–307. doi:10.1007/s40368-014-0115-2
- von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. *Ann Intern Med.* 2007;147(8):573. doi:10.7326/0003-4819-147-8-200710160-00010

31. Weerheijm KL, Mejare I. Molar incisor hypomineralization: a questionnaire inventory of its occurrence in member countries of the European Academy of Paediatric Dentistry (EAPD). *Int J Paediatr Dent*. 2003;13(6):411–416. doi:10.1046/j.1365-263x.2003.00498.x
32. Tagelsir A, Dean JA, Eckert GJ, Martinez-Mier EA. U.S. pediatric dentists' perception of molar incisor hypomineralization. *Pediatr Dent*. 2018;40:272–278.
33. Alanzi A, Faridoun A, Kavvadia K, Ghanim A. Dentists' perception, knowledge, and clinical management of molar-incisor-hypomineralisation in Kuwait: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Oral Health*. 2018;18(1):34. doi:10.1186/s12903-018-0498-2

Journal of Multidisciplinary Healthcare

Dovepress

Publish your work in this journal

The Journal of Multidisciplinary Healthcare is an international, peer-reviewed open-access journal that aims to represent and publish research in healthcare areas delivered by practitioners of different disciplines. This includes studies and reviews conducted by multidisciplinary teams as well as research which evaluates the results or conduct of such teams or healthcare processes in general. The journal

covers a very wide range of areas and welcomes submissions from practitioners at all levels, from all over the world. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/journal-of-inflammation-research-journal>