Ocular hypotensive effect, preservation of visual fields, and safety of adding dorzolamide to prostaglandin therapy for twelve months
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Purpose: To prospectively evaluate the safety, hypotensive effect, and preservation of visual fields of dorzolamide when added to latanoprost.

Subjects and methods: This study included 46 patients (46 eyes) with primary open-angle glaucoma who had been treated with latanoprost. Dorzolamide (1%) was added to latanoprost, and the intraocular pressure (IOP) was monitored before and after 3, 6, and 12 months. The mean deviation shown by Humphrey perimetry was compared before and after twelve months of treatment. Adverse reactions were monitored over the 12-month study period.

Results: The mean baseline IOP was 17.2 ± 3.0 mmHg while those after 3, 6, and 12 months of treatment were 14.9 ± 3.0 mmHg, 14.5 ± 3.2 mmHg, and 14.6 ± 2.6 mmHg respectively (P < 0.0001, 1-β(power) = 0.9999571). The absolute reduction of IOP and the percent reduction were similar after 3, 6, and 12 months of treatment. The mean deviation on Humphrey perimetry was similar before and after twelve months of treatment. Three patients discontinued dorzolamide therapy due to elevation of IOP and one patient discontinued it because of adverse reactions.

Conclusion: Dorzolamide is safe and effective when used for twelve months as add-on therapy to latanoprost for open-angle glaucoma.
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Introduction

Prostaglandin analog acts by enhancing the uveoscleral outflow and lowering the intraocular pressure (IOP). The significant effect on reducing IOP and the convenience of once daily administration made Prostaglandin analog eye drops the first line therapy for glaucoma for many ophthalmologists.¹ If the reduction of IOP is insufficient, however, switching therapy or adding a new agent is needed.² β-blockers or carbonic anhydrase inhibitors that inhibit aqueous humor production may be considered as second-line agents to be added on, since they have different mechanisms of reducing IOP to prosta-glandin analog. Use of β-blockers in Japan started about 40 years ago when timolol maleate eye drops became available. As for carbonic anhydrase inhibitors, dorzolamide (0.5% and 1%) was introduced in 1999 and brinzolamide (1%) was released in 2002.³⁻⁵ It has been reported that the effect of β-blockers and carbonic anhydrase inhibitors on IOP is comparable, when added to prostaglandin analog therapy.³⁻⁵ β-blockers can have systemic adverse effects, however, including induction of asthmatic attack, bradycardia, and depressive symptoms, making it difficult to use these medications in patients with such conditions or in the elderly whose physiological functions are impaired compared with younger individuals. In this respect, carbonic anhydrase inhibitors may have a
better safety profile with fewer systemic adverse effects when added to prostaglandin analog therapy.

In previous studies on the IOP-lowering effect of dorzolamide when added to prostaglandin analog therapy, the percent reduction of IOP was reported to be 6.3%-24.0%. Because dorzolamide is used as a 2% formulation in countries other than Japan, only a few reports have studied the 1% formulation that is available there. In addition, the duration of treatment in those studies was short (four weeks, three months, and six months).

We previously reported on the IOP-lowering effect and safety of 1% dorzolamide when added to a prostaglandin analog for six months in patients with primary open-angle glaucoma. In the present study, the observation period was extended to twelve months and the effect of dorzolamide on preservation of the visual fields was also evaluated.

Subjects and methods
This was a prospective, multicenter open-label study. The study included 46 patients (22 men and 24 women) with 46 eyes being treated for primary open-angle glaucoma. They were on treatment with latanoprost alone, and dorzolamide was added during the period between June 2008 and May 2009 at Inoue Eye Hospital or 13 other participating institutions. The mean age ± Standard Deviation (SD) (range) of the patients was 69.5 ± 10.5 years (range: 43-87 years). The cases with the mean deviation (MD) value of −24.00 dB and below were excluded from the study. The MD obtained by Humphrey perimetry was −9.0 ± 5.6 dB (−20.05 to −0.63 dB) at baseline and the baseline IOP was 17.2 ± 3.0 (12 to 25) mmHg. The spherical equivalent was −0.5 ± 3.9 (+3.25 to −12.5) D.

In patients with glaucoma affecting both eyes, the eye with the higher IOP was evaluated. If both eyes had the same IOP, the right eye was evaluated.

When the chief physician judged an intraocular pressure drop effect was insufficient, once-daily administration (at night) of latanoprost was continued and 1% dorzolamide (three times daily [tid], morning, noon and night) was added. The IOP was measured by Goldmann applanation tonometry by the same observer at approximately the same time of day in each patient at baseline and every one to two months after starting the administration of dorzolamide. The baseline IOP was compared with that after 3, 6, and 12 months by analysis of variance (ANOVA) with the Bonferroni/Dunnett tests. The reduction of IOP and the percent decrease of IOP relative to baseline after 3, 6, and 12 months were calculated and compared with Friedman’s test. The Humphrey C-30-2 Swedish Interactive Threshold Algorithm (SITA) Standard program was performed at baseline and after twelve months, and the MD values were compared by the paired t-test. Reliability visual field test results (<20% fixation errors, <33% false-positive results, and <33% false-negative results. Adverse reactions were evaluated at every visit to hospital. Patients who did not present to hospital for follow-up, who discontinued dorzolamide treatment, who started a new agent, or who underwent surgery during the 12-month study period were excluded from analysis of the IOP data. Differences were considered statistically significant at P < 0.05 for all comparisons. The present study was approved by the ethical committee of Inoue Eye Hospital. The purpose and procedures of the study were explained to the patients and written informed consent was obtained from each subject.

Results
Compared with the baseline IOP (17.2 ± 3.0 mmHg), there was a significant decrease after 3, 6, and 12 months (14.9 ± 3.0 mmHg, 14.5 ± 3.2 mmHg, and 14.6 ± 2.6 mmHg, respectively) in the 46 subjects (P < 0.0001, 1-β (power) = 0.9999571) (Figure 1).

The absolute reduction of IOP was similar after 3, 6, and 12 months (2.4 ± 2.5 mmHg, 2.7 ± 2.4 mmHg, 2.7 ± 2.6 mmHg, respectively). Likewise, the percent reduction of IOP was similar after 3, 6, and 12 months (13.0 ± 13.4%, 15.1 ± 13.5%, 14.6 ± 12.4%, respectively).

There was no difference of the MD obtained by Humphrey perimetry at baseline and after 12 months of treatment (−9.0 ± 5.6 dB and −9.3 ± 5.5 dB, respectively) (Figure 2).

During the 12-month period of dorzolamide administration, eleven patients (23.9%) dropped out of the study. Three patients were lost to follow-up, one patient moved and switched to another hospital, one patient suffered from adverse effects (ocular irritation), three patients did not achieve a sufficient reduction of IOP, one patient died of an
unrelated disease, one patient underwent surgery for another
disease, and one patient was enrolled in another study. The
adverse effects observed in one patient resolved after discon-
tinuation of dorzolamide. Of the three patients who did not
achieve a sufficient reduction of IOP, one patient increased
IOP from 18 mmHg at baseline to 22 mmHg after two months
and one patient increased IOP from 13 mmHg at baseline to
14 mmHg after five months. In these two patients, dorzol-
amide was switched to a β-blocker. In the third patient, IOP
increased from 19 mmHg at baseline to 22 mmHg after three
months and glaucoma surgery was performed.

Discussion

Several studies have demonstrated that IOP is reduced
when dorzolamide is added to basal prostaglandin analog
therapy4–11 (Table 1).

In Japan, 0.5% and 1% formulations of dorzolamide
are used, but only three studies have evaluated the effect of
these formulations when added to basal prostaglandin ana-
log therapy.4–5 In the study by Maruyama and Shirato, 1%
dorzolamide (tid) was added for three months following three
months of monotherapy with latanoprost in 33 patients with
primary open-angle glaucoma.5 IOP decreased significantly
from baseline (16.0 ± 2.1 mmHg), with an absolute
reduction and percent reduction of 1.0 mmHg and 6.3%,
respectively. In addition, Nakamura et al added 1% dorzol-
amide (twice daily [bid] or tid) for four weeks in 20 patients
with primary open-angle glaucoma, ocular hypertension,
or angle-closure glaucoma after laser peripheral iridotomy
who had been treated with latanoprost alone, but still had
an IOP ≥ 18 mmHg.4 Measurement of the circadian changes
of IOP showed a significant decrease from baseline
(20.0 mmHg) at all time points, with the absolute reduction
and percent reduction being 2.4 to 4.8 mmHg and 12.0 to
24.0%, respectively. In our previous study, 1% dorzolamide
(tid) was added to latanoprost or travoprost for six months
in 55 patients with primary open-angle glaucoma.11 The IOP
decreased significantly from baseline (16.7 ± 2.4 mmHg),
and showed an absolute reduction and percent reduction
of 2.5 to 2.8 mmHg and 14.2 to 16.4%, respectively. In the
present study, 1% dorzolamide (tid) was added to latanoprost
to twelve months in 46 patients with primary open-angle
glaucoma. We found that the IOP decreased significantly
from baseline (17.2 ± 3.0 mmHg), with the absolute reduc-
tion and percent reduction being 2.4 to 2.7 mmHg and 13.0
to 15.1%, respectively. These results were consistent with
those reported previously.4–11 However, the present study
was conducted in an open-label manner, so the IOP-lowering
effect of dorzolamide may have been overrated. When the
percent reduction of IOP was compared between different
formulations of dorzolamide, the IOP lowering effect of the
2% formulation (12.5%–20.6%)6–10 and the 1% formulation
(6.3%–24.0%)4,5,11 seemed to be similar.

No previous study has evaluated the effect of dorzolamide
on preservation of the visual fields when added to basal
prostaglandin analog therapy, possibly because the duration

Table 1 Review of previous studies conducted outside/inside Japan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Previous eye drops</th>
<th>Dorzolamide</th>
<th>Number of cases</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Baseline IOP (mmHg)</th>
<th>Absolute reduction (mmHg)</th>
<th>Percent reduction (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O’Connor4</td>
<td>Latanoprost</td>
<td>2% (bid or tid)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tamer7</td>
<td>Latanoprost</td>
<td>2% (bid)</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>4 weeks</td>
<td>19.9–23.3</td>
<td>2.6–3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bournias8</td>
<td>Latanoprost</td>
<td>2% (tid)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>4 months</td>
<td>20.3–21.9</td>
<td>2.8–3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Travoprost</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bimatoprost</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boyer9</td>
<td>Travoprost</td>
<td>2% (bid)</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>18.9 ± 4.2</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arici10</td>
<td>Latanoprost</td>
<td>2% (tid)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10 days</td>
<td>18.7 ± 2.3</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maruyama5</td>
<td>Latanoprost</td>
<td>1% (tid)</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>16.0 ± 2.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nakamura4</td>
<td>Latanoprost</td>
<td>1% (bid or tid)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4 weeks</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>2.4–4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inoue11</td>
<td>Latanoprost</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>16.7 ± 2.4</td>
<td>2.5–2.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: bid, twice daily; tid, three times daily; IOP, intraocular pressure.
of combined treatment with dorzolamide was short in most studies. In a previous 1-year study, the effect of dorzolamide on the visual fields was not assessed because the study was conducted retrospectively.6 In the present study, the MD obtained by Humphrey perimetry did not change after addition of dorzolamide for 12 months, indicating a positive effect of dorzolamide therapy on preservation of the visual fields. However, the treatment period was only twelve months, so further studies over a longer period are needed.

When dorzolamide is used with a prostaglandin analog, the reported adverse effects include hyperemia, irritation, foreign body sensation, blurred vision, corneal epithelial disorder, and dysgeusia.4,5,7,8,10 In several previous studies, no serious adverse effects were observed,4,5,7–11 which is consistent with the present results. In the present study, sufficient reduction of IOP was not achieved by combined therapy in three patients, suggesting that there is a group of non-responders to dorzolamide.

**Conclusion**

Dorzolamide had a potent IOP-lowering effect as well as a positive effect on preservation of the visual fields in patients with primary open-angle glaucoma when added to latanoprost for twelve months. However, it remains unclear whether these effects are caused by adding dorzolamide or they can be gained by latanoprost only, or they are synergetic effects of both dorzolamide and latanoprost. It also had a good safety profile and did not cause any serious adverse effects. Thus, the 1% dorzolamide has enough IOP-lowering effect and it may be a useful second-line agent after latanoprost for the treatment of glaucoma.
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