

Review of Treatment Options for Irritable Bowel Syndrome with Constipation and Chronic Idiopathic Constipation

Sarah Patel^{1,2}Bethany Doerfler³Katerine Boutros⁴Samson Ng⁴Machelle Manuel⁵Elayne DeSimone⁶

¹Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Weill Cornell Medicine, New York, NY, USA; ²Rutgers University, Piscataway, NJ, USA; ³Division of Gastroenterology, Northwestern Medicine, Chicago, IL, USA; ⁴Global Medical Affairs Gastroenterology & Hepatology, AbbVie Inc, Madison, NJ, USA; ⁵Ironwood Pharmaceuticals, Inc, Cambridge, MA, USA; ⁶Community Volunteers in Medicine, West Chester, PA, USA

Abstract: Irritable bowel syndrome with constipation (IBS-C) and chronic idiopathic constipation (CIC) are two common disorders of gut-brain interaction. Affected patients often first present to their primary care providers seeking care for symptoms of constipation, abdominal pain, and bloating, which have a significant impact on their health-related quality of life. These patients often require extensive counseling and reassurance, and knowledge of reliable diagnostic criteria and treatment options is imperative to managing their conditions. Family medicine practitioners, including nurse practitioners and physician assistants, are uniquely qualified to provide a diagnosis and safe, effective management of these disorders. This article reviews the latest evidence and provides practical advice related to diagnosis and management of IBS-C and CIC.

Keywords: advanced practice providers, chronic idiopathic constipation, constipation, irritable bowel syndrome, nurse practitioners, physician assistants

Background

Irritable bowel syndrome with constipation (IBS-C) and chronic idiopathic constipation (CIC), sometimes referred to as functional constipation, are two symptom-based disorders of gut-brain interaction defined by the Rome diagnostic criteria.¹⁻³ Irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) is defined by the presence of recurrent abdominal pain associated with a change in defecation and is subtyped by the predominant stool pattern (ie, constipation for IBS-C). CIC is defined by the frequency of specific bowel symptoms, such as straining and incomplete evacuation, which can be accompanied by abdominal symptoms such as pain or bloating, in patients who do not meet the criteria for IBS. Abdominal pain is considered the hallmark symptom of IBS-C but is not necessarily the predominant symptom in CIC.^{1,2} Nonetheless, symptom overlap does occur between these two disorders, and IBS-C and CIC share symptoms of infrequent bowel movements (BMs), straining during defecation, hard and/or lumpy stools, and the sensation of incomplete evacuation.

Although these two disorders are considered distinct in terms of diagnostic criteria, recent studies have demonstrated that they may exist along a spectrum of severity.^{1,3} One study of patients with CIC with and without abdominal symptoms found that patient-reported disease severity tracked closely with the presence of abdominal symptoms and suggested that abdominal symptoms could provide a measurement of disease severity along a continuum.² Therefore, in clinical

Correspondence: Sarah Patel
Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Weill Cornell Medicine,
New York, NY, USA
Tel +1-(908)-642-6979
Email soneil86@gmail.com

practice, there may be little separation between the symptoms of the two disorders, and patients may migrate from one diagnosis to the other over the course of their disorder.^{2,4}

Patients with IBS-C and CIC often seek medical care owing to difficulty with BMs or abdominal pain and bloating⁵ and will often first seek medical care from their primary care providers, who may include nurse practitioners (NPs) and physician assistants (PAs).⁶ NPs and PAs need to be familiar with the latest evidence on the recognition and diagnosis of IBS-C and CIC in order to provide safe and effective healthcare.⁶ In this review, we present current approaches to the differential diagnosis and multifaceted management of both IBS-C and CIC.

The prevalence of constipation in the general population ranges from 2% to 27%, with the variability arising from differing definitions and populations.^{7–9} In the US, the prevalence of IBS-C is 4.3–5.2% of patients with IBS,^{10–12} while the mean prevalence of CIC is 12.0–19.0%.^{1,8,13} IBS most commonly presents between the ages of 25 and 54 years,^{12,14} and the overall prevalence is 67% higher in women internationally.¹⁵ Risk factors for CIC include female sex, reduced caloric intake, and increasing age.¹

IBS-C and CIC are both associated with recurrent, bothersome symptoms that profoundly reduce patients' quality of life (QoL)^{16,17} and productivity, and increase the number of missed days of work or school.^{2,18} IBS-C and CIC also pose a significant financial burden to patients and healthcare systems. In the US, the reported mean annual all-cause healthcare cost associated with IBS-C in 2010 was \$11,182 per patient, with over half (53.7%) of costs attributable to outpatient services, including physician office visits and other outpatient services (13.1% and 40.6%, respectively).¹⁰ In 2002, the direct cost per patient ranged from \$1600 to \$7500 per year for IBS and from \$1900 to \$7500 per year for CIC.¹⁶

Making a Diagnosis

Role of NPs and PAs in Recognizing IBS-C and CIC

Many patients with IBS-C or CIC suffer for years before discussing their symptoms with a healthcare practitioner.¹⁹ Patients may feel embarrassed about their disorder; therefore, establishing effective communication is important.^{19,20} Patient-centered interviewing focused on identifying how the patient experiences the disorder in

their everyday life encourages patients to lead the discussion, and may ultimately increase patient satisfaction and adherence.²¹

Most often, NPs and PAs are the first healthcare providers to encounter patients with IBS-C or CIC, often diagnosing and managing them in the primary care setting. Owing to the time required, NPs and PAs are well positioned to care for patients with IBS-C or CIC, particularly those who require extensive counseling, education, and/or reassurance (ie, frequent office visits or phone calls). In addition, patients may speak first to an NP or PA regarding constipation or abdominal symptoms prior to seeing a gastroenterologist. Therefore, it is important for NPs and PAs to recognize these disorders and make confident diagnoses in the primary care setting in order to prevent unnecessary referrals.

Differential Diagnosis of IBS-C and CIC

The Rome IV diagnostic criteria distinguish disorders of gut–brain interaction based on clinical symptoms, but there is some overlap between the disorders (Figure 1). While abdominal pain is the hallmark symptom of all IBS subtypes, patients with CIC primarily experience constipation, but may also secondarily experience abdominal pain as well as abdominal bloating and distension.

A detailed patient assessment is critical to determine a diagnosis and the appropriate treatment for each patient, depending on where their condition falls along the spectrum (Figure 2). A thorough clinical history and physical examination are useful to reassure the patient and exclude organic




Figure 1 Disorders of gut–brain interaction exist on a continuum.

Note: © 2016 Rome Foundation, Inc. All Rights Reserved. Modified from Rome Foundation's Rome IV Functional Gastrointestinal Disorders, Disorders of the Gut-Brain Interaction – Volume II – Page 970.⁵⁶

Abbreviations: CIC, chronic idiopathic constipation; FDr, functional diarrhea; IBS-C, irritable bowel syndrome with constipation; IBS-D, irritable bowel syndrome with diarrhea; IBS-M, irritable bowel syndrome with mixed bowel habits.




Figure 2 Diagnosing IBS-C and CIC.

Note: ^aCriteria fulfilled for the last 3 months with symptom onset at least 6 months before diagnosis.

Abbreviations: BM, bowel movement; BSFS, Bristol Stool Form Scale; CIC, chronic idiopathic constipation; IBD, inflammatory bowel disease; IBS, irritable bowel syndrome; IBS-C, irritable bowel syndrome with constipation; SBM, spontaneous bowel movement.

etiologies via the identification of any alarm features.¹ Alarm features indicating further testing may be required include patients >50 years of age without prior colon cancer screening, presence of overt gastrointestinal bleeding, nocturnal passage of stools, unintentional weight loss, a family history

of inflammatory bowel disease or colorectal cancer, recent changes in bowel habits, and the presence of a palpable abdominal mass or lymphadenopathy. Additional features prompting further evaluation include anemia, fever, a family history of celiac disease, or recent use of antibiotics.

If these warning signs are absent, a further patient history should be obtained to quantify the frequency of symptoms and determine whether the patient meets any of the Rome IV diagnostic criteria for disorders of gut–brain interaction.^{1,3} The Bristol Stool Form Scale (BSFS) is a useful tool for evaluating stool consistency in line with Rome criteria.^{1,22} Diagnostic testing for IBS-C and CIC can include limited laboratory tests including specialized testing for alternative etiologies (eg, celiac serology, C-reactive protein, fecal calprotectin), especially if not previously performed. A complete blood count should also be taken, as well as thyroid-stimulating hormone and serum calcium levels assessed where clinically indicated when diagnosing CIC.¹

IBS-C

Diagnosis of IBS is made based on clinical history, physical examination, minimal laboratory tests, and other appropriate tests (eg, colonoscopy) as indicated. Diagnosis of IBS requires prior symptom onset of ≥ 6 months, recurrent abdominal pain occurring >1 day per week, on average, over the past 3 months, associated with two or more of defecation, change in stool frequency, or change in stool form. Absence of abdominal pain precludes diagnosis of IBS. IBS-C is defined as $>25\%$ of BMs with BSFS scores of 1 or 2 (hard/lumpy stool) and $<25\%$ of BMs with BSFS scores of 6 or 7 (diarrhea/watery stool). Although not required for a diagnosis of IBS, the majority of IBS patients experience abdominal bloating, and abdominal distention may also be reported.¹ In a study of over 300 patients with IBS-C, abdominal discomfort and bloating were reported as “very or extremely bothersome” by 58% and 56% of patients, respectively,² demonstrating the negative impact of these IBS symptoms on patients’ lives.

CIC

Diagnosis of CIC is made based on clinical history, physical examination, minimal laboratory tests as clinically indicated, and specific tests of constipation pathophysiology as required, including anorectal manometry and electromyography; however, these tests are not clinically indicated in all patients.¹ These tests may be required to identify dyssynergic defecation, which can often overlap with CIC in the same patient. Diagnostic evaluation should only be performed in patients who do not respond to empiric therapy and should be performed while the patient is not taking laxatives. Diagnosis of CIC requires symptom onset ≥ 6 months before diagnosis and presentation of

symptoms during the last 3 months. Abdominal pain, bloating, and distension may be present, but they are not necessarily the predominant symptoms; therefore, individuals with CIC do not fulfill the criteria for IBS.¹ Diagnostic criteria must include two or more of the following: straining, BMs with BSFS scores 1 or 2, sensation of incomplete evacuation, sensation of anorectal obstruction, a requirement for manual maneuvers for facilitation of defecation for $>25\%$ of BMs, <3 spontaneous BMs per week, loose stools rarely present without the use of laxatives, and insufficient criteria for IBS.

Management of IBS-C and CIC

Role of NPs and PAs

Once a diagnosis is established, the overall aim should be to help patients manage their symptoms and improve their health-related QoL (HRQoL). NPs and PAs are uniquely qualified to establish a positive, communicative, and therapeutic relationship with patients with IBS-C or CIC.²³ A careful and thorough assessment should be performed, including an evaluation of current symptoms, patient history (including lifestyle, daily habits, activity and exercise levels, food intake and aversions, fluid intake, and sleep habits), and any prior medications and treatment interventions, along with the patient’s response to those treatments.^{1,23}

Lifestyle and/or Diet Modifications

Exercise, stress reduction, adequate daily fluid intake, and improved sleep can all help to improve the symptoms of IBS.¹ Patients with IBS-C or CIC should be educated about eliminating medications that cause or worsen constipation where possible, including opiates, diuretics, and iron or calcium supplements (Table 1).^{1,9,24} A thorough evaluation of patient diet and consultation on maintenance of a routine bathroom schedule should also be discussed.^{1,25}

A diet low in fermentable oligo-saccharides, disaccharides, and mono-saccharides and polyols (FODMAPs) may be useful for overall symptom improvement in IBS,²⁶ although it is only weakly suggested by current guidelines to treat IBS-C, and is not recommended for treatment of CIC.^{26,27} While evidence suggests that a low FODMAP diet is useful for treating abdominal symptoms in the short term, additional long-term studies are needed. Patients should also be referred to a registered dietitian to assist in nutritional assessment and to provide guidance on dietary modifications.^{28,29}

Table 1 Medications Commonly Associated with Constipation

• Adrenergic drugs
• Analgesics • NSAIDs • Opiates • Tramadol
• Anticholinergics
• Anticonvulsants
• Antihypertensives • Antiarrhythmic agents • Calcium channel blockers • Diuretics • Furosemide • Hydrochlorothiazide
• Antiparkinsonian drugs • Dopaminergic agents
• Antipsychotics • Phenothiazine derivatives
• Bile salt chelators/bile acid binders
• Bisphosphonates
• Drugs containing cations • Aluminum- or calcium-containing antacids • Bismuth • Calcium supplements • Iron supplements • Lithium • Sucralfate
• Spasmolytics
• Tricyclic antidepressants

Abbreviation: NSAID, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug.

Over-the-Counter Agents

The majority of patients with IBS-C and CIC have tried an over-the-counter medication before consulting a healthcare practitioner.¹⁹ Empiric treatment for IBS-C and CIC should begin with a fiber supplement, followed by osmotic and stimulant laxatives.¹ In addition, antispasmodics such as peppermint oil are used to treat abdominal pain or cramps, discomfort, and/or bloating associated with IBS, but typically do not improve transit time or stool quality.^{1,26}

Fiber

Soluble fibers such as psyllium or ispaghula husk may provide some symptom relief and improve stool viscosity and frequency in IBS and CIC and remain an evidence-based treatment for IBS. In addition, the low cost and lack of

significant side effects make soluble fiber a reasonable first-line therapy.²⁶ In patients with CIC, 7 g of psyllium fiber daily was 3.4 times more effective at increasing stool output and water content than bran cereal alone.³⁰ Prunes and prune fiber have also demonstrated efficacy in alleviating constipation, with one meta-analysis demonstrating increased stool frequency and greater improvement in stool consistency compared with the administration of psyllium fiber in patients with constipation or IBS-C.³¹ For CIC, insoluble, nonfermentable fibers increase stool biomass and stool water content and may accelerate transit due to increased stimulation of secretion and motility. Importantly, insoluble fibers such as bran-containing cereals should be limited in IBS as they can exacerbate symptoms such as pain and bloating, and there is no evidence demonstrating efficacy in alleviating symptoms.^{1,26} Total fiber intake of 20–30 g/day is recommended.¹

Laxatives

Osmotic laxatives such as polyethylene glycol and lactulose have demonstrated effectiveness for the treatment of CIC and have improved stool consistency in IBS-C, but have demonstrated little effect on global IBS symptoms, including abdominal pain, compared with placebo (Table 2).^{1,26,32} Stimulant laxatives have demonstrated clinical benefits for stool frequency and other constipation-associated symptoms; however, they are not indicated for chronic use³³ and can induce or worsen abdominal pain symptoms as abdominal pain and cramping are common adverse effects.^{1,20}

US Food and Drug Administration-Approved Therapies

Patients whose symptoms are not adequately controlled by lifestyle changes or over-the-counter agents may require further pharmaceutical intervention. Medication selection is dependent on the patient's most bothersome symptom (constipation, abdominal pain, etc.), response to any prior therapies, as well as patient and provider preference. Prescription medication options include linaclotide, lubiprostone, and plecanatide for both IBS-C and CIC. Tegaserod and tenapanor are approved for the treatment of IBS-C while prucalopride is approved for use in CIC (Table 2).¹

Non-Approved Medications

Although not approved by the US Food and Drug Administration, certain prescription medications such as

Table 2 Overview of Medications Commonly Used in the Management of IBS-C or CIC

	MoA	Indication	Dose and Administration	Efficacy and Safety	Other Considerations	ACG Recommendation^{26,27a}
OTC medications						
Peppermint oil	Smooth muscle relaxant	IBS-C	1–2 capsules TID orally, 15–30 min before food for 1 month ³⁷	- Improves abdominal pain, discomfort, and bloating³⁸ - Impact on motility is more limited³⁸ - Most common AE is heartburn	Formulations using novel coating have been developed in an attempt to overcome AEs³⁸	Weak recommendation for overall symptom improvement in IBS based on low-quality evidence
Polyethylene glycol	Osmotic laxative	IBS-C CIC	17 g/day, dissolved in 8 oz of water ^{23,39}	- Improves stool consistency and frequency in IBS-C^{26,38} - No evidence of improvement in abdominal pain or global symptoms compared with PBO^{26,32,38} - Common AEs include abdominal pain and headache¹¹	Not approved for chronic use	- Weak recommendation for overall symptom improvement in IBS based on low-quality evidence - Strongly recommended for improving CIC symptoms based on high-quality evidence
FDA-approved medications						
Linaclotide	GC-C agonist	IBS-C CIC	IBS-C: 290 µg QD CIC: 72 or 145 µg QD To be taken on an empty stomach at least 30 minutes prior to the first meal of the day⁴⁰	- In IBS-C, significantly more pts receiving linaclotide met the primary efficacy endpoints: ≥30% improvement in WAP and an increase of ≥1 CSBM from baseline within the same wk (≥6/12 wks of treatment FDA endpoint); or ≥3 CSBMs/wk with an increase of ≥1 CSBM from baseline (and component responses) for ≥9/12 wks vs PBO^{41,42} - In CIC, significantly more pts receiving either dose of linaclotide achieved the primary endpoint compared with PBO (≥3 CSBMs/wk and increase of ≥1 CSBM from baseline for ≥9/12 wks)⁴³ - The most common AEs (reported in ≥2% of pts with IBS-C or CIC) are diarrhea, abdominal pain, flatulence, and abdominal distension⁴⁰	- Contraindicated in pts <6 years of age and pts with known or suspected mechanical GI obstruction - Recommended to avoid linaclotide in pts 6 to <18 years of age⁴⁰	- Strongly recommended for overall symptom improvement in IBS-C based on high-quality evidence - Strongly recommended for treatment of CIC based on high-quality evidence

(Continued)

Table 2 (Continued).

	MoA	Indication	Dose and Administration	Efficacy and Safety	Other Considerations	ACG Recommendation ^{26,27a}
Lubiprostone	Chloride channel activator	IBS-C (females), CIC	IBS-C: 8 µg BID CIC: 24 µg BID • To be taken with food and water ⁴⁴	- Significantly more pts receiving lubiprostone were considered overall responders (reported moderate relief 4 wks/month or significant relief ≥2 wks/month [with no reports of moderate or severely worse relief] for ≥2/3 months) compared with PBO in pts with IBS-C⁴⁵ - Studies in pts with CIC demonstrated higher frequency of SBMs across 4 wks of treatment in lubiprostone-treated pts compared with PBO-treated pts⁴⁴ - The most common AEs (reported in >4% of pts): nausea, diarrhea and abdominal pain for IBS-C pts and nausea, headache, abdominal pain, abdominal distension and flatulence for CIC pts⁴⁴	- Contraindicated in pts with known or suspected mechanical GI obstruction - Approved for use in female adult pts with IBS-C; not determined if men with IBS-C respond differently⁴⁴	- Strongly recommended for overall symptom improvement in IBS-C pts based on moderate-quality evidence - Strongly recommended for treatment of CIC based on high-quality evidence
Plecanatide	GC-C agonist	IBS-C CIC	3 mg QD • To be taken with or without food ⁴⁶	- In IBS-C, a significantly greater proportion of pts receiving plecanatide were overall responders compared with pts receiving PBO (≥30% improvement in WAP and increase in ≥1 CSBM/wk from baseline for ≥6/12 wks)⁴⁷ - A significantly greater percentage of durable overall CSBM responders was observed with plecanatide vs PBO in pts with CIC (≥3 CSBMs/wk with an increase of ≥1 CSBM/wk from baseline for ≥9/12 wks including ≥3 of the last 4 wks)^{48,49} - Diarrhea is the most common AE (occurring in ≥2% of pts)^{46–49}	- Contraindicated in pts <6 years of age and pts with known or suspected mechanical GI obstruction - Recommended to avoid plecanatide in pts 6 to <18 years of age⁴⁶	Recommended for overall symptom improvement in IBS-C based on moderate-quality evidence^b

(Continued)

Table 2 (Continued).

	MoA	Indication	Dose and Administration	Efficacy and Safety	Other Considerations	ACG Recommendation^{26,27a}
Prucalopride	5-HT ₄ receptor agonist	CIC	2 mg QD 1 mg QD for pts with severe renal impairment ⁵⁰	- A significantly greater proportion of pts receiving prucalopride were responders vs pts receiving PBO (responder defined as ≥ 3 CSBMs/wk over 12 wks)^{51,52} - The most common AEs (occurring in $\geq 2\%$ of pts): headache, abdominal pain, nausea, diarrhea, abdominal distension, dizziness, vomiting, flatulence, and fatigue⁵⁰	- Contraindicated in pts with hypersensitivity to prucalopride or in pts with intestinal perforation or obstruction - Pts should be monitored for suicidal ideation and behavior as suicides, suicide attempts, and suicidal ideation have been reported⁵⁰	Strongly recommended and determined to be more effective than PBO in improving symptoms of CIC based on moderate-quality evidence ^c
Tegaserod	5-HT ₄ receptor agonist	IBS-C, female pts aged <65 years ^d	6 mg BID ≥ 30 min before meals ⁵³	- Significantly greater proportions of pts receiving tegaserod were responders vs pts receiving PBO (responders defined as pts reporting considerable or complete relief of IBS symptoms 2/4 wks or somewhat relieved 4/4 wks)⁵³ - Headache, abdominal pain, nausea, flatulence, dyspepsia, and dizziness are the most common AEs (occurring in $\geq 2\%$ of pts)	- Contraindicated in pts with a history of MI, stroke, intestinal ischemia, severe renal impairment, moderate or severe hepatic impairment, bowel obstruction, symptomatic gallbladder disease, suspected SOD, abdominal adhesions, or hypersensitivity to tegaserod⁵³ - Pts should be monitored for clinical worsening of depression and emergence of suicidal thoughts and behaviors⁵³	–
Tenapanor ^e	NHE3 inhibitor	IBS-C	50 mg, BID To be taken immediately prior to the first and last meals of the day⁵⁴	- A significantly greater proportion of pts receiving tenapanor were primary responders (defined as simultaneous $\geq 30\%$ improvement in WAP and increase of ≥ 1 CSBM/wk from baseline for 6/12 wks)⁵⁴ - The most common AEs (occurring in $\geq 2\%$ of pts) are diarrhea, abdominal distension, flatulence, and dizziness⁵⁴	- Tenapanor is contraindicated in pts <6 years of age and pts with known or suspected mechanical GI obstruction - Recommended to avoid tenapanor in pts 6 to <12 years of age⁵⁴	–
Non-FDA-approved prescription medications						
Antispasmodics	Smooth muscle relaxant	Not approved for use in IBS or CIC	Hyoscyamine, up to 15 mg/day Dicyclomine 20–40 mg QD ³⁷	- Can provide short-term symptom relief - Effective as a category in IBS, although evidence supporting individual agents is limited²⁶ - Blurred vision, dizziness, and dry mouth are common AEs	–	Weak recommendation for certain antispasmodics (otilonium, pinaverium, hyoscyne, cimetropium, drotaverine, and dicyclomine) for overall symptom improvement in IBS based on low-quality evidence

(Continued)

Table 2 (Continued).

	MoA	Indication	Dose and Administration	Efficacy and Safety	Other Considerations	ACG Recommendation ^{26,27a}
SSRIs: fluoxetine, paroxetine, citalopram	Serotonin reuptake inhibitor	Not approved for use in IBS or CIC	Fluoxetine: 20 mg QD Paroxetine: 10–50 mg QD Citalopram: 20–40 mg QD ³⁷	- Effective in providing global symptom relief and improving pain^{26,55} - Nausea, insomnia, diarrhea or constipation, decreased libido, ejaculatory dysfunction, and weight gain are common AEs³⁷ - Use may be limited by AEs and healthcare provider acceptance²⁷	Cost of SSRIs may be a concern for some pts²⁷	Weak recommendation for overall symptom improvement in IBS based on low-quality evidence

Notes: ^aIBS-C recommendations based on the 2018 monograph and CIC recommendations based on the 2014 monograph; ^bplecanatide was approved for treatment of CIC subsequent to the publication of the 2014 monograph for CIC; ^cprucalopride was not available in the US at the time of the 2014 ACG monograph for CIC, but was available in Canada and the European Union; ^dtegaserod was withdrawn from the US market in 2007 owing to concerns about cardiovascular AEs and was approved for this specific patient population in March 2019, subsequent to publication of the monograph; ^etenapanor was approved for treatment of IBS-C in September 2019.

Abbreviations: 5-HT₄, serotonin-4; ACG, American College of Gastroenterology; AE, adverse event; BID, twice daily; CIC, chronic idiopathic constipation; CSBM, complete spontaneous bowel movement; FDA, US Food and Drug Administration; GC-C, guanylate cyclase-C; GI, gastrointestinal; IBS, irritable bowel syndrome; IBS-C, irritable bowel syndrome with constipation; MI, myocardial infarction; mo, month; MoA, mechanism of action; NHE3, sodium/hydrogen exchanger 3; OTC, over-the-counter; PBO, placebo; pts, patients; QD, once daily; SBM, spontaneous bowel movement; SOD, sphincter of Oddi dysfunction; SSRI, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor; TID, three times daily; VAP, worst abdominal pain; wk, week.

selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) and antispasmodics are often used off label (Table 2). SSRIs have demonstrated efficacy in relieving pain and overall symptoms in IBS; however, they are not recommended by the American College of Gastroenterology as the overall evidence is weak.^{1,26} Antispasmodics are sometimes used to treat abdominal cramping, but they should be used with caution as constipation is a common side effect (Table 2).²⁶

Patients may also seek complementary or alternative medicines, such as herbs, acupuncture, and homeopathy,^{34,35} to treat or supplement the treatment of their condition; however, their efficacy in treating symptoms remains inconclusive and controversial,^{34,36} and these treatments are outside the scope of this review.

Conclusions

IBS-C and CIC are two disorders of gut–brain interaction, each characterized by a spectrum of bowel and abdominal symptoms, with predominant abdominal pain defining IBS-C, and bowel symptoms including straining and feelings of incomplete evacuation defining CIC. These disorders are thought to exist on a continuum of disease severity, and although distinct diagnostic criteria are available for each disorder, it is important to consider each patient's experience over the course of the disorder, as symptom overlap is quite high. Owing to their impact on patient HRQoL and the burden on the healthcare system, reliable diagnosis and

effective treatment are critical to the management of these disorders. Management often includes a thorough explanation of the disorder, patient reassurance, and extensive patient counseling. NPs and PAs therefore should feel confident in making a diagnosis of IBS-C or CIC as well as developing individualized management plans for patients based on symptoms. Diet and lifestyle interventions, such as scheduled meals, adequate fluids, increased soluble fiber intake, and exercise, all demonstrate benefit to patients and improvement of symptoms. Some patients may require both lifestyle modifications and pharmaceutical interventions to optimize management of their symptoms.

Acknowledgments

The authors met the criteria for authorship as recommended by the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors. The authors take full responsibility for the scope, direction, and content of the manuscript and have approved the submitted manuscript. The authors received no compensation related to the development of this manuscript. They would like to thank Katie L. Beski, PhD, and Rebecca Fletcher, BA(Hons), of Complete HealthVizion, Inc., for editorial assistance in the writing and revision of the draft manuscript on the basis of detailed discussion and feedback from all authors; this assistance was funded by Allergan plc (prior to acquisition by AbbVie Inc.) and Ironwood Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

Funding

This study was funded by Allergan plc (prior to acquisition by AbbVie Inc.) and Ironwood Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

Disclosure

Financial arrangements of the authors with companies whose products may be related to the present report are listed as follows: Sarah Patel is a consultant for Allergan plc. Elayne DeSimone is a consultant for Allergan plc. Bethany Doerfler has no conflicts to disclose. Katerine Boutros is an employee of AbbVie Inc. Samson Ng is a former employee of AbbVie Inc. and owned stock/stock options at the time of employment. Mabelle Manuel is a former employee of Ironwood Pharmaceuticals, Inc., and owned stock/stock options at the time of employment. The authors report no other conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- Lacy BE, Mearin F, Chang L, et al. Bowel disorders. *Gastroenterology*. 2016;150(6):1393–1407.e5. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2016.02.031
- Heidelbaugh JJ, Stelwagon M, Miller SA, Shea EP, Chey WD. The spectrum of constipation-predominant irritable bowel syndrome and chronic idiopathic constipation: US survey assessing symptoms, care seeking, and disease burden. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2015;110(4):580–587. doi:10.1038/ajg.2015.67
- Drossman DA. Functional gastrointestinal disorders: history, pathophysiology, clinical features, and Rome IV. *Gastroenterology*. 2016;150(6):1262–1279. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2016.02.032
- Halder SLS, Locke GR, Schleck CD, Zinsmeister AR, Melton LJ, Talley NJ. Natural history of functional gastrointestinal disorders: a 12-year longitudinal population-based study. *Gastroenterology*. 2007;133(3):799–807. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2007.06.010
- Harris LA, Horn J, Kissous-Hunt M, Magnus L, Quigley EMM. The better understanding and recognition of the disconnects, experiences, and needs of patients with chronic idiopathic constipation (BURDEN-CIC) study: results of an online questionnaire. *Adv Ther*. 2017;34(12):2661–2673. doi:10.1007/s12325-017-0633-5
- Lacy BE, Moreau JC. Diarrhea-predominant irritable bowel syndrome: diagnosis, etiology, and new treatment considerations. *J Am Assoc Nurse Pract*. 2016;28(7):393–404. doi:10.1002/2327-6924.12387
- Vazquez Roque M, Bouras EP. Epidemiology and management of chronic constipation in elderly patients. *Clin Interv Aging*. 2015;10:919–930. doi:10.2147/CIA.S54304
- Higgins PDR, Johanson JF. Epidemiology of constipation in North America: a systematic review. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2004;99(4):750–759. doi:10.1111/j.1572-0241.2004.04114.x
- Bouras EP, Tangalos EG. Chronic constipation in the elderly. *Gastroenterol Clin North Am*. 2009;38(3):463–480. doi:10.1016/j.gtc.2009.06.001
- Doshi JA, Cai Q, Buono JL, et al. Economic burden of irritable bowel syndrome with constipation: a retrospective analysis of health care costs in a commercially insured population. *J Manag Care Pharm*. 2014;20(4):382–390. doi:10.18553/jmcp.2014.20.4.382
- Saito YA, Schoenfeld P, Locke GR. The epidemiology of irritable bowel syndrome in North America: a systematic review. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2002;97(8):1910–1915. doi:10.1111/j.1572-0241.2002.05913.x
- Hungin APS, Chang L, Locke GR, Dennis EH, Barghout V. Irritable bowel syndrome in the United States: prevalence, symptom patterns and impact. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2005;21(11):1365–1375. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2036.2005.02463.x
- Choung RS, Locke GR, Schleck CD, Zinsmeister AR, Talley NJ. Cumulative incidence of chronic constipation: a population-based study 1988–2003. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2007;26(11–12):1521–1528. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2036.2007.03540.x
- Canavan C, West J, Card T. The epidemiology of irritable bowel syndrome. *Clin Epidemiol*. 2014;6:71–80. doi:10.2147/CLEP.S40245
- Houghton LA, Heitkemper M, Crowell MD, et al. Age, gender, and women's health and the patient. *Gastroenterology*. 2016;150(6):1332–1343.e4. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2016.02.017
- Nellessen D, Yee K, Chawla A, Lewis BE, Carson RT. A systematic review of the economic and humanistic burden of illness in irritable bowel syndrome and chronic constipation. *J Manag Care Pharm*. 2013;19(9):755–764. doi:10.18553/jmcp.2013.19.9.755
- Ruiz-López MC, Coss-Adame E. Quality of life in patients with different constipation subtypes based on the Rome III criteria. *Rev Gastroenterol Mex*. 2015;80(1):13–20. doi:10.1016/j.rgm.2015.01.003
- Johanson JF, Kralstein J. Chronic constipation: a survey of the patient perspective. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2007;25(5):599–608. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2036.2006.03238.x
- American Gastroenterological Association. IBS in America: survey summary findings. 2015. Available from: <http://www.multivu.com/players/English/7634451-aga-ibs-in-america-survey/docs/survey-findings-pdf-635473172.pdf>. Accessed August 24, 2020.
- Chey WD, Kurlander J, Eswaran S. Irritable bowel syndrome: a clinical review. *JAMA*. 2015;313(9):949–958. doi:10.1001/jama.2015.0954
- Platt FW, Gaspar DL, Coulehan JL, et al. “Tell me about yourself”: the patient-centered interview. *Ann Intern Med*. 2001;134(11):1079–1085. doi:10.7326/0003-4819-134-11-200106050-00020
- Lewis SJ, Heaton KW. Stool form scale as a useful guide to intestinal transit time. *Scand J Gastroenterol*. 1997;32(9):920–924. doi:10.3109/00365529709011203
- Patel S, DeSimone E, Doerfler BM, Halpert A, Lucak S. Irritable bowel syndrome: practical considerations for nurse practitioners and physician assistants. 2018. Available from: <https://www.consultant360.com/article/gastroenterology/irritable-bowel-syndrome/irritable-bowel-syndrome-practical-considerations>. Accessed January 21, 2019.
- Mearin F, Ciriza C, Mínguez M, et al. Clinical practice guideline: irritable bowel syndrome with constipation and functional constipation in the adult. *Rev Esp Enferm Dig*. 2016;108(6):332–363. doi:10.17235/reed.2016.4389/2016
- Mounsey A, Raleigh M, Wilson A. Management of constipation in older adults. *Am Fam Physician*. 2015;92(6):500–504.
- Ford AC, Moayyedi P, Chey WD, et al. American College of Gastroenterology monograph on management of irritable bowel syndrome. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2018;113(Suppl 2):1–18. doi:10.1038/s41395-018-0084-x
- Ford AC, Moayyedi P, Lacy BE, et al. American College of Gastroenterology monograph on the management of irritable bowel syndrome and chronic idiopathic constipation. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2014;109(Suppl 1):S2–S26. doi:10.1038/ajg.2014.187
- Rao SSC, Yu S, Fedewa A. Systematic review: dietary fibre and FODMAP-restricted diet in the management of constipation and irritable bowel syndrome. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2015;41(12):1256–1270. doi:10.1111/apt.13167
- Chey WD, Keefer L, Whelan K, Gibson PR. Behavioral and diet therapies in integrated care for patients with irritable bowel syndrome. *Gastroenterology*. 2021;160(1):47–62. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2020.06.099

30. McRorie JW Jr, Fahey GC Jr, Gibb RD, Chey WD. Laxative effects of wheat bran and psyllium: resolving enduring misconceptions about fiber in treatment guidelines for chronic idiopathic constipation. *J Am Assoc Nurse Pract.* 2020;32(1):15–23. doi:10.1097/JXX.0000000000000346
31. Lever E, Cole J, Scott SM, Emery PW, Whelan K. Systematic review: the effect of prunes on gastrointestinal function. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* 2014;40(7):750–758. doi:10.1111/apt.12913
32. Chapman RW, Stanghellini V, Geraint M, Halphen M. Randomized clinical trial: macrogol/PEG 3350 plus electrolytes for treatment of patients with constipation associated with irritable bowel syndrome. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 2013;108(9):1508–1515. doi:10.1038/ajg.2013.197
33. Kamm MA, Mueller-Lissner S, Wald A, Richter E, Swallow R, Gessner U. Oral bisacodyl is effective and well-tolerated in patients with chronic constipation. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2011;9(7):577–583. doi:10.1016/j.cgh.2011.03.026
34. Chang FY. Irritable bowel syndrome: the evolution of multi-dimensional looking and multidisciplinary treatments. *World J Gastroenterol.* 2014;20(10):2499–2514. doi:10.3748/wjg.v20.i10.2499
35. Nag A, Martin SA, Mladi D, Olayinka-Amao O, Purser M, Vekaria RM. The humanistic and economic burden of chronic idiopathic constipation in the USA: a systematic literature review. *Clin Exp Gastroenterol.* 2020;13:255–265. doi:10.2147/CEG.S239205
36. Peckham EJ, Cooper K, Roberts ER, Agrawal A, Brabyn S, Tew G. Homeopathy for treatment of irritable bowel syndrome. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2019;9(9):CD009710. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD009710.pub3
37. Lucak S, Chang L, Halpert A, Harris LA. Current and emergent pharmacologic treatments for irritable bowel syndrome with diarrhea: evidence-based treatment in practice. *Therap Adv Gastroenterol.* 2017;10(2):253–275. doi:10.1177/1756283X16663396
38. Chey WD. Symposium report: an evidence-based approach to IBS and CIC: applying new advances to daily practice: a review of an adjunct clinical symposium of the American College of Gastroenterology Meeting October 16, 2016, Las Vegas, Nevada. *Gastroenterol Hepatol (N.Y.)* 2017;13(2 suppl 1):1–16.
39. DiPalma JA, Cleveland MV, McGowan J, Herrera JL. A randomized, multicenter, placebo-controlled trial of polyethylene glycol laxative for chronic treatment of chronic constipation. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 2007;102(7):1436–1441. doi:10.1111/j.1572-0241.2007.01199.x
40. US Food and Drug Administration. Linzess – highlights of prescribing information. 2020. Available from: https://media.allergan.com/actavis/actavis/media/allergan-pdf-documents/product-prescribing/Final_labeling_text_10-2018-AR-updates-LINZESS-clean.pdf. Accessed February, 2021.
41. Rao S, Lembo AJ, Shiff SJ, et al. A 12-week, randomized, controlled trial with a 4-week randomized withdrawal period to evaluate the efficacy and safety of linaclotide in irritable bowel syndrome with constipation. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 2012;107(11):1714–1724. doi:10.1038/ajg.2012.255
42. Chey WD, Lembo AJ, Lavins BJ, et al. Linaclotide for irritable bowel syndrome with constipation: a 26-week, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial to evaluate efficacy and safety. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 2012;107(11):1702–1712. doi:10.1038/ajg.2012.254
43. Lembo AJ, Schneier HA, Shiff SJ, et al. Two randomized trials of linaclotide for chronic constipation. *N Engl J Med.* 2011;365(6):527–536. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1010863
44. US Food and Drug Administration. Amitiza – highlights of prescribing information. 2020. Available from: <https://general.takedapharm.com/amitizapi>. Accessed February 26, 2021.
45. Drossman DA, Chey WD, Johanson JF, et al. Clinical trial: lubiprostone in patients with constipation-associated irritable bowel syndrome – results of two randomized, placebo-controlled studies. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* 2009;29(3):329–341. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2036.2008.03881.x
46. US Food and Drug Administration. Trulance – highlights of prescribing information. 2018. Available from: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2018/208745s001bl.pdf. Accessed August, 2020.
47. Brenner DM, Fogel R, Dorn SD, et al. Efficacy, safety, and tolerability of plecanatide in patients with irritable bowel syndrome with constipation: results of two phase 3 randomized clinical trials. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 2018;113(5):735–745. doi:10.1038/s41395-018-0026-7
48. DeMicco M, Barrow L, Hickey B, Shailubhai K, Griffin P. Randomized clinical trial: efficacy and safety of plecanatide in the treatment of chronic idiopathic constipation. *Therap Adv Gastroenterol.* 2017;10(11):837–851. doi:10.1177/1756283X17734697
49. Miner PB Jr, Koltun WD, Wiener GJ, et al. A randomized Phase III clinical trial of plecanatide, a uroguanylin analog, in patients with chronic idiopathic constipation. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 2017;112(4):613–621. doi:10.1038/ajg.2016.611
50. US Food and Drug Administration. Prucalopride – highlights of prescribing information. 2018. Available from: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2018/210166s000bl.pdf. Accessed July 26, 2019.
51. Camilleri M, Kerstens R, Rykx A, Vandeplasse L. A placebo-controlled trial of prucalopride for severe chronic constipation. *N Engl J Med.* 2008;358(22):2344–2354. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa0800670
52. Quigley EMM, Vandeplasse L, Kerstens R, Ausma J. Clinical trial: the efficacy, impact on quality of life, and safety and tolerability of prucalopride in severe chronic constipation – a 12-week, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* 2009;29(3):315–328. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2036.2008.03884.x
53. US Food and Drug Administration. Zelnorm – highlights of prescribing information. 2019. Available from: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2019/021200Orig1s015bl.pdf. Accessed April 22, 2019.
54. US Food and Drug Administration. IBSRELA – highlights of prescribing information. 2019. Available from: <https://ardelyx.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/09/PI-Approval.pdf>. Accessed December 13, 2019.
55. Ford AC, Talley NJ, Schoenfeld PS, Quigley EMM, Moayyedi P. Efficacy of antidepressants and psychological therapies in irritable bowel syndrome: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Gut.* 2009;58(3):367–378. doi:10.1136/gut.2008.163162
56. Drossman DA. *Rome IV Functional Gastrointestinal Disorders, Disorders of the Gut-Brain Interaction - Volume II*. 4th ed. In: Chang L, Chey WD, Kellow J, Tack J, William E. Whitehead WE, Rome IV Committees, Eds. The Rome Foundation; 2016.

International Journal of General Medicine**Dovepress****Publish your work in this journal**

The International Journal of General Medicine is an international, peer-reviewed open-access journal that focuses on general and internal medicine, pathogenesis, epidemiology, diagnosis, monitoring and treatment protocols. The journal is characterized by the rapid reporting of reviews, original research and clinical studies

across all disease areas. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/international-journal-of-general-medicine-journal>