

Extent of coronary artery disease is associated with myocardial infarction and mortality in patients with diabetes mellitus [Response to Letter]

This article was published in the following Dove Press journal:
Clinical Epidemiology

Kevin Kris Warnakula Olesen^{1,2}
Christine Gyldenkerne¹
Morten Madsen²
Troels Thim¹
Lisette Okkels Jensen³
Bent Raungaard⁴
Henrik Toft Sørensen²
Hans Erik Bøtker¹
Michael Maeng¹

¹Department of Cardiology, Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus, Denmark;
²Department of Clinical Epidemiology, Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus, Denmark; ³Department of Cardiology, Odense University Hospital, Odense, Denmark; ⁴Department of Cardiology, Aalborg University Hospital, Aalborg, Denmark

Dear editor

We thank Xu et al for their interest in our study.¹ First, we unfortunately did not have access to LDL measurements which we acknowledge potentially could have provided valuable information. We found that statin treatment increased with the extent of coronary artery disease (CAD) which may lead to underestimation of the actual effect of CAD extent.

Second, our dataset did not include information on HbA1c. The association between hyperglycemia and cardiovascular risk is complex.² Furthermore, glycemic levels are not part of cardiovascular risk assessment in patients with diabetes since HbA1c does not sufficiently represent the diabetes-related cardiovascular disease severity.²

Third, we agree that the location and number of coronary lesions are important indicators of atherosclerotic disease burden at the individual patient level. However, at a cohort level CAD extent was strongly associated with adverse cardiovascular events in diabetes patients. Furthermore, our study aligns with previous results that demonstrated a clear association between the CAD extent and cardiovascular risk in patients with diabetes after coronary angiography.³

Fourth, we did not perform analysis stratified by sex. However, we included sex as a variable in the multivariate regression analyses in the study, thus adjusting for the potential effect of sex. We found that sex was not strongly associated with risk of major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE) in angiography patients. Women only had a slightly lower risk of MACE compared to men (adjusted incidence rate ratio 0.93, 95% CI 0.83–1.04) when accounting for additional confounders including CAD extent.

Fifth, we agree that poly-drug usage may result in lower compliance. However, nothing in our data indicates low drug compliance. Our data rather show that compliance to anti-thrombotic treatment and statins was high in patients with CAD. While we cannot account for actual drug ingestion in a registry setting, drug treatment results were based on prescription dispensations. The patients would have had to actively redeem and pay for their prescriptions at a pharmacy which strongly indicates drug compliance. Furthermore, drug treatment was high in all patients with either 1-, 2-, or 3-vessel obstructive CAD. Any potential lack of drug compliance due to poly-drug use would presumably be similar among these patients and, thus, cannot account for the association between cardiovascular risk and CAD extent.

Correspondence: Kevin Kris Warnakula Olesen
Department of Cardiology, Aarhus University Hospital, Palle Juul-Jensens Boulevard 99, Aarhus 8200, Denmark
Tel +45 5 380 6480
Email kevole@clin.au.dk

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this communication.

References

1. Gyldenkerne C, Olesen KKW, Madsen M, et al. Extent of coronary artery disease is associated with myocardial infarction and mortality in patients with diabetes mellitus. *Clin Epidemiol*. 2019;11:419–428. doi:10.2147/CLEP.S200173
2. Authors/Task Force Members, Rydén L, Grant PJ, et al. ESC guidelines on diabetes, pre-diabetes, and cardiovascular diseases developed in collaboration with the EASD - summary. *Diab Vasc Dis Res*. 2014;11(3):133–173. doi:10.1177/1479164114525548
3. Maddox TM, Stanislawski MA, Grunwald GK, et al. Nonobstructive coronary artery disease and risk of myocardial infarction. *Jama*. 2014;312(17):1754–1763. doi:10.1001/jama.2014.14681

Dove Medical Press encourages responsible, free and frank academic debate. The content of the Clinical Epidemiology 'letters to the editor' section does not necessarily represent the views of Dove Medical Press, its officers, agents, employees, related entities or the Clinical Epidemiology editors. While all reasonable steps have been taken to confirm the content of each letter, Dove Medical Press accepts no liability in respect of the content of any letter, nor is it responsible for the content and accuracy of any letter to the editor.

Clinical Epidemiology

Dovepress

Publish your work in this journal

Clinical Epidemiology is an international, peer-reviewed, open access, online journal focusing on disease and drug epidemiology, identification of risk factors and screening procedures to develop optimal preventative initiatives and programs. Specific topics include: diagnosis, prognosis, treatment, screening, prevention, risk factor modification,

systematic reviews, risk & safety of medical interventions, epidemiology & biostatistical methods, and evaluation of guidelines, translational medicine, health policies & economic evaluations. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use.

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/clinical-epidemiology-journal>