

In vitro study of RRS® Silisorg CE Class III medical device composed of silanol: effect on human skin fibroblasts and its clinical use

Pierre-Antoine Deglesne*
Rodrigo Arroyo*
Javier Fidalgo López*
Lilian Sepúlveda*
Evgeniya Ranneva
Philippe Deprez

Research and Development, Skin Tech Pharma Group, Castelló d'Empúries, Spain

*These authors contributed equally to this work

→ Video abstract



Point your Smartphone at the code above. If you have a QR code reader the video abstract will appear. Or use: <http://youtu.be/8s6ZDbhPWf0>

Correspondence: Philippe Deprez
Research and Development, Skin Tech Pharma Group, c/ Pla de l'Estany, 29, 17486 Castelló d'Empúries, Girona, Spain
Tel +34 647 850 780
Email phd@estetik.com

Introduction: Silanol (organic silicon) has been used for decades in the treatment of skin photoaging as it stabilizes and maintains skin structures through hydrogen bonding electrostatic interaction with extracellular matrix (ECM) proteins or glycosaminoglycans. Organic silicon-based products are often presented as silanol derivatives which are currently associated to other structural molecules such as orthohydroxybenzoate, carboxymethyl theophylline alginate, ascorbate, acetyltyrosine, sodium lactate or mannuronate. Consequently, organic silicon formulations may differ substantially between the medical devices available on the market, which may result in additional effect on the skin. Therefore, there is a real need for a better characterization of the products in terms of their action on human skin and in vitro skin model.

Materials and methods: In this in vitro study, the effect of RRS® Silisorg was analyzed. RRS® Silisorg is a dermal implant (CE Class III medical device) containing monomethylsilanol mannuronate associated to an antioxidant resveratrol. Skin fibroblast viability and capacity to induce the production of key ECM genes were evaluated in the presence of different concentrations of RRS® Silisorg. The key ECM genes selected were collagen type I, elastin and hyaluronan synthase type 2 (HAS2), which is the cellular enzyme responsible for high-molecular weight hyaluronic acid (HA) production. Viability was evaluated through 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide assay and expression was quantified by quantitative polymerase chain reaction.

Results: RRS® Silisorg increased fibroblast gene expression of HAS2 in the first 24 hours, 25 times in the presence of 1 mg/mL of solution, followed by a collagen type I gene expression (4.7 times) and elastin expression (2.5 times) increase after 48 hours.

Conclusion: These results demonstrate that the silanol-based medical device RRS® Silisorg sustains HA, collagen and elastin production in human skin fibroblasts in vitro.

Keywords: dermal implant, mesotherapy, hyaluronic acid, collagen, organic silicon, photoaging

Introduction

Silicon is the second most abundant element on earth, after oxygen. Silicon occurs as silicon dioxide (SiO₂) or the corresponding silicic acids formed by the hydration of the oxide. Orthosilicic acid (OSA [Si(OH)₄]) is the simplest acid and it is accepted as being the natural biological form of silicon in humans.¹ Other forms of water-soluble organic derivatives are available, and are known as silanols or organic silicons.

Silicon is a natural trace element of the mammalian diet, which has been reported to be important for the normal health of bone and the connective tissues.²⁻⁴ Indeed, dietary Si deprivation in growing animals appears to cause abnormal growth and defects in the connective tissues.^{5,6} On the contrary, high levels of Si are reported

to be associated with healthy connective tissues (eg, aorta, bone, trachea, tendon, etc.), and especially their connective tissue components (ie, collagen and elastin), compared to non-connective tissues (eg, liver, kidney, spleen, etc.).^{7,8} A four to five times difference in Si levels between connective and non-connective tissues has been reported in adult rats, and serum Si levels have been demonstrated to be a serum marker of collagen type I turnover.⁹ Finally, the Si/collagen molar ratio has been found to be 1:6 in bone rats independently of the age, which supports a structural role for Si(OH)₄.¹⁰ Experimental models confirm that OSA interacts with collagen through electrostatic hydrogen bonding, this interaction being maximum at pH 5.¹¹

Organic silicones have been used for decades, alone or in combination, in mesotherapeutic treatments and, more recently, as food complements, with both utilizations claiming a beneficial action on skin dermis and other connective tissues.¹² When added for nutritional purposes to food as a supplement, it often takes the form of monomethylsilanetriol as source of bioavailable silicon. The typical daily dietary silicon intake for an adult is estimated to be 17–40 mg/day on average.¹³

The clinical effect of the use of organic silicon was also emphasized by two randomized placebo-controlled double-blind clinical studies in women, aged 40–65 years, with clear clinical signs of photoaging on facial skin. These studies demonstrated a positive effect of oral choline-stabilized OSA supplementation on skin condition, hair morphology, hair tensile strengths and brittleness of hair and nails.^{14,15} In clearance studies, it was observed that 20% of the injected Si was retained by the tissues, with high levels in skin and bone.^{16,17} Studies show a direct involvement of Si in extracellular matrix (ECM) stabilization, particularly for collagen which is the main structural protein of connective tissues.^{4,18}

RRS® Silisorg is a CE Class III medical device dermal implant containing monomethylsilanol mannuronate associated to an antioxidant resveratrol. RRS® Silisorg is indicated for the treatment of dermal and subcutaneous tissue depressions in the case of ultraviolet (UV)-induced skin laxity (photoaging and its consequences). In an exhaustive previous bibliographic review on mesotherapy/biorevitalization products for skin rejuvenation purposes, we showed that only a very few numbers of scientific reports are available in that field.³⁴ Nevertheless, the number of aesthetic procedures currently using mesotherapy products is increasing and there is a real need to better characterize the action of those

products as most of them are sold as medical devices for their ability to fill dermis. Those commercial products are usually constituted of hyaluronic acid (HA), and/or organic silicon or other biopolymers and may have an additional effect on skin cells, especially on the dermis compartment where there are injected.

In this study, we evaluated the effect of RRS® Silisorg (Skin Tech Pharma Group, Castelló d'Empúries, Spain) on human fibroblast cell viability, on hyaluronan synthase type 2 (HAS2) enzyme, and on collagen type I and elastin genes expression. These *in vitro* studies were performed by an independent, external and certified laboratory (Eurofins BioPharma Product Testing Spain SLU).

Materials and methods

Cell culture and MTT assay

Human skin fibroblasts purchased from ATCC (ATCC-CRL-2522) were seeded at 15,000 cells/well in 24-well cell culture plates and allowed to grow for 24 hours at 37°C with 5% CO₂. The cell culture medium was Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (D-MEM) plus 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), and cells underwent starvation in a serum-free medium for 6 hours before treatment. Next, cells were exposed to the tested sample at the specified concentrations (0.2 and 1 mg/mL) in a fresh medium without FBS and incubated for 24 or 48 hours. Cells treated with the medium alone were used as a negative control and those treated with human insulin (hu-insulin) at a 50 µg/mL concentration were used as a positive control. All dilutions were tested in triplicate.

After treatment, cell survival was determined using a MTT assay. Briefly, 300 µL of the MTT solution was added in each well and incubated for 3 hours at 37°C. The medium was removed, the precipitated blue formazan dye was dissolved in isopropanol or dimethyl sulfoxide (300 µL per well) and the absorbance was quantified spectrophotometrically at 550 nm. The plate was shaken on a gyratory plate shaker, ensuring that all the crystals were dissolved and formed a homogeneous solution. Three independent experiments were done and the absorbance for each experiment was measured per triplicate on a microplate reader (Tecan Sunrise remote), with background clearing. Results were expressed as follows:

$$\% \text{ cell viability} = \frac{\text{OD}_{550 \text{ nm of tested product}}}{\text{OD}_{550 \text{ nm negative control}}} \times 100$$

RNA extraction, reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction and quantitative polymerase chain reaction

Human skin-derived normal fibroblasts purchased from ATCC (ATCC CRL-2522) were seeded at 10,000 cells/well in 24-well cell culture plates and allowed to grow for 24 hours at 37°C with 5% CO₂. The cell culture medium was D-MEM (minimum essential medium) + 10% FBS, and cells underwent starvation in a serum-free medium for 6 hours before treatment. Next, cells were exposed to scalar dilutions of the tested products (0.2 and 1 mg/mL) in a serum-free fresh medium. Untreated cells were used as a negative control; cells treated with hu-insulin (50 µg/mL) were used as a positive control, and all experiments were performed in triplicates.

After 24 and 48 hours of exposure, total RNA was extracted using a guanidine thiocyanate-based reagent according to manufacturer's instruction (TRI Reagent®, Sigma-Aldrich Co., St Louis, MO, USA). After precipitation and centrifugation (30 minutes, 120,000 rpm, 4°C), RNA was resuspended in 20 µL of sterile water and its concentration determined spectrophotometrically at 260 and 280 nm. Then, 300 ng of total RNA was retro-transcribed into cDNA using random primers at 37°C for 2 hours in a thermal cycler following manufacturer's instruction (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). Changes in the gene expression profile were analyzed by quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) technology using an SYBR green-based chemistry. Primers pair sequences used for HAS2, collagen type I and elastin gene amplification as well as the normalizer actin were designed by Eurofins. Three independent experiments were performed for each condition, and for each experiment duplicate reading was performed. The calculation of the fold change was done in two steps, after measuring C_T (threshold cycle) according to the following formulas:

$$\Delta C_T = C_T(\text{target}) - C_T(\text{normalizer})$$

$$\text{Fold change} = 2^{-\Delta C_T}$$

Statistical analysis

An unpaired *t*-test was used to determine *p*-value and statistical significance. GraphPad software was used for the graphic representation of the data and statistical analysis.

Results

RRS® Silisorg clinical survey

RRS® Silisorg is a CE Class III medical device dermal implant containing monomethylsilanol mannuronate

associated to an antioxidant resveratrol. RRS® Silisorg is indicated for the treatment of dermal and subcutaneous tissue depressions in the case of UV-induced skin laxity (photoaging and its consequences). RRS® Silisorg is recommended to treat the skin of face/neck/décolletage and body using dermal injections. To perform injections, a 30 G or 32 G needle or cannula can be used. Following instructions for use, 5 mL of RRS® Silisorg is injected per treatment. Figure 1 presents the results for the use of RRS® Silisorg.

A post-market survey according to EN ISO 13485 has been performed properly since 2013 till 2018; claims related to safety issues of RRS® Silisorg were not registered, and any serious adverse effect was not declared.

RRS® Silisorg does not affect human fibroblast viability

In vitro experiments were all carried out on primary human fibroblasts as they represent the main cellular population of the human dermis skin compartment. Indeed, dermal fibroblasts ensure the production of the ECM components composing the dermal connective tissue responsible for the skin's tensile strength and mechanical properties.¹⁹

In this study, we first evaluated the effect of RRS® Silisorg on human fibroblast viability. Cell viability evaluation was performed using the MTT assay on resting synchronized serum starved human fibroblasts. The assay was done in the presence of two different concentrations of the RRS® Silisorg (0.2 and 1 mg/mL) and compared to two control conditions, one lacking RRS® Silisorg (non-stimulated control) and the other activated with 50 µg/mL of hu-insulin (stimulated control). Two different time points were evaluated at 24 and 48 hours posttreatment with RRS® Silisorg.



Figure 1 Results before and after RRS® Silisorg, courtesy of Dr Katarzyna Luch.

The results demonstrated that RRS® Silisorg was not toxic (p -value >0.05) to human fibroblasts in vitro at the highest dose (1 mg/mL) and at the posttreatment time points (24 and 48 hours). A slight increase in cellular viability $16.2\pm 3.9\%$ (p -value <0.05) was observed after 24 hours in the presence of 1 mg/mL of RRS® Silisorg. Nevertheless, this increase in viability was not sustained after 48 hours (Figure 2).

RRS® Silisorg promotes the synthesis of HAS2, collagen type I and elastin gene transcripts by human fibroblasts

The ECM of the dermis is largely composed of collagen, elastic fibers and HA. Dermal collagen represents by far the most abundant ECM protein and constitutes the bulk of skin (90% dry weight).^{20,21} Among the five types of collagen present in the skin, collagen type I represents 80% of the total content and is therefore the main structural collagen type in the skin.²² Another 10%–15% is represented by collagen type III.²³ The elastic fiber network in the ECM gives it the required resilience to recoil after stretching and is composed of elastin proteins. Finally, HA, which is a non-sulfated glycosaminoglycan, not only ensures essential moisturizing of the dermis but is also involved in cell signaling through receptors such as the CD44.²⁴

We evaluated the gene expression of collagen type I, elastin and the HAS2 enzyme responsible for the production of

high-molecular chain HA. Gene expression was assessed by qPCR as described in the “Materials and methods” section, in synchronized human fibroblasts in the presence of different concentrations of RRS® Silisorg (0.2 and 1 mg/mL) at two different time points (24 and 48 hours). One negative control (lacking RRS® Silisorg) and a positive control (cultured in the presence of 50 µg/mL of hu-insulin) were used for subsequent comparisons.

Results were expressed as a fold increase in gene transcript expression between the activated condition and the control condition. RRS® Silisorg was able to significantly increase the expression of mRNA of HAS2, collagen type I and elastin gene. Nevertheless, the expression pattern of HAS2 was different from collagen type I and elastin gene. Indeed, the maximum expression of HAS2 gene was reached at 24 hours with a fold increase of 10.3 ± 2.3 and 25.5 ± 4.6 at a dose of 0.2 and 1 mg/mL, respectively (p -value <0.05). At 48 hours, HAS2 mRNA expression started to decrease with a fold increase of 8.5 ± 1.1 for 0.2 mg/mL and 10.5 ± 2.3 for 1 mg/mL (p -value <0.05). In the case of collagen type I and elastin gene, the maximum increase was obtained at 48 hours following the activation of fibroblasts by RRS® Silisorg. Collagen type I RNA expression reached its maximum expression at 48 hours with a fold increase of 2.61 ± 0.39 and 4.73 ± 1.06 at an RRS® Silisorg concentration of 0.2 and 1 mg/mL, respectively (p -value <0.05). For elastin gene, the maximum fold increase was obtained at 48 hours and was 2.57 ± 0.18 for an RRS® Silisorg concentration of 1 mg/mL (p -value <0.05 ; Figure 3).




Figure 2 Cell viability of human fibroblasts cultured in vitro in the presence of two concentrations (0.2 and 1 mg/mL) of RRS® Silisorg evaluated using the MTT assay at 24 (gray color) and 48 (black color) hours posttreatment. As a positive control, human fibroblasts were activated with 50 µg/mL of hu-insulin. Results are expressed as the percentage of viability compared to the negative control.

Abbreviation: hu-insulin, human insulin.

Discussion

In this study, the RRS® Silisorg activity was tested on human fibroblasts, which is justified by the fact that the product is directly injected in the dermis compartment where it comes in contact with dermal fibroblasts. Viability data obtained in this in vitro study on human fibroblasts are in line with preclinical tests that were realized with the RRS® Silisorg for the delivery of the CE Class III certificate. Those tests, described in Table 1, demonstrate the biocompatibility and lack of toxicity of the RRS® Silisorg medical device, based on studies successfully performed on cell lines, animals and bacteria. They are part of the CE Class III medical device evaluation and are done to ensure patient safety.

RRS® Silisorg greatly enhances the expression of HAS2 up to 25 times in human fibroblasts following 24 hours of contact in vitro. The transcript expression started to drop at 48 hours suggesting that the enzyme HAS2 was translated into the protein. As mentioned before, among the three enzymes




Figure 3 Effect of fibroblast treatment with RRS® Silisorg on the gene expression of hyaluronan synthase II, collagen type I and elastin. Evaluation of (A) hyaluronan synthase II, (B) collagen type I and (C) elastin transcript expression of human fibroblasts cultured in vitro in the presence of two concentrations (0.2 and 1 mg/mL) of RRS® Silisorg. Quantification was performed by qPCR at 24 (gray color) and 48 (black color) hours posttreatment. Results are expressed as a fold increase of the gene transcript expression against the control condition (without treatment). As a positive control, human fibroblasts were activated with 50 µg/mL of hu-insulin. * $p \leq 0.05$.

Abbreviations: qPCR, quantitative polymerase chain reaction; hu-insulin, human insulin.

responsible for HA synthesis, HAS2 is the one producing the longest chain length or, in other words, the HA with high molecular weight. The biological roles and cellular interactions of HA with cells are highly dependent on the HA chain length. Indeed, high-molecular weight HA is a large bioinert molecule, which serves to maintain a highly hydrated cellular environment, regulate osmotic balance and acts as a shock absorber. On the other hand, low-molecular weight HA is a potent pro-inflammatory molecule. Interestingly, typical signs of aging and photoaged skin are dependent on silicon and HA levels in connective tissues.²⁴ Several topical actives have been tested in aged and photodamaged skins.²⁵ At the molecular level, the human HAS2 gene was demonstrated to be a primary retinoic acid-responsive gene.²⁶ In animal models, it was demonstrated that chronic UVB irradiation

causes loss of HA from mouse dermis because of down-regulation of HA synthases.^{27,28} Interestingly, HAS2 protects skin fibroblasts against apoptosis induced by environmental factors (UV radiation induced).²⁹ To our knowledge, it is the first time that HAS2 expression is reported to be increased by organic silicon-based formulation, containing monomethylsilanol mannuronate combined with resveratrol (RRS® Silisorg), which could explain the beneficial clinical effects on skin photoaging.

In addition, the expression of collagen type I and elastin genes was also increased, although it was delayed and started to significantly increase at 48 hours in comparison to HAS2 gene. As RRS® Silisorg is composed of both actives, methylsilanol mannuronate (as organic silicon source) and resveratrol (an antioxidant), it is difficult to determine which

Table 1 Preclinical tests performed with RRS® Silisorg

Test	Guideline	Detail of the assays	Conclusion
Subcutaneous implantation test	ISO 10993-6	According to EN ISO 10993-11:2006, the product was implanted in two subcutaneous sites in rats to evaluate both local and systemic effects after subcutaneous implantation. Every day, a general objective exam was executed to determine the eventual local or systemic effect. Four weeks after the implantation, a blood levy was executed for hematologic and hematochemical parameter analysis. Then, animals were sacrificed and a full evaluation was conducted, and all sites implanted were subjected to histological exam	No local or systemic effects after 4 weeks of subcutaneous implant
Tests for in vitro cytotoxicity on mammal fibroblast	EN ISO 10993-5:2009	Toxicity was evaluated in vitro using BALB/c 3T3 mammal fibroblasts, and a 0% reduction of cell viability was observed	On the basis of the results, interpreted according to ISO 10993-5:2009, the test product was considered to be not cytotoxic
Tests for systemic toxicity	EN ISO 10993-11:2006	Systemic toxicity was evaluated for the test product using an ICR (CD-1) mouse model. Toxic symptoms were evaluated after intraperitoneal injections in 10 animals (5 tests + 5 control). Clinical symptoms were evaluated 0, 4, 24, 48 and 72 hours postinjection. No symptoms were observed in any animal and any condition	On the basis of the results, interpreted according to ISO 10993-10:2010, the test product satisfies the requirement of the test and there is a total absence of systemic toxicity
Intracutaneous reactivity test	EN ISO 10993-10:2010	Sensitivity was evaluated for the test product in albino guinea pigs. Animals were exposed to the test product by intradermal injection and topical application, and the sensitivity was measured 24 and 48 hours after removal of the patch. No reactivity was observed, as 0% of the 10 animals tested were sensitized	On the basis of the results, interpreted according to ISO 10993-10:2010, the test product must be considered not sensitizing
Tests for irritation and delayed-type hypersensitivity	EN ISO 10993-10:2010	Intracutaneous reactivity was also evaluated for the product in New Zealand White rabbits. Erythema and edema reactions were evaluated in three animals at 24, 48 and 72 hours following intradermal injection	No reactivity was observed. On the basis of the results, interpreted according to ISO 10993-10:2010, the test product satisfies the requirement of the test and there is a total absence of immediate or delayed irritation potential
Genotoxicity, carcinogenicity toxicity assays	OECD 471:1997 ISO 10993-3	On the test product, a genotoxicological study (bacterial reverse mutation assay, Ames test) was carried out to evaluate its mutagenic effects. The bacterial reverse mutation assay was performed on five mutant strains of <i>Salmonella typhimurium</i> (TA1535, TA1537, TA98, TA100, TA102). The presumed mutagenic activity of the test substance was determined by comparing the number of reverting colonies in treated cultures with the number of the reverting organisms in the control cultures. The direct incorporation method in a plate was used both in the presence of, and without, an enzymatic system for metabolic activation. The test material was tested neat and the assay was performed in two replicates	On the basis of the results, obtained according to OECD 471:1997 and ISO 10993-3:2003, the test product was proved to be not mutagenic for all the test strains, either in the presence or absence of metabolic activation

is the main fibroblast activator in vitro. Nevertheless, organic silicon in the form of OSA was demonstrated to stimulate collagen type I synthesis in human osteoblast-like cells and skin fibroblasts in vitro. It appears that OSA did not directly alter collagen type I gene expression, but rather modulated the activity of prolyl hydroxylase, an enzyme involved in the production of collagen.^{18,30} However, resveratrol has been exhaustively described by several studies to inhibit collagen type I and elastin production by fibroblasts and is

now implemented in some treatments of skin fibrosis and keloids.³⁰⁻³³ Therefore, the increase in collagen type I and elastin may be directly due to organic silicon stimulation, and retarded kinetic expression of both genes could be the result of the presence of resveratrol in the formulation.

In 2017, RRS® HA Injectable dermal implant (CE Class III medical device) was studied by using the same in vitro model and method. Results proved that RRS® HA Injectable enhances the transcription of collagen type I and elastin

genes up to 10 and 15 times, respectively.³⁴ However, RRS® HA Injectable showed no effect on the levels of HA in vitro (data not published). RRS® Silisorg results demonstrate that the expression of HAS2 gene is increased, which leads to extra HA production. Those two studies bring researchers to conclude that the combination of both products could lead to a more beneficial situation. In terms of ECM organization, RRS® Silisorg and RRS® HA Injectable seem to be complementary to each other, which could lead to better clinical results. Further clinical efficacy studies should be done to support this hypothesis.

Conclusion

Our results demonstrated that RRS® Silisorg promotes the expression of not only HAS2 but also collagen type I and elastin genes in human skin fibroblasts.

Acknowledgments

All in vitro experiments and collection of data corresponding to the experiments were performed by Eurofins BioPharma Product Testing Spain SLU, an external independent certified laboratory. Patient pictures were kindly provided by Dr Katarzyna Luch, esthetic medicine practitioner. The patient provided written informed consent for the publication of her images.

Author contributions

All authors contributed toward data analysis, drafting and revising the paper and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Disclosure

All authors of the paper belong to the company Skin Tech Pharma Group. This paper has been revised by Eurofins BioPharma Product Testing Spain SLU to validate that all the data exposed conform with the results obtained. The authors report no other conflicts of interest in this work.

References

1. Carlisle EM. Silicon. In: O'Dell BL, Sunde RA, editors. *Handbook of Nutritionally Essential Mineral Elements*. New York, NY: Marcel Dekker; 1997:603–618.
2. Nielsen FH. Nutritional requirements for boron, silicon, vanadium, nickel, and arsenic: current knowledge and speculation. *FASEB J*. 1991;5(12):2661–2667.
3. Nielsen FH. Update on the possible nutritional importance of silicon. *J Trace Elem Med Biol*. 2014;28(4):379–382.
4. Jugdaohsingh R. Silicon and bone health. *J Nutr Health Aging*. 2007;11(2):99–110.
5. Schwarz K, Milne DB. Growth-promoting effects of silicon in rats. *Nature*. 1972;239(5371):333–334.
6. Elliot MA, Edwards HM Jr. Effect of dietary silicon on growth and skeletal development in chickens. *J Nutr*. 1991;121(2):201–207.
7. Carlisle EM. Silicon as an essential trace element in animal nutrition. *Ciba Found Symp*. 1986;121:123–139.
8. Schwarz K. A bound form of silicon in glycosaminoglycans and polyuronides. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1973;70(5):1608–1612.
9. Jugdaohsingh R, Watson AI, Pedro LD, Powell JJ. The decrease in silicon concentration of the connective tissues with age in rats is a marker of connective tissue turnover. *Bone*. 2015;75:40–48.
10. Jugdaohsingh R, Pedro LD, Watson A, Powell JJ. Silicon and boron differ in their localization and loading in bone. *Bone Rep*. 2014;1:9–15.
11. Miñones J, Fernández SG, Iribarnegaray E, Pedrer PS. The interaction of silicic acid with protein monolayers: effect of pH and ionic strength of substrate. *J Colloid Interface Sci*. 1973;42(3):503–515.
12. Herrerros FOC, Cintra ML, Adam RL, De Moraes AM, Metzke K. Remodeling of the human dermis after application of salicylate silanol. *Arch Dermatol Res*. 2007;299(1):41–45.
13. EFSA ANS Panel (EFSA Panel on Food Additives and Nutrient Sources added to Food). Scientific opinion on the safety of organic silicon (monomethylsilanetriol, MMST) as a novel food ingredient for use as a source of silicon in food supplements and bioavailability of orthosilicic acid from the source. *EFSA J*. 2016;14(4):4436.
14. Barel A, Calomme M, Timchenko A, et al. Effect of oral intake of choline-stabilized orthosilicic acid on skin, nails and hair in women with photodamaged skin. *Arch Dermatol Res*. 2005;297(4):147–153.
15. Wickett RR, Kossmann E, Barel A, et al. Effect of oral intake of choline-stabilized orthosilicic acid on hair tensile strength and morphology in women with fine hair. *Arch Dermatol Res*. 2007;299(10):499–505.
16. Adler AJ, Etzion Z, Berlyne GM. Uptake, distribution, and excretion of 31 silicon in normal rats. *Am J Physiol*. 1986;251(6 Pt 1):E670–E673.
17. Berlyne GM, Shaikin-Kestenbaum R, Yagil R, Alfassi Z, Kushelevsky A, Etzion Z. Distribution of (31)silicon-labeled silicic acid in the rat. *Biol Trace Elem Res*. 1986;10(2):159–162.
18. Reffitt DM, Ogston N, Jugdaohsingh R, et al. Orthosilicic acid stimulates collagen type 1 synthesis and osteoblastic differentiation in human osteoblast-like cells *in vitro*. *Bone*. 2003;32(2):127–135.
19. Darby IA, Laverdet B, Bonté F, Desmoulière A. Fibroblasts and myofibroblasts in wound healing. *Clin Cosmet Investig Dermatol*. 2014;7:301–311.
20. Quan T, Fisher GJ. Role of age-associated alterations of the dermal extracellular matrix microenvironment in human skin aging: a mini-review. *Gerontology*. 2015;61(5):427–434.
21. Li Y, Lei D, Swindell WR, et al. Age-associated increase in skin fibroblast-derived prostaglandin E(2) contributes to reduced collagen levels in elderly human skin. *J Invest Dermatol*. 2015;135(9):2181–2188.
22. Diegelmann RF. Collagen metabolism. *Wounds*. 2001;13:177–182.
23. Leung A, Crombleholme TM, Keswani SG. Fetal wound healing: implications for minimal scar formation. *Curr Opin Pediatr*. 2012;24(3):371–378.
24. Papakonstantinou E, Roth M, Karakiulakis G. Hyaluronic acid: a key molecule in skin aging. *Dermatoendocrinology*. 2012;4(3):253–258.
25. Lundin A, Berne B, Michaëlsson G. Topical retinoic acid treatment of photoaged skin: its effects on hyaluronan distribution in epidermis and on hyaluronan and retinoic acid in suction blister fluid. *Acta Derm Venereol*. 1992;72(6):423–427.
26. Saavalainen K, Pasonen-Seppänen S, Dunlop TW, Tammi R, Tammi MI, Carlberg C. The human hyaluronan synthase 2 gene is a primary retinoic acid and epidermal growth factor responding gene. *J Biol Chem*. 2005;280(15):14636–14644.
27. Meyer LJ, Stern R. Age-dependent changes of hyaluronan in human skin. *J Invest Dermatol*. 1994;102(3):385–389.
28. Dai G, Freudenberger T, Zipper P, et al. Chronic ultraviolet B irradiation causes loss of hyaluronic acid from mouse dermis because of down-regulation of hyaluronic acid synthases. *Am J Pathol*. 2007;171(5):1451–1461.
29. Wang Y, Lauer ME, Anand S, Mack JA, Maytin EV. Hyaluronan synthase 2 protects skin fibroblasts against apoptosis induced by environmental stress. *J Biol Chem*. 2014;289(46):32253–32265.
30. Carlisle EM, Berger JW, Alpenfels WF. A silicon requirement for prolyl hydroxylase activity. *Fed Proc*. 1981;40:886.

31. Li X, Li J, Wang L, et al. The role of metformin and resveratrol in the prevention of hypoxia-inducible factor 1 α accumulation and fibrosis in hypoxic adipose tissue. *Br J Pharmacol*. 2016;173(12):2001–2015.
32. Mamalis A, Jagdeo J. The combination of resveratrol and high-fluence light emitting diode-red light produces synergistic photobotanical inhibition of fibroblast proliferation and collagen synthesis: a novel treatment for skin fibrosis. *Dermatol Surg*. 2017;43(1):81–86.
33. Li P, Liang ML, Zhu Y, et al. Resveratrol inhibits collagen I synthesis by suppressing IGF-1R activation in intestinal fibroblasts. *World J Gastroenterol*. 2014;20(16):4648–4661.
34. Deglesne PA, Arroyo R, Ranneva E, Deprez P. *In vitro* study of RRS® HA injectable mesotherapy/biorevitalization product on human skin fibroblasts and its clinical utilization. *Clin Cosmet Investig Dermatol*. 2016;9:41–53.

Medical Devices: Evidence and Research

Dovepress

Publish your work in this journal

Medical Devices: Evidence and Research is an international, peer-reviewed, open access journal that focuses on the evidence, technology, research, and expert opinion supporting the use and application of medical devices in the diagnosis, monitoring, treatment and management of clinical conditions and physiological processes. The identification of novel

devices and optimal use of existing devices which will lead to improved clinical outcomes and more effective patient management and safety is a key feature. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a quick and fair peer-review system. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from authors.

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/medical-devices-evidence-and-research-journal>