

Review of applications of microneedling in dermatology

Christopher Iriarte¹
Olabola Awosika²
Monica Rengifo-Pardo^{1,2}
Alison Ehrlich^{1,2}

¹George Washington University
School of Medicine and Health
Sciences, Washington, DC, USA;

²Department of Dermatology, The
George Washington Medical Faculty
Associates, Washington, DC, USA

Abstract: Microneedling (MN) is a novel therapeutic modality in dermatology. Through physical trauma from needle penetration, MN induces a wound healing cascade with minimal damage to the epidermis. This allows for enhancement in the absorption of mainstay topical therapies across the thick stratum corneum. MN has become increasingly utilized over the last several years as it is a relatively simple procedure that is cost-effective, well tolerated, and offers both cosmetic and therapeutic benefits. The ability to treat localized areas of disease has led to numerous studies gauging its potential in focal diseases of inflammation, dyschromia, and photodamage. This review discusses the principles and evidence behind the expanding applications of MN. It has shown promising results as an adjuvant therapy for enhanced drug delivery in the treatment of atrophic scars, alopecia, actinic keratoses, and disorders of pigmentation such as melasma. The efficacy in treatment of vitiligo remains limited. Overall, the procedure has few adverse sequelae compared to other therapies, is highly efficacious, and is a viable resurfacing option for skin of color. Future research is needed to determine the frequency, interval, and specific device settings that foster optimal results. Additionally, large controlled trials are needed to shed light on the utility of MN as an evidence-based regimen for the treatment of various dermatologic conditions.

Keywords: microneedling, scars, acne, alopecia, hyperpigmentation, actinic keratosis

Introduction

Microneedling (MN), also known as collagen induction therapy, is a process involving repetitive puncturing of the skin with sterilized microneedles. Its original conception can be traced back to 1995, when Orentreich and Orentreich developed the concept of “subcision”, or using hypodermic needles to induce wound healing in depressed cutaneous scars.¹ In 2006, Dr. Desmond Fernandes developed the first MN product which became the modern-day Dermaroller[®] (Dermaroller Deutschland GmbH, Wolfenbuettel, Germany).²

MN offers a relatively low cost and minimally invasive tool for the treatment of multiple cosmetic and dermatologic conditions.³ The basis of MN relies on physical trauma. It has been proposed that the trauma generated by needle penetration in the skin induces regeneration of the dermis.⁴ The needles penetrate the stratum corneum and create small holes known as micro-conduits with minimal damage to the epidermis. This sequentially leads to the generation of growth factors which stimulate the production of collagen and elastin in the papillary layer of the dermis.³ The natural wound healing cascade is induced as platelets and neutrophils are recruited to release growth factors such as TGF-alpha, TGF-beta, and platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF).² This ultimately results in the deposition of collagen by fibroblasts.

Correspondence: Alison Ehrlich
Department of Dermatology, The
George Washington University Medical
Faculty Associates, 2150 Pennsylvania
Avenue NW, Suite 2B-430, Washington,
DC 20037, USA
Tel +1 202 741 2625
Email aehrllich@mfa.gwu.edu

A variety of MN products have been developed to treat scarring and wrinkles, enable skin rejuvenation, and improve skin appearance.⁵ Clinical trials over the last several years have shed light on the applications of MN beyond cosmetic indications, including actinic keratoses (AK), disorders of pigmentation, hyperhidrosis, and striae.⁶ Additionally, the role of MN in the treatment of hair pathology has become a recent field of focus as it is thought to stimulate stem cells in the dermal papilla, increase blood flow to hair follicles, and recruit growth factors and signaling pathways which induce hair restoration.⁷ MN is also postulated to induce normal wound healing, specifically by breaking collagen strands in the superficial dermis and inducing collagen synthesis immediately under the epidermis.⁸ This mechanism is the guiding principle behind the application of MN in the treatment of scars of various etiologies.

This review of the literature is focused on exploring the expanding indications of MN. In addition, this review will highlight the efficacy and adverse effect profile of MN in comparison with more common treatment modalities used for various indications in dermatology.

Methods

The studies selected for this review were gathered by searching the PubMed, MEDLINE, Cochrane databases, and electronic journals of dermatology. The search terms used for this review included “microneedling”, “collagen induction”, “reviews”, and “trials”. Only articles published in English were considered for inclusion in this review. Articles were obtained in all circumstances and references were checked for additional information when considered applicable. Available studies involving human subjects were included in the review. Additionally, only studies regarding manual MN techniques were considered for inclusion. Priority was given to controlled clinical trials, both prospective and retrospective, with a minimum of ten patients as the sample size. Uncontrolled clinical trials were included so long as a statement was made regarding the study’s experimental design and limitations. Smaller case series with less than ten patients were included if they were the only available data for specific indications such as alopecia areata (AA) or verruca plantaris.

Types of MN

Currently, there are many mechanical MN devices registered with the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA), with the majority being a variation of either the Dermaroller or the Dermapen[®] (Dermapen, Salt Lake City, UT, USA). The Dermaroller is a hand-held device with a cylindrical roller of 24

circular arrays.^{6,9} Each array is equipped with eight medical grade solid steel microneedles, totaling to 192 needles on one Dermaroller device.^{6,9} The device is used in a multi-directional fashion (vertically, horizontally, and diagonally) directly over the skin. Medical models include the CIT 8[™] and MF 8[™], which consist of needle heights of 500 μm and 1,500 μm , respectively.⁶ Various models have also been developed for use in the home, including the Beauty Mouse[®] (Dermaroller Deutschland GmbH) which consists of 480 needles to use on larger skin surfaces.⁵ The Dermapen is a spring-loaded MN device which acts as an electrically powered pen, delivering stamp-like motions across the skin.¹⁰ Several commercial variations of this device exist based on the same principles.

Other devices use additional technology to build upon the applications of mechanical MN. Indications for these newer modalities include superficial scars, hyperhidrosis, and wrinkles.⁶ These MN modalities include fractional radiofrequency microneedling (FRFM), DermaFrac[™] (Genesis Biosystems, Lewisville, TX, USA), light emitting diode (LED) MN devices, and MN delivery systems.⁶ FRFM is differentiated from manual MN due to the method of each insulated needle releasing a radiofrequency current from the needle tip producing changes in dermal structural components.^{6,11} In DermaFrac treatment, MN is combined with microdermabrasion, LED light, and simultaneous serum infusion into the dermis.⁶ LED MN rollers combine solely LED light and MN. MN delivery systems are a method of transdermal drug administration in which solid microneedles pierce the skin followed by topical drug application. Alternatively, drugs can be delivered directly into the dermis through hollow needles.¹² Of note, in 2011, Fluzone[®] Intradermal influenza virus vaccine (Sanofi Pasteur, Swiftwater, PA, USA) became the first and only microneedle-based product approved by the FDA for this process.^{13,14} It is recommended that the use of MN to enhance absorption of topical agents be performed with caution as non-sterilized drugs may contain particles that penetrate the skin to varying degrees and contribute to further complications, such as infection due to the permeation of pathogenic microbes.¹²

Applications of MN

The sections that follow will provide an overview of the clinical trials in dermatology that have been performed with manual MN, as well as several case series. Specific information regarding study design, treatment intervals, MN devices used, and comparative efficacy can be found in the accompanying tables. These tables summarize the major studies covered in this review for each respective indication

that follows. Each section concludes with our summary of the current state of the literature and future areas for research specific to each indication.

Scars

Several studies show efficacy of MN for scar treatment (Table 1). In a pilot study, El-Domyati et al quantified the histological changes induced by MN in ten patients with atrophic facial scars from acne.¹⁵ Skin biopsies were obtained at baseline and post-treatment with Dermaroller. There was a statistically significant increase in the production of collagen types I, III, and VII and a decrease in total elastin by the end of treatment ($p < 0.05$). All patients reported mild pain and edema at the treatment site which resolved within 24 hours. Otherwise, no adverse effects were noted. Patients collectively reported a 51%–60% improvement in scar appearance, 40%–50% improvement in skin texture, and 80%–85% overall satisfaction ($p = 0.001$) following six treatment sessions over the course of 3 months.¹⁵

In a cohort study, Majid relied on clinical outcomes rather than histologic changes to assess improvement of atrophic facial scars in response to MN therapy.¹⁶ Thirty-seven patients were offered Dermaroller treatments and followed over the course of 2 months. Over 80% of the patients assessed their response to treatment as “excellent” on a 10-point scale. Of the patients who completed the study, 94.4% graded the reduction in the severity of their scars by at least one objective grade. No adverse effects were noted.¹⁶

A clinical trial by Garg and Baveja assessed the efficacy of combination therapy using subcision, MN, and 15% trichloroacetic acid peel in the management of 50 patients with atrophic acne vulgaris scars.¹⁷ The patients were treated for a total of six sessions with scar grading done at baseline and 1 month after treatment. Complete remission was demonstrated in all patients with Grade 2 scars and 22.7% of patients with Grade 3 scars. Additionally, of the 16 patients with Grade 4 scars at baseline, ten patients improved to Grade 2 and the remaining six improved to Grade 3. Overall, 100% of patients had objective improvement in scars by at least 1 grade. Adverse events other than transient erythema and edema included acne eruptions, post-inflammatory hyperpigmentation (PIH) resolving after 5 months of topical treatment (with combination of tretinoin, hydroquinone, and mometasone), and cervical lymphadenopathy lasting 3 weeks.¹⁷

Several studies have also compared the efficacy of laser and MN treatments. Cachafeiro et al compared 1,340 nm non-ablative fractional erbium laser and Dr. Roller™ (Vyndence Medical, São Carlos, São Paulo, Brazil) for the treatment of

46 patients with facial atrophic acne scars.¹⁸ These patients were randomized to one of the two groups and received three treatment sessions monthly regardless of assignment. Both groups demonstrated improvement at 2 and 6 months post-treatment, with no statistically significant difference between them ($p = 0.264$). While efficacy was similar, the adverse event profile varied. The MN group experienced erythema for an average of 1 day compared to the laser group, for which the erythema lasted an average of 3 days. Additionally, 13.6% of the patients in the laser group experienced PIH while none of the patients in the MN group did.¹⁸

Another emerging field of study in MN is its applicability in the treatment of scars in various ethnic groups. One clinical trial by Dogra et al evaluated the utility of MN for treating atrophic acne scars in Asian populations.¹⁹ On an objective scale of 18 points, patients' assessments of their scars decreased from 11.73 to 6.5 following five MN treatments, indicating significant improvement. In a study with patients of darker pigmented skin, the use of MN combined with glycolic acid peels for the treatment of acne scars was assessed in 30 Indian patients with atrophic box type and rolling scars with PIH.²⁰ Patients were assigned to receive treatment with MN only or with MN and 35% glycolic acid peels. There was significant improvement in skin texture, scarring, and a reduction in PIH in the group treated with the combined approach when compared to MN alone ($p = 0.001$).²⁰

MN has also been used to enhance treatment of hypertrophic surgical scars by increasing drug delivery of topical agents to the dermis.²¹ Aust et al demonstrated MN to be an effective alternative for burn patients with hypertrophic scars.²² In this study, 16 patients with post-burn scarring were treated with MN after 4 weeks of preparation with topical vitamin A and C to maximize collagen production. Each patient reported satisfaction with scar appearance on an objective visual analog scale (VAS) from 1 to 10, with 10 indicating the most satisfaction. Prior to treatment, the average VAS score was 4.5. This score increased to 8.5 following one to four sessions of MN treatment with continued application of topical vitamin A and C twice daily. Histologic analysis of 3 mm punch biopsies at 1 year demonstrated an increase in collagen and elastin deposition both quantitatively and qualitatively using van Gieson and hematoxylin and eosin stains.

Studies with various-sized MN devices that evaluate the frequency and interval between treatments for optimal effects, would be an appropriate next step in further elaborating on the efficacy of MN. Many of the aforementioned studies demonstrated that MN had comparable efficacy to laser treatments

Table 1 Scars treated with microneedling therapy

Reference	Adjunctive therapy +/- MN therapy	Needle depth	Type of scar	Study design	No of patients (N)	No of sessions (interval)	Results
El-Domyati et al, 2015 ¹⁵	DermaRoller	1.5 mm	Atrophic acne scars	Prospective clinical study	10	6 (2 weeks)	Increase in the mean of collagen types I, III, and VII, as well as newly synthesized collagen at the end of treatment ($p < 0.05$). There was a decrease in total elastin production. Patients reported an 80%-85% overall satisfaction ($p \leq 0.01$).
Majidi, 2009 ¹⁶	DermaRoller	1.5 mm	Atrophic facial scars	Uncontrolled, prospective clinical trial	37	4 (4 weeks)	Per Goodman and Baron's ¹⁰ facial scar scale, 94% of patients had a reduction in scar severity by at least 1 grade. Over 80% of patients assessed their response to treatment as "excellent".
Garg and Bajeva, 2014 ¹⁷	15% TCA peel and subcision +/- DermaRoller	1.5 mm	Atrophic acne scars	Uncontrolled, prospective clinical trial	50	6 (2 weeks)	Per Goodman and Baron's ¹⁰ facial scar scale, 63% with Grade 4 improved to Grade 2 and 38% improved to Grade 3. 23% with Grade 3 had full remission and 68% improved to Grade 2. 100% of Grade 2 had full remission.
Cachafeiro et al, 2016 ¹⁸	NFEL 1340 nm +/- Dr. Roller	2.0 mm	Atrophic acne scars	Evaluator-blinded, prospective RCT	46	3 (4 weeks)	Both groups demonstrated improvement in the degree of their acne scars, with no statistically significant difference found between the groups ($p = 0.264$).
Dogra et al, 2014 ¹⁹	DermaRoller	1.5 mm	Atrophic acne scars	Uncontrolled, prospective study	36	5 (4 weeks)	Significant decrease in mean acne scar assessment score from 11.73 at baseline to 6.5 after 5 sessions ($p < 0.05$). Photographic improvement of 50%-75% in majority of patients.
Sharad, 2011 ²⁰	35% glycolic acid peels +/- DermaRoller MF8	1.5 mm	Atrophic acne scars with PIH	Prospective RCT	30	5 (6 weeks)	There was 31% improvement in the MN alone group vs. 62% improvement in the MN with glycolic acid peels group in regards to skin texture and scar appearance ($p = 0.001$).
Aust et al, 2010 ²²	Topical vitamins A and C +/- Medical Roll-CIT	1.0 mm	Hypertrophic burn scars	Uncontrolled, prospective cohort study	16	1-4 (4 weeks)	Reported satisfaction with scar on VAS increased from 4.5 to 8.5 following treatment. Histologic analysis at 1 year showed increase in collagen and elastin deposition.

Notes: DermaRoller[®] and DermaRoller MF8[™]; DermaRoller: Deutschland GmbH, Wolfenbuettel, Germany. Dr. Roller[™]; Vydence Medical, São Paulo, Brazil. Medical Roll-CIT[™]; Vivida, Cape Town, South Africa.
Abbreviations: MN, microneedling; N, sample size; TCA, trichloroacetic acid; NFEL, non-ablative fractional erbium laser; RCT, randomized controlled trial; PIH, post-inflammatory hyperpigmentation; VAS, visual analog scale (graded from 1 to 10).

for atrophic facial scars. However, MN is generally better tolerated with fewer long-term adverse sequelae.²³ Scar type appears to be a factor affecting clinical response to MN, as icepick scars and deep-seated atrophic scars responded less ideally to treatment.¹⁵

Alopecia

MN has been proposed as a mechanism for adjuvant hair regrowth in alopecia. The efficacy of MN in both androgenetic alopecia (AGA) and AA has been highlighted over the last 5 years (Table 2).

AGA

Dhurat et al found that combination treatment of MN with minoxidil was statistically superior to minoxidil alone in the treatment of 100 male patients with AGA.²⁴ Over 12 weeks, Dermaroller treatment combined with 5% minoxidil lotion was administered to half of the participants, with 80% showing moderately or greatly increased hair regrowth per the investigators. Of the same subset, 82% of the patients reported subjective improvement greater than 50% in their hair growth. In the arm receiving 5% minoxidil alone, only 4.5% of patients reported greater than 50% improvement. By the end of the study, mean change in hair count was significantly greater for the MN group (91.4 vs. 22.2, $p = 0.039$).

Additionally, the initiation of new hair growth was first noticeable at 6 weeks in the MN group compared to 10 weeks in the minoxidil alone group. No adverse effects were noted by any of the participants.²⁴

Dhurat and Mathapati then published a follow-up case series of four men with AGA unresponsive to conventional treatments. Combination therapy was administered to participants with their prior treatment regimen (either topical minoxidil or oral finasteride) and Dermaroller over a course of 6 months.²⁵ All four patients had moderately or greatly increased hair regrowth and reported subjective increases in hair thickness after 1 month of treatment.²⁵

AA

MN has been proposed as a viable alternative to conventional treatment for AA as well. The mainstay of therapy for AA is currently intralesional corticosteroids, such as kenalog (ILK). However, the collagen induction offered by MN is thought to counter steroid-induced atrophy as well as cause less pain than injection.²⁶

Chandrashekar et al analyzed outcomes from treating resistant AA with MN and topical corticosteroids.²⁶ Two adult patients with AA recalcitrant to ILK, topical steroids, and minoxidil 5% lotion received topical triamcinolone applied before and after Dermaroller. Both patients graded

Table 2 Alopecia treated with microneedling therapy

Reference	Adjunctive therapy +/- MN therapy	Needle depth	Type of alopecia	Study design	No of patients (N)	No of sessions (interval)	Results
Dhurat et al, 2013 ²⁴	5% topical minoxidil +/- Dermaroller	1.5 mm	AGA	Prospective, evaluator-blinded RCT	100	12 (1 week)	Mean hair counts were significantly greater in MN + minoxidil group compared to minoxidil alone group (91.4 vs. 22.2, $p = 0.039$). 82% in combination group reported greater than 50% improvement vs. 4.5% in minoxidil group.
Dhurat and Mathapati, 2015 ²⁵	5% topical minoxidil and oral finasteride +/- Dermaroller	1.5 mm	AGA	Case series	4	4 (1 week) then 11 (2 weeks)	100% showed +2 or +3 responses on a 7-point standardized scale for hair growth. Findings were sustained at final follow-up. 75% had subjective improvement in hair growth > 75%.
Chandrashekar et al, 2014 ²⁶	0.1% topical TAC +/- Dermaroller	1.5 mm	AA	Case series	2	3 (3 weeks)	100% graded hair regrowth as "excellent" at 3-week follow-up with no recurrence of AA at 12 weeks.

Notes: Dermaroller®; Dermaroller Deutschland GmbH, Wolfenbuettel, Germany.

Abbreviations: MN, microneedling; N, sample size; AGA, androgenetic alopecia; RCT, randomized controlled trial; TAC, triamcinolone; AA, alopecia areata.

hair regrowth as “excellent” and had no recurrence at 3-month follow-up. The ability to assess for common adverse effects of steroid treatment such as atrophy, scarring, and increased susceptibility to infection were limited in this study.

While these clinical studies show promising data for the efficacy of MN in the treatment of alopecia, these results are limited by relatively small sample sizes. Additionally, it is unclear if similar results are reproducible in women with hair disorders. Further investigations of combination therapy with minoxidil and finasteride, including MN frequency, needle size, and duration of treatment are needed to see if these results are reproducible in both genders and sustained over longer periods of time.

Pigmentary disorders

Several studies have proposed MN as an alternative to conventional treatment in disorders of pigmentation affecting darker skin types, including melasma, vitiligo, and periorbital hyperpigmentation (Table 3).

Melasma

The enhanced transdermal drug absorption seen with MN has achieved better results than skin lightening agents alone in the treatment of melasma.^{27–29} Budamakuntla et al observed enhanced results of MN followed by topical tranexamic acid in comparison to tranexamic acid microinjections in treating moderate to severe melasma in 60 patients.³⁰ After three treatment sessions (at 0, 4, and 8 weeks), the patients were followed for 3 months. There was 35.72% improvement in the mean Melasma Area and Severity Index (MASI) score in the microinjection group ($p < 0.01$) compared to 44.41% in the MN group ($p < 0.001$). Notably, only 26% of patients in the microinjection group achieved 50% improvement compared to 41% in the MN group. Neither group experienced major side effects, but some reported mild discomfort, burning sensation, and erythema.³⁰

In a pilot study, the use of depigmentation serum containing 4-butylresorcinol and sophora-alpha (prenylated flavonoids from the roots of *Sophora flavescens*) alone was compared to the combination treatment of depigmentation serum and MN (MN + serum) in 20 female patients (Fitzpatrick Skin Type III–IV) with melasma.²⁷ In the MN + serum group, baseline mean MASI score decreased by 9.9 points ($p < 0.001$) compared to a 7.1 point decrease ($p < 0.05$) in the serum only group 2 months post-treatment. Results were confirmed by the significant increase in brightness of patients

receiving combination treatment in comparison to the group receiving serum alone (17.4% vs. 11.2%; $p < 0.05$).²⁷

MN combination therapy also has favorable results in melasma when combined with daily sunscreen use. In a retrospective analysis of 22 cases of recalcitrant melasma (unresponsive to topical bleaches and sunscreen), MN was administered followed by night time application of a depigmentation formula (0.05% tretinoin + 4% hydroquinone + 1% fluocinonide acetone) and daily tinted sunscreen (SPF 60) 24 hours after initial skin needling.³¹ The procedure was repeated 30 days after the first treatment. All 22 patients reported satisfaction with results at 2 month follow-up. Photographic analysis at 24-month follow-up in eleven patients demonstrated continued maintenance of skin lightening observed at the 2-month visit.³¹

Vitiligo

The efficacy of MN in combination treatment for vitiligo remains unclear. Stanimirovic et al investigated repigmentation of patients with resistant bilateral symmetrical vitiligo by comparing treatment with narrowband ultraviolet B and topical 0.005% latanoprost solution with and without Derma-roller.³² Seventeen patients in each group had repigmentation (37.8% of treated lesions) and only 8.8% of repigmenting lesions had greater than 50% repigmentation. However, there was no statistically significant difference in repigmentation between groups.³²

Periorbital melanosis

MN therapy has been successful in the treatment of periorbital hyperpigmentation. One male patient demonstrated 75%–90% improvement with DermaFrac treatment (combination of MN and active ingredients, including kojic acid or anti-aging serum containing myristoyl pentapeptide 17 [SymPeptide], acetyl octapeptide-3 [SNAP 8], palmitoyl pentapeptide-4 [Matrixyl], acetyl hexapeptide-8 [Argiriline] and tripeptide [Syn-ake]) after 12 sessions.³³ The patient also reported 7 out of 10 improvement on the Patient’s Global Assessment scale in his pigmentation.

Kontochristopoulos et al also explored the use of MN in periorbital hyperpigmentation by treating 13 female patients with MN followed by 10% trichloroacetic acid peels.³⁴ Almost all patients (92.3%) demonstrated significant improvement (“fair or better”) according to patient global assessments. Transient side effects including mild discomfort, edema, and erythema were commonly observed.³⁴

Table 3 Disorders of pigmentation treated with microneedling therapy

Reference	Adjunctive therapy +/- MN therapy	Needle depth	Disorder of pigmentation	Study design	No of patients (N)	No of sessions (interval)	Results
Fabbrocini et al, 2011 ²⁷	Depigmentation serum* +/- Dermaroller CIT 8 in office and Dermaroller C8: Dermaroller C8 at home	CIT 8™: 0.5 mm Dermaroller C8: 0.13 mm 1.5 mm	Melasma	Split-face, prospective, controlled trial	20	1 in office, 60 at home (daily)	Mean MASI score improvement of 9.9 in serum + MN ($p < 0.001$) vs. improvement from 7.1 in serum alone ($p < 0.05$).
Budamakuntia et al, 2013 ³⁰	TA +/- Dermaroller MS4	1.5 mm	Moderate to severe melasma	RCT	60	3 (4 weeks)	36% improvement in MASI score in TA alone vs. 44% improvement in MASI score in TA + MN. More patients in TA + MN had greater than 50% improvement than TA alone (41% vs. 26%). 100% demonstrated "good to very good" results and reported subjective satisfaction with treatment. 50% of patients maintained skin lightening at 1-year follow-up.
Lima, 2015 ³¹	Depigmentation formula** +/- Dr. Roller	2.0 mm	Melasma	Retrospective analysis	22	2 (4 weeks)	Equal repigmentation observed in paired experimental and control lesions in 77% of lesions.
Stanimirovic et al, 2016 ³²	NB-UVB + latanoprost +/- Dermaroller	1.5 mm	Vitiligo	Split-body, prospective controlled trial	25	1 session of MN + latanoprost, 9 sessions of NB-UVB (3 times per week)	Equal repigmentation observed in paired experimental and control lesions in 77% of lesions.
Sahni and Kassir, 2013 ³³	Anti-aging serum*** +/- DermaFrac	0.25 mm	Periorbital melanosis	Case report	1	12 (2 weeks)	Per physician global assessment, there was 50%-75% improvement after 4 sittings and 75%-90% improvement after 12 sittings.
Kontochristopoulos et al, 2016 ³⁴	TCA peels +/- Automatic MN	0-2.5 mm	Periorbital melanosis	Uncontrolled, prospective study	13	1	92.3% had fair, good, or excellent response on physician and patient global assessments. There was no recurrence at 4 months.

Notes: *Depigmentation serum: 4-butylresorcinol and sophora-alpha (prenylated flavonoids from the roots of *Sophora flavescens*) **Depigmentation formula: 0.05% tretinoin, 4% hydroquinone, and 1% fluocinonide and sunscreen SPF 60. ***Anti-aging serum: myristoyl pentapeptide 17 (SymPeptide), acetyl octapeptide-3 (SNAP 8), palmitoyl pentapeptide-4 (Matrixyl), acetyl hexapeptide-8 (ArgiHlene) and tripeptide (Syn-ake). Dermaroller®: Dermaroller CIT 8™, Dermaroller C8; and Dermaroller MS4™; Dermaroller Deutschland GmbH, Wollfenbuettel, Germany. Dr. Roller™; Ydence Medical, São Paulo, Brazil. DermaFrac™, Genesis Biosystems, Lewisville, TX, USA.

Abbreviations: MN, microneedling; N, sample size; MASI, Melasma Area and Severity Index; RCT, randomized controlled trial; TA, tranexamic acid; NB-UVB, narrowband ultraviolet B; latanoprost, 0.005% latanoprost solution; TCA, 10% trichloroacetic acid; Automatic MN, Automatic Microneedle Therapy System-Handhold; CIT, collagen induction therapy.

Table 4 Verruca plantaris treated with microneedling therapy

Reference	Adjunctive therapy +/- MN therapy	Needle depth	Study design	No of patients (N)	No of sessions (interval)	Results
Konicke and Olasz, 2016 ³⁵	Bleo +/- MN pen	2.0 mm	Case series	3	3–5 (2–4 weeks)	Complete cure rate was achieved in 100% of patients after an average of 4 treatments every 2–4 weeks.

Abbreviations: MN, microneedling; N, sample size; Bleo, 0.2–0.5 mL of topical bleomycin; MN pen, microneedling pen-type device.

MN therapy is promising in melasma and periorbital melanosis. Notably, MN poses a viable alternative to resurfacing procedures for darker skinned patients, given the lack of dyspigmentation as an adverse event. However, there are limited data to support its potential in improving vitiligo. Hence, there is a need for randomized controlled studies with larger populations to further explore the potential of MN as a treatment for pigmentation disorders in skin of color.

Verruca

The benefits of MN as a method of drug delivery in verruca was seen by Konicke and Olasz achieving complete cure rate in three patients (Table 4). MN was used in combination with 0.2–0.5 mL of topical bleomycin at 1 unit per mL over an average of 4 treatments.³⁵ Notably, there was no tissue necrosis as seen with intralesional bleomycin and patients reported minimal pain. Comparatively, cure rates with intralesional bleomycin range from 0% to 95%, with variability attributed to poor infiltration of the lesion.³⁶ MN may be a viable option

for guaranteeing complete cure rates in plantar warts through enhancing the delivery of bleomycin in lesions.

Clinical trials with large sample sizes are needed to elucidate the actual role of MN in the treatment of verruca. The above study focused on the treatment of isolated verruca plantaris; thus, large clinical trials analyzing the efficacy of MN in the treatment of common warts would be an area of further research.

Actinic keratoses

Patients with AK have shown mixed results from MN as adjunctive therapy to currently accepted treatments (Table 5). In a split-face study, Torezan et al evaluated the use of MN after application of methyl aminolevulinate photodynamic therapy (MAL-PDT) compared to the use of MAL-PDT without MN in ten patients with AK.³⁷ MAL-PDT combined with Dermaroller (MN-MAL-PDT) had greater improvement than MAL-PDT alone for all measured parameters, including photoaging and facial erythema ($p = 0.01$ for global score).

Table 5 Actinic keratoses treated with microneedling therapy

Reference	Adjunctive therapy +/- MN therapy	Needle depth	Study design	No of patients (N)	No of sessions (interval)	Results
Torezan et al, 2013 ³⁷	MAL-PDT +/- Dermaroller	1.5 mm	Split-face, prospective RCT	10	1	Average AK clearance was 88.3% overall, but there was no statistically significant difference in clearance rates between groups. MN group had improvement in wrinkles and erythema. MN group had greater improvement for all measured parameters, including global score ($p = 0.01$).
Spencer and Freeman, 2016 ³⁸	ALA-PDT +/- Eclipse Micropen Elite	0.5 mm	Split-face, blinded, prospective RCT	19	1	Mean reduction in AK was 89.3% in the MN group vs. 69.5% in the PDT alone group ($p < 0.05$). 87% in MN group had noticeable cosmetic improvement compared to 11% in PDT alone group.
Bencini et al, 2012 ³⁹	MAL-PDT +/- Dermaroller MC905	0.5 mm	Uncontrolled, prospective clinical trial	12	3 (2 weeks)	100% demonstrated a complete response (grade 0, "excellent") after 3 treatment sessions. 83% remained without AK at 9-month follow-up.

Notes: Dermaroller®; Dermaroller Deutschland GmbH, Wolfenbuettel, Germany. Eclipse Micropen Elite™; Eclipse Aesthetics, Dallas, TX, USA. Dermaroller MC905™; Alpha Strumenti, Milan, Italy.

Abbreviations: MN, microneedling; N, sample size; MAL-PDT, methyl aminolevulinate photodynamic therapy; RCT, randomized controlled trial; AK, actinic keratoses; ALA-PDT, delta aminolevulinic acid photodynamic therapy.

Average AK clearance was 88.3%, with no statistically significant difference between the treatment arms (90.5% for MN-MAL-PDT side vs. 86% for MAL-PDT side). Pain level, based on VAS score, was greater on the MN-MAL-PDT side (6 vs. 4, $p = 0.004$). No prolonged side effects were observed. One patient in the MN group did develop a secondary bacterial infection which required treatment with oral antibiotics.³⁷

Spencer and Freeman demonstrated MN can enhance topical delta aminolevulinic acid PDT (ALA-PDT) in the treatment of AK.³⁸ In their split-face study, 20 patients with at least four non-hyperkeratotic AK on each side of the face were randomly assigned to either MN therapy with Eclipse Micropen Elite™ followed by ALA-PDT or ALA-PDT alone. Researchers found a statistically significant difference ($p < 0.05$) between treatment groups, as average AK clearance was 89.3% in the MN-assisted side and 69.5% in the PDT only side. Furthermore, a blinded assessment found 15 patients achieved significant cosmetic improvement after 4 months, with 13 of the 15 patients having been in the MN treatment group. The procedure was safe and well tolerated with no significant side effects reported.³⁸

The use of MN to treat AK was also evaluated in 12 organ transplant recipients with 59 AK unresponsive to classic PDT therapy.³⁹ They received three sessions of cyclic PDT with use of Dermaroller MC905™ prior to topical application of 16% MAL. All lesions demonstrated a grade 0 (excellent) response after three sessions and were free of any new AK for at least 4 months. At 9 month follow-up, two of the patients had relapsed while the others remained clear. No adverse events were reported. The lack of a PDT alone comparison arm impaired the ability to assess the advantage offered solely by MN in the treatment of recurrent AK.³⁹

Overall, MN shows promising results as an adjuvant therapy for the treatment of refractory AK. Large controlled clinical trials are needed to determine the utility of using MN for AK, especially on areas of the body other than the face.

Conclusion

Since the development of the first Dermaroller 20 years ago, a variety of new MN devices have been introduced. Accordingly, the applications of MN in dermatology have expanded to numerous indications over the past several years. This review highlights evidence of the potential for MN in the treatment of several dermatologic conditions, including disorders of pigmentation, premalignant lesions such as AK, scarring secondary to acne and surgical procedures, and hair pathologies. Advantages include, but are not limited to, the good tolerability of treatment among patients, increased

transdermal delivery of drugs, and practicality of use in skin of color. Transient erythema is the most common adverse event.³ Granulomatous reaction in response to unauthorized use of topical products not approved for intradermal injection has been reported in three patients receiving MN therapy at medical spas.⁴⁰ Otherwise, adverse events are rare and never result in systemic toxicity.³ Additionally, histologic analysis of skin following MN treatment has demonstrated an intact epidermis with no changes in melanocyte number, thereby having minimal risks of dyspigmentation relative to currently accepted treatments.⁴¹ Overall, MN offers a simple yet cost-effective therapeutic modality with minimal adverse events and a promising safety profile.

It is important to keep in mind that most comparative studies on MN have been case reports, case series, or small randomized controlled trials. Future large controlled clinical trials exploring the utility of MN are imperative to provide validation as more than a cosmeceutical therapy and as an evidence-based treatment option for patients with a variety of dermatologic disorders. This would help offset any potential publication bias as it is likely that small negative MN studies may not get published. Furthermore, the necessary number of treatment sessions and ideal MN settings including needling length and depth should be explored. Lastly, research elucidating the details of the mechanism of action of MN specifically in the treatment of alopecia and pigmentary disorders would be beneficial, as the micro-conduits created by MN are fast-healing and increased delivery in the dermis may not be the sole contributor to observed effects in these areas.

Disclosure

Doctor Olabola Awosika's fellowship is funded by Janssen Biotech, Inc. The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

1. Orentreich DS, Orentreich N. Subcutaneous incisionless (subcision) surgery for the correction of depressed scars and wrinkles. *Dermatol Surg.* 1995;21(6):543–549.
2. Fernandes D. Minimally invasive percutaneous collagen induction. *Oral Maxillofac Surg Clin North Am.* 2005;17(1):51–63.
3. Doddaballapur S. Microneedling with dermaroller. *J Cutan Aesthet Surg.* 2009;2(2):110–111.
4. Lee JC, Daniels MA, Roth MZ. Mesotherapy, microneedling, and chemical peels. *Clin Plast Surg.* 2016;43(3):583–595.
5. McCrudden MT, McAlister E, Courtenay AJ, González-Vázquez P, Raj Singh TR, Donnelly RF. Microneedle applications in improving skin appearance. *Exp Dermatol.* 2015;24(8):561–566.
6. Singh A, Yadav S. Microneedling: advances and widening horizons. *Indian Dermatol Online J.* 2016;7(4):244–254.
7. Jeong K, Lee YJ, Kim JE, Park YM, Kim BJ, Kang H. Repeated microneedle stimulation induce the enhanced expression of hair-growth-related genes. *Int J Trichol.* 2012;4(2):117–130.

8. Fabbrocini G, Fardella N, Monfrecola A, Proietti I, Innocenzi D. Acne scarring treatment using skin needling. *Clin Exp Dermatol*. 2009;34(8):874–879.
9. Bahuguna A. Microneedling-Facts and Fictions. *Asian J Med Sci*. 2013;4:1–4.
10. Arora S, Gupta BP. Automated microneedling device—A new tool in dermatologist's kit—A review. *J Pak Med Assoc*. 2012;22:354–357.
11. Chandrashekar BS, Sriram R, Mysore R, Bhaskar S, Shetty A. Evaluation of microneedling fractional radiofrequency device for treatment of acne scars. *J Cutan Aesthet Surg*. 2014;7(2):93–97.
12. Bariya SH, Gohel MC, Mehta TA, Sharma OP. Microneedles: an emerging transdermal drug delivery system. *J Pharm Pharmacol*. 2012;64(1):11–29.
13. US Food and Drug Administration. FDA Approval Letter - Fluzone Intradermal; 2009. Available from: <http://www.fda.gov/BiologicsBloodVaccines/Vaccines/ApprovedProducts/ucm255160.htm>. Accessed May 1, 2017.
14. Milewski M, Mitra A. Recent developments in microneedle technology for transdermal drug delivery and vaccination. Drug Development Delivery; 2012. Available from: <http://www.specialtypharma.com/Main/Back-Issues/Recent-Developments-in-Microneedle-Technology-for-48.aspx>. Accessed June 23, 2017.
15. El-Domyati M, Barakat M, Awad S, Medhat W, El-Fakahany H, Farag H. Microneedling therapy for atrophic acne scars: an objective evaluation. *J Clin Aesthet Dermatol*. 2015;8(7):36–42.
16. Majid I. Microneedling therapy in atrophic facial scars: an objective assessment. *J Cutan Aesthet Surg*. 2009;2(1):26–30.
17. Garg S, Baveja S. Combination therapy in the management of atrophic acne scars. *J Cutan Aesthet Surg*. 2014;7(1):18–23.
18. Cachafeiro T, Escobar G, Maldonado G, Cestari T, Corleta O. Comparison of nonablative fractional erbium laser 1,340 nm and microneedling for the treatment of atrophic acne scars: a randomized clinical trial. *Dermatol Surg*. 2016;42(2):232–241.
19. Dogra S, Yadav S, Sarangal R. Microneedling for acne scars in Asian skin type: an effective low cost treatment modality. *J Cosmet Dermatol*. 2014;13(3):180–187.
20. Sharad J. Combination of microneedling and glycolic acid peels for the treatment of acne scars in dark skin. *J Cosmet Dermatol*. 2011;10(4):317–323.
21. Eilers RE Jr, Ross EV, Cohen JL, Ortiz AE. A combination approach to surgical scars. *Dermatol Surg*. 2016;42(Suppl 2):S150–156.
22. Aust MC, Knobloch K, Reimers K, et al. Percutaneous collagen induction therapy: an alternative treatment for burn scars. *Burns*. 2010;36(6):836–843.
23. Hartmann D, Ruzicka T, Gauglitz GG. Complications associated with cutaneous aesthetic procedures. *J Dtsch Dermatol Ges*. 2015;13(8):778–786.
24. Dhurat R, Sukesh M, Avhad G, Dandale A, Pal A, Pund P. A randomized evaluator blinded study of effect of microneedling in androgenetic alopecia: a pilot study. *Int J Trichology*. 2013;5(1):6–11.
25. Dhurat R, Mathapati S. Response to microneedling treatment in men with androgenetic alopecia who failed to respond to conventional therapy. *Indian J Dermatol*. 2015;60(3):260–263.
26. Chandrashekar B, Yepuri V, Mysore V, Charnalaya V. Alopecia areata—successful outcome with microneedling and triamcinolone acetonide. *J Cutan Aesthet Surg*. 2014;7(1):63–64.
27. Fabbrocini G, De Vita V, Fardella N, et al. Skin needling to enhance depigmenting serum penetration in the treatment of melasma. *Plast Surg Int*. 2011;2011:158241.
28. Fabbrocini G, De Vita V, Izzo R, Monfrecola G. The use of skin needling for the delivery of a eutectic mixture of local anesthetics. *G Ital Dermatol Venereol*. 2014;149(5):581–585.
29. Escobar-Chavez JJ, Bonilla-Martinez D, Villegas-Gonzalez MA, Molina-Trinidad E, Casas-Alancaster N, Revilla-Vazquez AL. Microneedles: a valuable physical enhancer to increase transdermal drug delivery. *J Clin Pharmacol*. 2011;51(7):964–977.
30. Budamakuntla L, Loganathan E, Suresh DH, et al. A randomised, open-label, comparative study of tranexamic acid microinjections and tranexamic acid with microneedling in patients with melasma. *J Cutan Aesthet Surg*. 2013;6(3):139–143.
31. Lima Ede A. Microneedling in facial recalcitrant melasma: report of a series of 22 cases. *An Bras Dermatol*. 2015;90(6):919–921.
32. Stanimirovic A, Kovacevic M, Korobko I, Situm M, Lotti T. Combined therapy for resistant vitiligo lesions: NB-UVB, microneedling, and topical latanoprost, showed no enhanced efficacy compared to topical latanoprost and NB-UVB. *Dermatol Ther*. 2016;29(5):312–316.
33. Sahni K, Kassir M. Dermafrac™: an innovative new treatment for periorbital melanosis in a dark-skinned male patient. *J Cutan Aesthet Surg*. 2013;6(3):158–160.
34. Kontochristopoulos G, Kouris A, Platsidaki E, Markantoni V, Gerodimou M, Antoniou C. Combination of microneedling and 10% trichloroacetic acid peels in the management of infraorbital dark circles. *J Cosmet Laser Ther*. 2016;18(5):289–292.
35. Konicke K, Olasz E. Successful treatment of recalcitrant planar warts with bleomycin and microneedling. *Dermatol Surg*. 2016;42(8):1007–1008.
36. Saitta P, Krishnamurthy K, Brown LH. Bleomycin in dermatology: a review of intralesional applications. *Dermatologic Surgery*. 2008;34(10):1299–1313.
37. Torezan L, Chaves Y, Niwa A, Sanches JA, Festa-Neto C, Szeimies RM. A pilot split-face study comparing conventional methyl aminolevulinic photodynamic therapy (PDT) with microneedling-assisted PDT on actinically damaged skin. *Dermatol Surg*. 2013;39(8):1197–1201.
38. Spencer JM, Freeman SA. Microneedling prior to Levulan PDT for the treatment of actinic keratoses: a split-face, blinded trial. *J Drugs Dermatol*. 2016;15(9):1072–1074.
39. Bencini PL, Galimberti MG, Pellacani G, Longo C. Application of photodynamic therapy combined with pre-illumination microneedling in the treatment of actinic keratosis in organ transplant recipients. *Br J Dermatol*. 2012;167(5):1193–1194.
40. Soltani-Arabshahi R, Wong JW, Duff KL, Powell DL. Facial allergic granulomatous reaction and systemic hypersensitivity associated with microneedle therapy for skin rejuvenation. *JAMA Dermatol*. 2014;150(1):68–72.
41. Aust MC, Reimers K, Repenning C, et al. Percutaneous collagen induction: minimally invasive skin rejuvenation without risk of hyperpigmentation—fact or fiction? *Plast Reconstr Surg*. 2008;122(5):1553–1563.
42. Goodman GJ, Baron JA. Postacne scarring: a qualitative global scarring grading system. *Dermatol Surg*. 2006;32(12):1458–1466.

Clinical, Cosmetic and Investigational Dermatology

Publish your work in this journal

Clinical, Cosmetic and Investigational Dermatology is an international, peer-reviewed, open access, online journal that focuses on the latest clinical and experimental research in all aspects of skin disease and cosmetic interventions. This journal is included on PubMed. The manuscript management system is completely online

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/clinical-cosmetic-and-investigational-dermatology-journal>

Dovepress

and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors