Angiopep-2-conjugated poly(ethylene glycol)-co-poly(ε-caprolactone) polymersomes for dual-targeting drug delivery to glioma in rats
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Abstract: The blood–brain barrier is a formidable obstacle for glioma chemotherapy due to its compact structure and drug efflux ability. In this study, a dual-targeting drug delivery system involving Angiopep-2-conjugated biodegradable polymersomes loaded with doxorubicin (Ang-PS-DOX) was developed to exploit transport by the low-density lipoprotein receptor-related protein 1 (LRP1), which is overexpressed in both blood–brain barrier and glioma cells. The polymersomes (PS) were prepared using a thin-film hydration method. The PS were loaded with doxorubicin using the pH gradient method (Ang-PS-DOX). The resulting PS were uniformly spherical, with diameters of ~135 nm and with ~159.9 Angiopep-2 molecules on the surface of each PS. The drug-loading capacity and the encapsulation efficiency for doxorubicin were 7.94%±0.17% and 95.0%±1.6%, respectively. Permeability tests demonstrated that the proton diffusion coefficient across the PS membrane was far slower than that across the liposome membrane, and the common logarithm value was linearly dependent on the dioxane content in the external phase. Compared with PS-DOX, Ang-PS-DOX demonstrated significantly higher cellular uptake and stronger cytotoxicity in C6 cells. In vivo pharmacokinetics and brain distribution experiments revealed that Ang-PS-DOX achieved a more extensive distribution and more abundant accumulation in glioma cells than PS-DOX. Moreover, the survival time of glioma-bearing rats treated with Ang-PS-DOX was significantly prolonged compared with those treated with PS-DOX or a solution of free doxorubicin. These results suggested that Ang-PS-DOX can target glioma cells and enhance chemotherapeutic efficacy.
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Introduction

Glioma accounts for ~80% of central nervous system tumors and is the most common primary malignant brain tumor in adults.1,2 Glioma is associated with a poor prognosis; the median survival of glioma patients who undergo aggressive treatment is <14.4 months and the 5-year survival rate is <5%.1,3,4 Surgery cannot completely remove the tumor tissues completely because of the diffuse invasion of tumor cells.5 For this reason, adjunctive radiotherapy and chemotherapy are essential. However, the blood–brain barrier (BBB) prevents most therapeutic agents from entering the brain parenchyma.6 Another obstacle associated with chemotherapy is promoting drug accumulation in the tumor sites while preventing therapeutic agents from accessing surrounding healthy tissues.7 Many strategies based on different mechanisms have been proposed to solve these problems, including osmotic BBB disruption,8 bradykinin receptor-mediated BBB opening,9...
inhibition of drug efflux transporters,10 receptor-mediated transport systems,11,12 and circumvention of the BBB.13,14 Among these strategies, noninvasive receptor-mediated transport system has shown promise for clinical application.

Low-density lipoprotein receptor-related protein 1 (LRP1) is highly expressed on the endothelial cells of brain capillaries15–17 and has been utilized to deliver potential therapeutic agents across the BBB to the central nervous system. LRP1 is also overexpressed in glioma and brain metastases from lung, skin, and breast cancers,18 but weakly expressed in normal brain parenchyma.15,19,20 This feature makes LRP1 a potential target for glioma therapy. Angiopep-2, a peptide derived from the Kunitz domains of aprotinin, can specifically bind LRP1.18 Importantly, Angiopep-2 has higher transcytosis and parenchymal accumulation than transferrin and lactoferrin, which are commonly used for brain-targeting drug delivery systems.18,19,21–23 All of these characteristics make Angiopep-2 a promising candidate for LRP1-mediated dual-targeting drug delivery to glioma tumors.

Doxorubicin (DOX), an anthracycline ring antibiotic, exerts antitumor activity by interfering with DNA synthesis.24 It has been extensively used for the treatment of peripheral tumors; however, there is still a huge gap for the clinical application of DOX in brain tumor therapy because of its rapid clearance and poor delivery across the BBB. To solve this problem, DOX has been encapsulated or conjugated with various nanocarriers to utilize the enhanced permeation and retention (EPR) effect of tumor cells.25 Several specific ligands were connected to nanocarriers to achieve better brain distribution.20 Among these, self-assembled biodegradable polymersomes (PS) made of amphiphilic block copolymers26 have attracted great interest due to their stable structure, tunable membrane, broad range of drug-encapsulating capacity, and long circulatory half-life.27 In view of the aforementioned advantages, Angiopep-2-conjugated biodegradable PS (Ang-PS) loaded with DOX (Ang-PS-DOX) might improve DOX pharmacokinetics, enhance glioma cell drug delivery, and boost chemotherapy efficacy.

The objective of the present study was to assess the potential of Ang-PS, a dual-targeting glioma drug delivery system for the treatment of glioma. The PS were prepared with a thin-film hydration method. The structure and the shape were visualized under cryo-transmission electron microscope (Cryo-TEM) and transmission electron microscope (TEM), respectively. Proton diffusion across the PS membrane was investigated to assess tunable membrane permeability. DOX was loaded by the pH gradient method. Size, zeta potential, drug loading, and drug release of Ang-PS-DOX were determined. Cellular uptake and cytotoxicity assay were investigated using C6 cells. In vivo pharmacokinetics and brain tumor distribution experiments were performed in glioma-bearing rats to reveal the dual-targeting delivery property of Ang-PS-DOX. Finally, survival experiments were conducted to evaluate the antiglioma efficacy of Ang-PS-DOX.

Materials and methods
Materials and animals

Methoxypolyethylene glycol (MPEG, molecular weight [MW] 3,000 Da) was purchased from PegBio (Suzhou, People’s Republic of China). Maleimide-polyethylene glycol (PEG; MW 3,400 Da) was ordered from Laysan Bio (Arab, AL, USA). Methoxypoly(ethylene glycol)-b-poly(caprolactone (3k–16k Da, MPEG3k-PCL16k) or maleimide-PEG3.4k-PCL16k diblock copolymers were synthesized by ring-opening polymerization of ε-caprolactone using MPEG or maleimide-PEG as the initiator as previously described.28 Angiopep-2 (CTFFYGGSRGKRNNFKTKRY) was ordered from Chinese Peptide (Huangzhou, People’s Republic of China). DOX and daunorubicin were purchased from Beijing Huafeng United Technology (Beijing, People’s Republic of China). 8-Hydroxy-2′,3′,6′-trisulfonic acid trisodium salt (HTPS) was from Sigma-Aldrich Co. (St Louis, MO, USA). 4′,6-Diamidino-2-phenylindole, dihydrochloride (DAPI) was from Beyotime Biotechnology (Shanghai, People’s Republic of China). The C6 and C6-green fluorescent protein (GFP) cell lines were bought from Shanghai SBO Medical Biotechnology (Shanghai, People’s Republic of China). Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (high-glucose) cell culture medium, plastic cell culture dishes, and plates were purchased from Corning Incorporated (Corning, NY, USA). Fetal bovine serum was obtained from Gibco/Thermo Fisher Scientific (Waltham, MA, USA). Trypsin–ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid and penicillin–streptomycin solution were provided by Thermo Fisher Scientific. Double-distilled water was purified using a MilliQe Simplicity System (EMD Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). All other chemical reagents were of analytical or chromatographic pure grade and were purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent (Shanghai, People’s Republic of China).

Male Wistar strain rats (weighing 200–230 g) were obtained from Shanghai SLAC Laboratory Animal Co. Ltd. (Shanghai, People’s Republic of China), maintained under standard housing conditions, and provided with sufficient food and water. The animals involved in this
study were treated according to the protocols evaluated and approved by the ethical committee of Fudan University. All animal experiments were performed following national regulations for the administration of affairs concerning experimental animals.

**Biodegradable PS preparation**
Biodegradable PS were prepared with a blend of MPEG-PCL and maleimide-PEG-PCL by a thin-film hydration method. Briefly, 20 mg MPEG3k-PCL16k and 2 mg maleimide-PEG3.4k-PCL16k were dissolved in 10 mL dichloromethane. The dichloromethane was removed by rotary evaporation for 2 h at 40°C with a ZY-98 rotary evaporator (Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, Shanghai, People’s Republic of China). The thin polymer film formed in a round-bottom flask was hydrated with 4 mL 0.2 M citrate buffer (pH 4.0) at 60°C to obtain the PS suspension. Liposomes were prepared with the same methods, except that 15 mg egg phosphatidylcholine and 5 mg cholesterol were dissolved in 10 mL dichloromethane. Afterward, the liposomes were sonicated (200 W, 2 seconds for 15 times) by a probe sonicator (Scientz Biotechnology Co. Ltd., Ningbo, People’s Republic of China) to yield 110 nm liposomes.

DOX was actively entrapped in PS by a pH gradient method. In brief, 2 mg DOX was added to the PS suspension and the pH value was adjusted to 7.4 using 1 M Na₂CO₃. Then, dioxane, a plasticizer of the PS membrane used to increase the permeability to facilitate active loading of DOX, was added to the PS suspension and incubated for 1 h in the dark at 40°C. Ultrafiltration was performed to remove dioxane and unentrapped DOX and concentrate DOX-loaded PS (PS-DOX).

**Blank PS characterization**
PS formation was visualized by Cryo-TEM (JEOL, Tokyo, Japan). PS morphology and size were observed by TEM (JEM-1230, JEOL) after negative staining with 1% uranyl acetate solution. The average diameters and zeta potential of PS and Ang-PS dispersed in 0.001 M NaCl solution were determined by dynamic light scattering using a Nano ZS Zetasizer (Malvern Instruments, Malvern, UK).

**PS permeability**
PS permeability plays a vital role in the drug-loading process and storage stability. In the present study, the proton (H⁺) was selected for permeability determination of PS due to its small size, strong polarity, and weak permeability across PS membranes. Proton efflux was determined by measuring the pH changes of the solution outside PS with HPTS acting as a pH-sensitive fluorescence probe. First, the correlation between fluorescence intensity ratios of HPTS at 403 and 454 nm (I₄₀₃/I₄₅₄, or I₄₅₄/I₄₀₃) and the pH value of the exterior aqueous phase was determined. In brief, the fluorescence excitation spectra of HPTS solution (2.5 μmol/L) at various pH values were scanned (from 350 to 500 nm, Em = 509 nm). The fluorescence intensity ratios vs pH values were regressed with the Boltzmann function. Second, to determine the proton diffusion rate across the PS membrane with different dioxane contents, proton-encapsulated PS were prepared as described above and eluted by a sepharose cross-linked 4B column with NaCl solution (pH 9.8). Then the PS solution was divided into four aliquots, and 0%, 10%, 20%, or 40% (V/V) dioxane was added. Next, HPTS was added to each aliquot, and the HPTS concentrations in the external aqueous phase PS were all 2.5 μmol/L. At preset time points, the fluorescence excitation spectra of PS solution were scanned (from 350 to 500 nm, Em = 509 nm). The proton concentrations derived from the intensity ratios (I₄₀₃/I₄₅₄ or I₄₅₄/I₄₀₃) at different time points were calculated, and the curves of proton concentrations against the square root of diffusion time t were plotted. The diffusion coefficient (D*) of the protons across the PS membrane was calculated according to the following formula and compared with liposomes: $\frac{dC_{\text{out}}}{dt} \approx D_* \frac{N V_{\text{in}} AD*}{V_{\text{out}} L}$, where $C_{\text{out}}$ is the proton concentration in the external aqueous phase, t is the diffusion time,
DOX, Ang-PS-DOX, or free DOX solution for 4 h at 37°C and cultured for 24 h. The cells were exposed to PS-DOX, Ang-PS-DOX, or free DOX solution at 37°C for 24 h, followed by exposure to free DOX, PS-DOX, or Ang-PS-DOX with a series of DOX concentrations for another 24 h at 37°C. The cytotoxicities of these formulations were determined by the MTT assay according to the routine protocol, and the absorbance was measured by a microplate reader (Bio-TEK, Winooski, VT, USA) at a wavelength of 570 nm. The half-maximal inhibitory concentration \( IC_{50} \) values of PS-DOX and Ang-PO-DOX against C6 cells were evaluated by GraphPad Prism v6.02 software (GraphPad Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA).

**In vitro cytotoxicity of DOX-loaded PS against C6 cells**

The in vitro cytotoxicity of DOX-loaded PS against C6 cells was assayed with 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assays. Briefly, C6 cells were plated onto 96-well plates at a density of 10^4 cells per well and incubated for 24 h, followed by exposure to free DOX, PS-DOX, or Ang-PS-DOX with a series of DOX concentrations at 37°C. The cytotoxicities of these formulations were determined by the MTT assay according to the routine protocol, and the absorbance was measured by a microplate reader (Bio-TEK, Winooski, VT, USA) at a wavelength of 570 nm. The half-maximal inhibitory concentration \( IC_{50} \) values of PS-DOX and Ang-PO-DOX against C6 cells were evaluated by GraphPad Prism v6.02 software (GraphPad Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA).

**Tumor implantation**

Glioma-bearing rat models were established by intracranial injection of C6 cells into the striatum as described previously.38 In brief, rats were subjected to the stereotaxic instrument after intraperitoneal anesthesia with chloral hydrate (0.4 g/kg). Then, \(-3\times10^5\) C6 cells (in 5 μL sterile PBS) were injected into the caudate nucleus region, which was 1 mm posterior and 2 mm right to the bregma and 4.5 mm beneath the exposed dura mater. The hole in the skull was sealed with sterilized bone wax, and the scalp incision was sutured.

**Pharmacokinetics and brain delivery of DOX-loaded PS**

In vivo pharmacokinetics and brain delivery of different DOX formulations were investigated as previously described.39 Briefly, 12 days after tumor implantation, glioma-bearing rats were randomly divided into three groups and treated with DOX solution, PS-DOX, or Ang-PS-DOX correspondingly by intraventricular (i.v.) injection. The DOX dosage for each group was 5 mg/kg. For pharmacokinetics studies, blood samples were collected by cheek-pouch (submandibular) puncture at each set time point. At 24 h after i.v. injection,
the animals were sacrificed followed by heart perfusion with saline, and the cerebral cortex, left striatum, and right striatum (tumor tissue) together with other organ samples were carefully harvested and immediately washed with cold saline. DOX concentrations in the tissues and plasma were determined by the HPLC method. Briefly, samples were homogenized with a threefold volume of PBS in an ice bath. Ten microliters of daunorubicin (10 \( \mu \)g/mL), acting as an internal standard, was added to the tissue homogenate. After that, the samples were extracted with 2 mL chloroform:methanol (4:1, v/v), followed by an intense 2 min vortex. After a 10 min centrifugation at 4,000 \( \times \) g, the supernatant was transferred and evaporated with a gentle nitrogen stream at 40°C. The residue was dissolved in 200 \( \mu \)L methanol, and 20 \( \mu \)L was injected into the HPLC system as described above. For data analysis, the percentage of injected dose (%ID) per milliliter of plasma, brain uptake, and organ biodistribution (expressed as %ID per gram of tissue) were calculated as previously described.\(^{38,40}\) The pharmacokinetics parameters including area under the concentration–time curve (AUC\(_0\)-\(t\)), mean residence time (MRT\(_{\text{tot}}\)), and clearance (Cl) were calculated by DAS 3.0 software (Professional Committee of Pharmacometrics of China, Beijing, People’s Republic of China).

**Distribution of DOX-loaded PS in glioma**

Glioma-bearing rats were established as described above. Twelve days after C6-GFP cell inoculation, PS-DOX or Ang-PS-DOX was injected into the tail veins of glioma-bearing rats at the DOX dosage of 5 mg/kg. The rats were perfused with PBS (0.01 M, pH 7.4) and 4% paraformaldehyde 24 h later. Brains were harvested and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 24 h, followed by sequential dehydration with 15% and 30% sucrose solutions. After that, the brains were frozen in Tissue-Tek Optimum Cutting Temperature Compound (Sakura Finetek USA, Torrance, CA, USA) at −80°C and sectioned into 10 \( \mu \)m slices. The fluorescence distribution of DOX-loaded PS in brain tumor slices was imaged under a fluorescence microscope (DMI 4000B; Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany) after being stained with DAPI. The overlay of red DOX-loaded PS with GFP-labeled glioma cells was analyzed by ImageJ 1.48 software (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA).

**Antiglioma efficacy**

The orthotropic glioma-bearing rat models were established as described above. Forty rats were randomly divided into four groups and treated with 100 \( \mu \)L saline, free DOX solution, PS-DOX, or Ang-PS-DOX through the tail vein (DOX dosage of 1.5 mg/kg) at 5, 8, 11, and 14 days after C6 cell inoculation. The body weights of tumor-bearing rats were measured every 2 days and analyzed by GraphPad Prism v6.02. The survival times of animals were recorded, and the data were processed by Kaplan–Meier survival log-rank analysis to evaluate the antglioma efficacy of different DOX formulations.

**Statistical analysis**

Unpaired Student’s \( t \)-tests were used to assess statistically significant differences between two groups, and one-way analyses of variance were performed used for multiple-group analyses. Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD), and \( P < 0.05 \) was considered statistically significant.

**Results and discussion**

**Characterization of blank PS**

The Cryo-TEM and TEM imaging results indicated that the assembled blank PS were uniformly round and vesicle-like shaped with a diameter of ~105 nm (Figure 1A and B). The membrane thickness was homogeneous, with a measured width

---

**Figure 1** Characterization of blank PS.

**Notes:** (A) Cryo-TEM image, (B) TEM image, (C) size, and (D) zeta potential of PS and Ang-PS. Bar =100 nm.

**Abbreviations:** Ang-PS, Angiopep-2-conjugated biodegradable polymersomes; PS, polymersomes; TEM, transmission electron microscope.
The PS diameter obtained with the dynamic light scattering method was similar with those observed in Cryo-TEM and TEM images (Figure 1C). Conjugation of Angiopep-2 to blank PS slightly increased the size from 115.2±11.2 to 123.4±11.5 nm, but significantly increased the zeta potential from −21.2±1.9 to −10.1±1.2 mV (Figure 1D). The coupling efficiency of Angiopep-2 to PS was around 31%. It was estimated that there was an average of 159.9 Angiopep-2 molecules conjugated on each PS surface, provided that the density of PEG-PCL was 1.1 g/cm.3,34

PS permeability
As shown in Figure 2A, the fluorescence excitation spectra of HPTS were obviously pH dependent. The ratios of $I_{454}/I_{403}$ and $I_{403}/I_{454}$ vs pH value were regressed with the Boltzmann function (Figure 2B), and the correlativity of $I_{454}/I_{403}$ and $I_{403}/I_{454}$ vs pH was as follows:

$$y = \frac{3.51562 + (0.01709 - 3.51562)}{1 + e^{(x - 7.92072)/0.42672}}, \quad R^2 = 0.9998$$

$$y = \frac{0.08107 + (223.18529 - 0.08107)}{1 + e^{(x - 4.66866)/0.5238}}, \quad R^2 = 0.9999$$

Within the range of pH value from 6.0 to 10.0, the pH value and proton concentration in the external aqueous phase could be calculated according to the correlativity. The proton concentration vs the square root of diffusion time is plotted in Figure 2C, which shows a good linear relationship with different dioxane content. The slopes of these lines represent corresponding diffusion coefficients ($D^*$) of the protons with different dioxane content, and the value of $D^*$ increases with...
the dioxane content. Compared with liposomes, the $D^*$ value of PS without dioxane is $5.93\times10^{-23}$ cm$^2$/s, which is only 4.5% of that of liposomes ($1.33\times10^{-21}$ cm$^2$/s). These results suggest that PS are much less permeable than liposomes, indicating that drug-loaded PS might have good storage stability.

The curve of $\log[D^*(\Phi)/D^*(0)]$ against dioxane content is plotted in Figure 2D, where $D^*(\Phi)$ is the proton diffusion coefficient after the addition of dioxane and $D^*(0)$ is the proton diffusion coefficient without dioxane. The plot also displays a good linearity, which indicates that the permeability of PEG-PCL PS membrane could be precisely adjusted by the dioxane content outside the PS. The function of dioxane on PEG-PCL PS membrane permeability could be used to overcome the weak membrane permeability of PS during the active drug-loading process.

As shown in Table 1, by the means of active loading method, the DLC and EE for PS-DOX were 8.03%±0.16% and 96.1%±1.5%, respectively. The EE was much higher than those of other DOX-loaded PS (typically ranging between 10% and 15%) by the film rehydration method.$^{41}$ The DLC and EE for Ang-PS-DOX were 7.94%±0.17% and 95.0%±1.6%, respectively, indicating that the Angiopep-2 conjugation had no effect on PS DLC. After DOX loading, the size of PS-DOX and Ang-PS-DOX slightly increased to 125.6±13.5 and 135.2±18.1 nm, respectively. However, after DOX loading, the corresponding zeta potentials of PS-DOX and Ang-PS-DOX increased to −11.2±0.9 and −9±1.1 mV.

**Table 1** Characterization of DOX-loaded PS (n=4 per group)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>DLC (%)</th>
<th>EE (%)</th>
<th>Size (nm)</th>
<th>Zeta (mV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PS-DOX</td>
<td>8.03±0.16</td>
<td>96.1±1.5</td>
<td>125.6±13.5</td>
<td>−11.2±0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ang-PS-DOX</td>
<td>7.94±0.17</td>
<td>95.0±1.6</td>
<td>135.2±18.1</td>
<td>−9.8±1.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** Ang-PS-DOX, Angiopep-2-conjugated biodegradable polymersomes loaded with doxorubicin; DLC, drug-loading capacity; DOX, doxorubicin; EE, encapsulation efficiency; PS, polymersomes.

**Cellular uptake of Ang-PS-DOX**

DOX inhibits tumor formation and development by intercalating in DNA fragments of tumor cells and hindering DNA transcription and replication.$^{24}$ Given this, abundant DOX uptake by the tumor cells is a prerequisite for effective therapy. As shown in Figure 3A, after 1 h incubation, Ang-PS-DOX showed greater accumulation in C6 cells than PS-DOX and DOX solution. Free DOX diffusing into the cells was mainly concentrated in the nuclei, while DOX-loaded PS were mostly distributed in the cytoplasm, which is consistent with the results of our previous work.$^{38}$ Flow cytometry results demonstrated that Ang-PS-DOX cellular uptake was ~3.3-fold that of PS-DOX (Figure 3B).

**In vitro cytotoxicity of Ang-PS-DOX**

As shown in Figure 3D, the cytotoxicity of DOX-loaded PS was concentration dependent. The $IC_{50}$ values of DOX, PS-DOX, and Ang-PS-DOX were 2.9, 14.3, and 3.2 μg/mL, respectively, suggesting that the tumor cell-inhibiting effect of Ang-PS-DOX was increased by ~4.5-fold compared with that of PS-DOX. The abundant cytoplasmic uptake of Ang-PS-DOX into C6 cells mentioned above might be one important factor underlying the enhanced inhibition.

**Pharmacokinetics and brain delivery of DOX-loaded PS**

As shown in Figure 4A, the three DOX formulations were cleared in a biexponential manner. For the free DOX group, the plasma DOX concentration fell sharply to <0.1%ID/mL within 15 min, which was significantly lower than those of PS-DOX and Ang-PS-DOX. However, the plasma DOX concentrations for the PS-DOX and Ang-PS-DOX groups decreased slowly and remained around 0.1%ID/mL, 24 h after administration. These results indicated that DOX encapsulation in PS effectively decreased the plasma clearance of DOX. The pharmacokinetics parameters calculated from Figure 4A are shown in Table 2. The $Cl$ value of free DOX was 585-fold and 492-fold that of PS-DOX and Ang-PS-DOX, respectively, suggesting that the tumor cell-inhibiting effect of Ang-PS-DOX was increased by ~4.5-fold compared with that of PS-DOX. There were significant differences in $Cl$, $AUC_{0–t}$, and $MRT_{0–t}$ between PS-DOX and Ang-PS-DOX ($P<0.05$), indicating that Angiopep-2 modification of PS significantly increased PS blood clearance. The results might be related to extensive LR1 expression in other organs (eg, liver) and cells (eg, vascular smooth muscle cells, neurons, macrophages, and fibroblasts).$^{42}$

At 24 h after i.v. injection of different DOX formulations in C6 glioma-transplanted rats, DOX uptake rates in the
Figure 3 Ang-PS-DOX characterization.
Notes: (A) Cellular uptake of doxorubicin (DOX)-loaded PS. Bar =500 μm. (B) Mean fluorescence intensity of DOX per cell. (C) Cumulative release (%) of DOX from Ang-PS-DOX in different media. (D) C6 viability inhibition-logarithmic DOX concentration curves of DOX-loaded PS. **P<0.01 vs PS-DOX.
Abbreviations: Ang-PS-DOX, Angiopep-2-conjugated biodegradable polymersomes loaded with doxorubicin; au, arbitrary unit; PBs, phosphate-buffered saline; PS, polymersomes.

Figure 4 Ang-PS-DOX pharmacokinetics and brain tumor delivery.
Notes: (A) %ID/mL of doxorubicin (DOX) in the plasma was plotted vs time after i.v. injection of DOX solution (PS-DOX or Ang-PS-DOX) in C6-glioma-bearing rats at the DOX dose of 5 mg/kg. (B) DOX uptake in the brain tissues 24 h post i.v. injection; **P<0.01 vs Ang-PS-DOX. (C) Biodistribution of DOX in major organs 24 h after i.v. injection; **P<0.01 vs DOX. Data represent mean ± standard deviation (n=6).
Abbreviations: Ang-PS-DOX, Angiopep-2-conjugated biodegradable polymersomes loaded with doxorubicin; %ID, injected dose; i.v., intravenous.
Table 2 Pharmacokinetics parameters of DOX-loaded PS in plasma (n=4 per group)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>AUC&lt;sub&gt;0–t&lt;/sub&gt; (%ID h/mL)</th>
<th>Cl (L/h)</th>
<th>MRT&lt;sub&gt;0–t&lt;/sub&gt; (h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DOX</td>
<td>0.52±0.06</td>
<td>1.890±0.208</td>
<td>1.49±0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS-DOX</td>
<td>5.73±0.58&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.23±0.33</td>
<td>5.41±0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ang-PS-DOX</td>
<td>4.96±0.47&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.84±0.32&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.30±0.36&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: <sup>a</sup>P<0.01 vs DOX, <sup>b</sup>P<0.05 vs PS-DOX group.
Abbreviations: Ang-PS-DOX, Angiopep-2-conjugated biodegradable polymersomes loaded with doxorubicin; AUC<sub>0–t</sub>, area under the concentration–time curve; Cl, clearance; DOX, doxorubicin; %ID, percentage of injected dose; MRT, mean residence time; PS, polymersomes.

In vivo distribution of Ang-PS-DOX in glioma tissues

In vivo distribution of PS-DOX and Ang-PS-DOX in glioma, 24 h after i.v. administration, was investigated by fluorescence imaging. As shown in Figure 5, the distribution of Ang-PS-DOX in glioma was more extensive than that of PS-DOX. Moreover, compared with PS-DOX, more Ang-PS-DOX or DOX entered the cytoplasm or even the nuclei of tumor cells, which might facilitate the tumor inhibition ability of DOX. The distribution pattern of Ang-PS-DOX might be due to active BBB and glioma targeting by LRP1-mediated endocytosis. 18

In vivo antiglioma efficacy

After four cycles of drug administration, the survival times of glioma-bearing rats were monitored to evaluate the antiglioma efficacy of Ang-PS-DOX. The life spans of glioma-bearing rats treated with free DOX solution, PS-DOX, Ang-PS-DOX, or saline are shown in Figure 6A and Table 3. The median survival times were 15, 19, 22, and 32 days, respectively. For the PS-DOX group, the survival time of glioma-bearing rats was 46.7% longer compared to the control group (P<0.05) and 15.8% longer than the DOX solution group. For the Ang-PS-DOX group, the survival time was increased by 113% (P<0.01) and 15.8% (P<0.05) compared with those of the control and DOX solution groups, respectively. Moreover, compared with PS-DOX, Ang-PS-DOX significantly further prolonged the survival time of glioma-bearing rats (P<0.05). This was presumably due to its active targeting property by LRP1-mediated endocytosis, long circulatory half-life, and the EPR effect. Body weight changes in different groups could be used to evaluate the...
side effects of different treatments. As shown in Figure 6B, compared with saline, different DOX formulations, except free DOX, did not significantly decrease the body weight, indicating that Ang-PS-DOX might be a safe treatment. These promising results demonstrate the enormous potential of Ang-PS-DOX in clinical glioma therapy.

Conclusion
In the present study, we developed a dual-targeting brain tumor drug delivery system, Angiopep-2-conjugated PS loaded with DOX. The PEG-PCL PS were prepared by a film hydration method. The proton permeability of the PS membrane was much less than that of liposomes, and it could be adjusted by dioxane. In vitro cellular uptake and MTT assays demonstrated Ang-PS-DOX gathered more in C6 cells and lowered the IC_{50} to a greater extent than PS-DOX. In vivo brain delivery and glioma slice imaging revealed that Ang-PS-DOX demonstrated stronger accumulation in the glioma tissue and higher tumor cell uptake than PS-DOX. Moreover, Ang-PS-DOX significantly improved the survival time of glioma-bearing rats, compared to free DOX solution and PS-DOX. These promising results indicate that Ang-PS-DOX might have great potential in brain tumor therapy.
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