Osteoporosis – a current view of pharmacological prevention and treatment
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Abstract: Postmenopausal osteoporosis is the most common bone disease, associated with low bone mineral density (BMD) and pathological fractures which lead to significant morbidity. It is defined clinically by a BMD of 2.5 standard deviations or more below the young female adult mean (T-score = −2.5). Osteoporosis was a huge global problem both socially and economically – in the UK alone, in 2011 £6 million per day was spent on treatment and social care of the 230,000 osteoporotic fracture patients – and therefore viable preventative and therapeutic approaches are key to managing this problem within the aging population of today. One of the main issues surrounding the potential of osteoporosis management is diagnosing patients at risk before they develop a fracture. We discuss the current and future possibilities for identifying susceptible patients, from fracture risk assessment to shape modeling and in relation to the high heritability of osteoporosis now that a plethora of genes have been associated with low BMD and osteoporotic fracture. This review highlights the current therapeutics in clinical use (including bisphosphonates, anti-RANKL [receptor activator of NF-κB ligand], intermittent low dose parathyroid hormone, and strontium ranelate) and some of those in development (anti-sclerostin antibodies and cathepsin K inhibitors). By highlighting the intimate relationship between the activities of bone forming (osteoblasts) and bone-resorbing (osteoclasts) cells, we include an overview and comparison of the molecular mechanisms exploited in each therapy.
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Physiological control of bone remodeling

A healthy skeleton is maintained throughout life by the constant process of bone remodeling that is regulated by the balanced activities of bone-resorbing osteoclasts and bone-forming osteoblasts (Figure 1) to maintain normal physiological structure and mineral content. The bone remodeling process is completed in 4–6 months. It takes place mostly in a nontargeted manner to remove old bone and involves resorption of bone by peripheral blood-derived multinucleated osteoclasts, followed by bone formation by osteoblasts.1 Remodeling also takes place at specific, targeted bone surfaces, which develop stress-induced microfractures attracting osteoclasts by signaling via osteocytes embedded deep within the mineralized bone.2–4 The activation of different bone cells in the bone remodeling process is orchestrated by multiple pathways such as receptor activator of nuclear factor (NF)-κB ligand (RANKL) and Wnt signaling pathways, and these pathways are exploited in the development of new therapies for osteoporosis.5
Osteoporosis – an exploding 21st century problem of an aging population

Osteoporosis is defined by the World Health Organization as a value for bone mineral density (BMD) 2.5 standard deviations or more below the young female adult mean—referred to as a T-score of −2.5, where a T-score of zero is equal to the young female adult mean. It is estimated that one in two women and one in five men over the age of 50 years in the UK (National Osteoporotic Society, UK) and an estimated 44 million Americans (National Osteoporotic Society, USA) are at risk of osteoporotic fracture – most commonly fractures of the hip, wrist, and vertebra. Therapies to inhibit osteoclasts are effective at preventing bone loss, but osteoporosis often goes undiagnosed until an individual receives a bone scan after a fracture. Population screening by dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) bone scans (which is the current gold standard for osteoporosis diagnosis) is not cost-effective, and therefore new, reliable methods to identify individuals with low BMD are required. Since the treatment of osteoporotic fracture has a huge impact on individual recovery and the national health budget, this serves to highlight the pivotal role for early diagnosis, prevention, and treatment of osteoporosis.

Osteoporosis is caused by an uncoupling of bone resorption from bone formation such that the activities of osteoclasts far outweigh those of the osteoblasts. Peak bone mass is achieved in early adulthood and, following this point, both women and men lose bone with increasing age. However, this process is accelerated in postmenopausal women whereby the loss of estrogen is associated with an increase in osteoclast activity. Decades of research indicates that estrogen plays a dominant multifactorial role in maintaining cortical bone formation by supporting osteoblasts and preventing bone resorption by suppressing osteoclast formation and stimulating osteoclast apoptosis.

Figure 1 The cells responsible for bone remodeling, highlighting key signaling pathways that are targets for therapies recommended for the prevention of osteoporotic fracture.

Notes: Osteocytes are embedded within mineralized bone and, in response to mechanical loading or microdamage, provide signals to osteoclasts to resorb. Osteoclast differentiation and function is dependent on the RANKL–RANK signaling pathway, which in vivo, is negatively regulated by OPG. Circulating PTH is a physiological regulator of plasma calcium and binds to PTHR on osteoblasts to indirectly stimulate osteoclast activity via upregulation of RANKL and downregulation of OPG expression. Calcitonin binds to the CTR expressed on mature osteoclasts to reversibly inhibit osteoclast function, although the exact physiological relevance for calcitonin is not fully understood. E2 has a positive effect on bone, through effects on osteoblasts and osteoclasts via ERα. CatK is secreted by resorbing osteoclasts across the convoluted ruffled border membrane and is required to degrade collagen. Osteoclast activity releases factors from the bone, which attract osteoblasts to the site of resorption. Osteoblast differentiation and function is controlled by the Wnt signaling pathway via the LRP5/6 and Frizzled co-receptors, which is regulated by endogenous inhibitors such as sclerostin, expressed by osteocytes and upregulated in response to unloading.

Abbreviations: CatK, cathepsin K; CTR, calcitonin receptor; E2, estrogen; ERα, estrogen receptor; LRP5/6, lipoprotein-related protein 5/6; OPG, osteoprotegerin; PTH, parathyroid hormone; PTHR, PTH receptor; RANK, receptor activator of nuclear factor-κB; RANKL, RANK ligand.
In men, testosterone plays a crucial role in protecting the skeleton. Experiments with androgen receptor knockout mouse models showed that the absence of androgen receptors on the surface of bone cells leads to the development of osteoporosis in male mice, but not in female mice. These experiments showed that the protective action of testosterone is mediated via the supportive activity of osteoblasts on osteoclasts, not directly on osteoclasts themselves. Although testosterone has a direct effect on bone, estrogen is also important in maintaining bone health in men since estrogen activity in bone cells is via the conversion of androgen to estrogen, indicating a dual protective action of androgens in men.

Secondary osteoporosis is defined as osteoporosis that develops as a consequence of an unrelated underlying cause. These can include a drug treatment (eg, chronic corticosteroid use), hypogonadism, malnutrition or eating disorders such as anorexia nervosa, excessive exercise, and neoplastic disorders.

The integrity of the skeleton is also intricately linked to appetite and energy balance, and the underlying mechanism by which bone mass is regulated by the brain is through a leptin-mediated brain-derived serotonin pathway. This research indicates potential adverse effects of anti-obesity leptin therapy on bone mass and confirms the molecular basis of the bone loss that is associated with prolonged treatment with selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors.

**Osteopenia – destined for osteoporosis?**

Osteopenia is diagnosed when a T-score by DXA is between −1 and −2.5. There is no clear consensus as to whether to treat osteopenia with pharmacotherapy. Osteopenia per se is not a criterion for pharmacotherapy under current guidelines, although treatment could be initiated if other risk factors are associated, particularly in men. One study showed that the majority of fractures occurred in osteopenic women, and this put emphasis on the careful assessment of osteopenic patients with high risk factors whilst restraining from treating all osteopenic patients. It was suggested that further assessment of these patients with high resolution peripheral quantitative computed tomography (HR-pQCT) was needed.

**Screening methods for osteoporosis**

DXA of hip and lumbar spine is the most commonly used diagnostic tool for osteoporosis assessment. DXA is very expensive, and many patients only receive a scan after a fracture. Identifying individuals that are at highest risk of pathological fracture and therefore likely to benefit from preventative treatment is an important goal in osteoporosis management. This could be achieved using alternative scanning methods, since for example, HR-pQCT together with finite element analysis for bone strength has demonstrated improved fracture risk prediction than DXA measurements. Fracture prediction calculators, including FRAX® (Fracture Risk Assessment), QFractureScores®, and the Garvan Institute fracture calculator, are algorithm-based methods to estimate risk of fracture, considering lifestyle factors such as body mass index as well as alcohol and smoking history. Fracture risk calculators can be used as tools to determine individuals that would benefit from a DXA scan, and FRAX® and Garvan can also be used in conjunction with BMD measurements to provide a fracture prediction score. FRAX® has been validated for use in many countries; however, there is some debate about whether these risk calculators actually provide better prediction of osteoporotic fracture than assessing age and BMD alone, and the importance of calibration of the algorithms to local cohorts, time period for risk assessment, and inclusion of risk of mortality have been highlighted and discussed in detail. It is likely that other non-BMD assessments in addition to DXA are required to improve osteoporosis detection. Active shape and appearance modeling were developed based on previous observations that differences in femoral geometry and bone quality might increase susceptibility to hip fracture. Different studies have employed a range of statistical algorithms to assess femoral neck appearance and shape from computed tomography, X-ray, and DXA images in cross-sectional and longitudinal studies. A correlation was found between the texture and appearance and actual measured bone strength of femora, supporting the development of appearance models, and in recent cross-sectional and prospective studies, active shape and appearance models of the hip predicted significantly more fractures than BMD measurements alone. Common to each study was that increased likelihood of fracture was associated with greater neck shaft angle. These results suggest that subtle shape and appearance differences between individuals could act as additional biomarkers, which could be incorporated into fracture assessment tools given appropriate adaption to allow automatic point placement.

**Is osteoporosis susceptibility in the genes?**

As a stepping stone to determining a genetic link in osteoporosis, twin and family studies have shown that up
to 85% variation in BMD can be attributed to genes.\textsuperscript{32,33} Although initially genome-wide scans revealed no significant association to individual genes due to low sensitivity, later genome-wide association studies showed single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) associated with variation in BMD, and most recently, up to 56 loci were associated with low BMD, of which 14 were associated with osteoporotic fractures.\textsuperscript{34–36} Many of these genes are associated with regulation of bone mineral homeostasis.\textsuperscript{37} As it is becoming apparent that multiple SNPs are responsible for osteoporosis, it may be possible in the near future to screen for the risk of development of osteoporosis using SNPs as biomarkers and allow monitoring and therapeutic management of genetically at-risk patients to be implemented earlier.

**Risk factors and prevention of bone loss or fracture**

Risk factors for developing low BMD, osteoporosis, and fracture include inherent, nonmodifiable factors as well as those that individuals can address to prevent or slow down onset of osteoporosis. Age, sex, and family history are independent risk factors for developing low BMD. Everyone loses bone mass with age, but women are at higher risk since they rapidly lose bone around and following menopause. The modifiable risk factors for developing osteoporosis have been systematically studied using observations from participants of the Framingham Heart Study, showing that low baseline weight, weight loss, and alcohol use in women, and smoking in men were associated with loss in BMD.\textsuperscript{38} A history of previous fragility fractures (vertebral or hip) is a strong predictor of future fractures, and given that falling is also a strong predictor of fracture, approaches to assessing the risk of falling together with risk of fracture is likely to be beneficial.\textsuperscript{39,40}

Adequate calcium and vitamin D status are associated with good bone health, and studies that have examined the effect of a range of different vitamins and other dietary factors on maintaining BMD highlight the need for adequate and balanced nutrition.\textsuperscript{41–43}

**Current therapeutic management options for osteoporosis**

There is a range of anti-resorptive or anabolic options for the prevention of osteoporotic fracture. The history of when each drug was approved for use for osteoporosis by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) or the European Medicines Agency (EMA) in the case of strontium ranelate is detailed in Figure 2. All therapeutic management strategies for the prevention and treatment of osteoporosis include recommendations for calcium and vitamin D supplementation.

**Anti-resorptive drugs**

**Bisphosphonates**

Bisphosphonates are the most commonly used drugs for the treatment of osteoporosis. They avidly bind to bone and are internalized by osteoclasts to inhibit resorption (Figure 3). They are administered both orally and intravenously and are divided into two classes – the low potency non-nitrogen containing bisphosphonates and the potent nitrogen-containing bisphosphonates. These two classes have distinct intracellular targets and molecular mechanisms of action that lead to inhibition of osteoclast-mediated bone resorption.\textsuperscript{44}

All bisphosphonates have a phosphate-carbon-phosphate backbone with two side chains ($R_1$ and $R_2$). The non-nitrogen containing bisphosphonates have simple side chains (in etidronate $R_1$ and $R_2$ are CH$_3$ groups, in clodronate $R_1$ and $R_2$ are Cl groups) and are metabolized in osteoclasts to non-hydrolysable analogs of adenosine triphosphate (ATP), accumulation of which causes osteoclast apoptosis.\textsuperscript{45} On the route to clinic, etidronate was successfully administered to

![Figure 2 Key milestones in the lifecourse of osteoporosis therapy. Strontium ranelate is not approved by the FDA but all other agents have been approved both by the FDA and EMA.](image-url)

**Note:** The dates shown represent the year that they were first approved by the FDA (or EMA for strontium ranelate) for use in the treatment of osteoporosis.

**Abbreviations:** EMA, European Medicines Agency; FDA, US Food and Drug Administration; IV, intravenous.
treat a young patient with myositis ossificans progressive in 1968, and prior to the use of etidronate (Didronel®) as an anti-osteoporotic drug it was used to treat Paget’s disease of bone before Procter and Gamble developed it for treatment of osteoporosis.46–48

In the nitrogen-containing bisphosphonates, R₁ tends to be –OH and R₂ contains a nitrogen molecule either attached to a multiple carbon side-chain (as in alendronate and pamidronate) or in a heterocyclic group (such as risedronate and zoledronate). These bisphosphonates are not metabolized to non-hydrolysable ATP analogs but inhibit farnesyl pyrophosphate synthase (FPPS), an enzyme in the mevalonate pathway.49,50 In addition to cholesterol biosynthesis, this pathway is responsible for synthesis of farnesyl and geranylgeranyl pyrophosphate, required for the prenylation and correct subcellular localization of proteins, including small GTPases that regulate processes critical for osteoclast function, including vesicular trafficking.51

The potency of nitrogen-containing bisphosphonates for inhibiting FPPS activity correlates with their ability to inhibit resorption in vivo.52,53 Alendronate (Fosamax®; oral, 70 mg) is the most commonly prescribed drug for the treatment of postmenopausal osteoporosis and is associated with increased BMD.
and fracture risk reduction. Oral bisphosphonates but especially alendronate are associated with gastrointestinal side effects, despite detailed guidance on when and how to take the medication. Risedronate (Actonel®) and etidronate (Didronel®) are recommended for patients who are unable to tolerate alendronate. These side effects are likely one of the reasons for patient noncompliance with oral bisphosphonates. Intravenous administration of other nitrogen-containing bisphosphonates (pamidronate, zoledronate) overcomes this patient non-compliance, and a 5 mg dose of zoledronate (Aclasta®) is highly effective at reducing fracture risk and preventing new fractures if administered as an annual single infusion. It is approved for use in women at high risk of fracture or who have experienced a previous osteoporotic fracture. Intravenous bisphosphonates are generally well tolerated, and the most commonly observed side effect is self-limiting flu-like symptoms which persist for about 3 days following the first administration, mediated by circulating monocytes and activation of specific subsets of T-cells. Osteonecrosis of the jaw (ONJ) is a rare but recognized side effect resulting from long-term bisphosphonate use. The estimated rates of occurrence in osteoporosis patients taking oral bisphosphonates range from 1/100,000 to 1/10,000 patient years of oral bisphosphonate administration. The route of administration of bisphosphonate and duration of treatment affects the projected time to onset of ONJ (median time of 3 years for intravenous and 5 years for oral) and may explain why ONJ is more common in cancer patients receiving higher doses of intravenous zoledronate than in osteoporosis patients whose first-line therapy is oral alendronate. Accumulating evidence suggests that long-term use of bisphosphonates is associated with atypical fracture of the femur, diagnosed upon presentation with a characteristic combination of features to distinguish from typical femoral fractures. Recommendations by the FDA in 2010 advised that bisphosphonate labeling be changed to raise awareness of the potential increased risk of such fractures with extended bisphosphonate use. The pathophysiologic mechanism of this unique type of fracture is likely to be related to the affinity of bisphosphonates for areas of increased bone remodeling, thus accumulating in high concentration in bone matrix. This means prolonged absence of osteoclast activity, which by virtue of the tight coupling of osteoblast to osteoclast activity will result in a subsequent inability of osteoblasts to repair microdamage.

There have been a few reports of cases of treatment failure or incidence of fragility fracture in patients taking anti-osteoporotic medications, particularly bisphosphonates. This group of patients was categorized as nonresponsive or resistant to bisphosphonate treatment, and it would be interesting to determine whether this nonresponsiveness was a result of genetic differences. A single nucleotide polymorphism (rs2297480) within the promoter region of FPPS was recently associated with low BMD and reduced response to bisphosphonate treatment in postmenopausal women, with carriers of the rare allele demonstrating significantly less improvement in BMD with long-term bisphosphonate treatment compared with homozygous carriers of the common allele. It was suggested that the variant allele destroys a Runx1 binding site, likely leading to increased FPPS transcription. We have recently found resistance to bisphosphonate treatment is induced in vitro when endogenous FPPS is upregulated.

Denosumab

The interaction of RANKL with RANK is critical for the formation and function of bone-resorbing osteoclasts. Denosumab (Prolia®), a fully human monoclonal antibody against RANKL, is an anti-resorptive drug that acts by preventing RANKL from interacting with RANK on the osteoclast precursor cells (Figure 3). This inhibits the differentiation and function of these cells and is associated with fracture prevention at multiple sites. In 2010, denosumab (60 mg, subcutaneous injection every 6 months) was licensed by the FDA for use in postmenopausal women who are at high risk of osteoporotic fracture and for those that have been nonresponsive to other osteoporosis therapies. In 2012, it was approved for treatment of osteoporosis in men with high risk of fracture. When the effectiveness of denosumab and alendronate treatment in postmenopausal women was compared, denosumab was at least as effective at increasing BMD at the hip and lumbar spine. Although clinical effectiveness was maintained for up to 6 months following a single injection of denosumab, cessation of treatment was associated with a more rapid reduction in BMD compared with bisphosphonate therapy, since unlike bisphosphonates, denosumab is not incorporated into the structure of the bone itself and therefore resolution of denosumab-associated ONJ may be more rapid than bisphosphonate-induced ONJ if treatment is stopped.

Calcitonin

Calcitonin is a naturally occurring peptide hormone synthesized and secreted by the thyroidal C-cells. The precise role for calcitonin in human physiology is not fully understood, but levels of calcitonin are elevated when serum
Anabolic agents

Teriparatide

Parathyroid hormone (1–84; PTH) plays a central role in calcium homeostasis by maintaining the serum calcium level within the physiological range by indirectly (via osteoblasts) stimulating osteoclasts to resorb bone. Although in hyperparathyroidism this catabolic effect leads to loss of bone mineral content, PTH has an anabolic effect on bone remodeling when administered intermittently. Teriparatide (1–34 amino acid peptide) is a human PTH analog which also has an osteanabolic effect when administered intermittently at low doses. Teriparatide (Forteo®) is effective (20 µg/day subcutaneously) at increasing BMD in postmenopausal and glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis and is more effective than alendronate at reducing the incidence of vertebral and hip fractures. Both the pro-resorptive and anabolic functions of teriparatide are required for clinical effectiveness. Combining anti-resorptive therapy (to inhibit bone loss) with teriparatide therapy (to stimulate bone formation) has agent-specific effects on the overall effectiveness of teriparatide therapy. The administration of raloxifene prior to teriparatide improves BMD above that of teriparatide alone. In open-label studies, alendronate blunted the ability of teriparatide to increase BMD when the therapies were combined, whereas in another study patients that had been previously treated with risedronate showed better improvement in BMD in response to teriparatide than patients that had previously been on alendronate therapy. Randomized trials that examined the effect of combining PTH (1–84) itself with alendronate showed no synergistic effects on BMD at multiple sites. However, if patients that had taken PTH (1–84) for 1 year were randomized to alendronate, they showed significant improvement in BMD. Recently, a pilot randomized study examining the effect of concurrent or sequential administration of ibandronate with just 6 months PTH (1–84) demonstrated overall improvements in BMD but with a blunting of the anabolic effect of PTH. Taken together, these data demonstrate that more studies are needed to clarify the most appropriate combination therapies to best exploit the anabolic potential of intermittent PTH-based therapies.

The exact mechanism leading to the anabolic effect of teriparatide is not fully understood, but it has been shown to enhance osteoblast formation from its circulating precursors and prevent osteoblast apoptosis. Despite its anabolic effect on bone, the use of teriparatide in osteoporosis remains guarded due to the associated high incidence of osteosarcoma in animal models; however, a long-term clinical study has so far found no association between osteosarcoma and teriparatide in humans. Teriparatide is approved by the FDA as an anabolic treatment for osteoporosis in individuals at high risk of fracture. The potential to reduce the frequency of administration (and hence increase compliance) is a possibility given that a single 20 µg dose of teriparatide is effective for up to 1 week. In addition, alternative delivery systems (such as intranasal and transdermal) are currently being tested, and an implantable wirelessly controlled drug delivery device for teriparatide is in clinical trial for postmenopausal osteoporosis.

Strontium ranelate

Divalent strontium ions have the capacity to substitute for calcium within bone without adversely affecting mineralization. Strontium ranelate (Protelos®) increases BMD and reduces the risk of vertebral and nonvertebral fractures. The protective effect of strontium ranelate results from an uncoupling of bone formation from resorption, thereby increasing functional osteoblasts whilst simultaneously decreasing osteoclasts. The mechanism by which strontium has these concomitant effects is thought to involve, at least in part, the calcium sensing receptor (CaSR) (Figure 3), the receptor responsible for mediating cellular responses to extracellular calcium ions. However, there is also evidence for CaSR independent pathways. Strontium ranelate is not approved by the FDA, but is licensed (oral formulation of 2 g/day) for restricted use for the prevention of vertebral and nonvertebral osteoporotic fractures in the EU, in patients where bisphosphonate treatment has failed or is contraindicated. The prescribing guidelines have been amended recently to account for
reported adverse effects of strontium ranelate treatment, including skin rashes and deep vein thrombosis.101

**Raloxifene**

Given the potential adverse effects of long-term hormone replacement therapy use on extra-osseous tissues, including slight increased risk of cardiovascular disorders and uterine and breast cancers which appear to be directly related to the duration of treatment, hormone replacement therapies are no longer recommended for prevention of osteoporosis.102,103

To overcome the potential unwanted tissue-specific effects of estrogen, selective estrogen receptor modulators (SERMs) were investigated for a potential role in osteoporosis management. Tamoxifen, used to treat breast cancer, also has beneficial effects on BMD, and raloxifene hydrochloride (Evista®) improves BMD in postmenopausal women and was approved by the FDA for use in the prevention of osteoporosis in postmenopausal women in 1997.104 In the EVA (Evista vs Alendronate) trial, a direct comparison with daily oral alendronate (10 mg) demonstrated that daily oral raloxifene (60 mg) was equally as effective at reducing fracture risk; however, this study was terminated early because of slow enrollment, so the numbers in the final analyses were low.105 A recent retrospective database analysis found similar improvements in fracture rates in alendronate versus raloxifene-treated cohorts, with reduced risk of breast cancer in the raloxifene-treated patients.106

Side effects of raloxifene treatment include an increased risk of fatal stroke and venous thromboembolism that were observed in the RUTH trials.107 Given that raloxifene is also effective at reducing the risk of developing breast cancer, the FDA subsequently approved it in 2007 for treatment of postmenopausal women with high risk of breast cancer.108 In addition to synthetic SERMs, there is increasing interest in the therapeutic application of naturally occurring phytoestrogens in the regulation of bone remodeling and prevention of bone loss. One example is genistein, an isoflavone found in soybeans that effectively prevents postmenopausal bone loss without adverse effects on other tissues.109 However, the overall effectiveness of phytoestrogens on fracture risk has not been proven.

**Monitoring response to treatment**

Response to treatment with anti-resorptive or anabolic therapeutic agents is monitored by assessing the biochemical markers of bone turnover (Table 1). These allow for treatment efficacy assessment and highlight nonresponsiveness. Treatment strategies can be reviewed and amended based on these measurements, in accordance with local, approved guidelines. In addition, DXA scans will be performed to monitor BMD changes, but these changes can take years to detect by DXA, and a recent study suggested the use of stable isotopes of calcium for rapidly assessing changes in BMD.110

**Is it possible to compare the overall clinical effectiveness of different osteoporosis therapies?**

In the absence of randomized, blinded clinical trials comparing all available treatments, research groups have employed network meta-analysis approaches to compare the relative effectiveness of different bisphosphonates on vertebral fractures or the range of different classes of approved agents described in this review on hip, vertebral, and non-vertebral fracture prevention.111,112 Overall, they conclude that all agents (except etidronate) reduce the risk of vertebral and non-vertebral fractures when compared with placebo. Jansen et al111 concluded that zoledronate was more effective than other bisphosphonates at reducing vertebral fractures, and Freemantle et al112 showed that denosumab was not different to zoledronate but was more effective at reducing the occurrence of vertebral fractures than strontium ranelate, raloxifene, risedronate, and alendronate.

**The next generation of therapeutics for osteoporosis management?**

**Anti-sclerostin antibodies**

Sclerostin is expressed by osteocytes, secreted as a monomer, and was first identified as the gene mutated in sclerosteosis, a disease featuring hyperostotic bones.113 Sclerostin is a negative regulator of bone formation by

---

**Table 1** Serum biomarkers for osteoporosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Osteoblastic activity markers</th>
<th>Osteoclastic activity markers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total or bone specific alkaline phosphatase</td>
<td>Tartrate resistant acid phosphatase (TRAP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteocalcin</td>
<td>C-terminal telopeptide of collagen type I (ICTP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N- or C-terminal propeptide of protocollagen type I</td>
<td>β-CrossLaps (β-CTX)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N-terminal telopeptide of collagen type I (NTX)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Adapted with permission of Elsevier. Original Source: Torres E, Mezquita P, DeLa Higuera M, Fernandez D, Munoz M. Actualizacion sobre la determinacion de marcadores de remodelado osteo. Endocrinol Nutr. 2003;50(6):237–243.113
antagonizing the interaction between Wnt ligand and LRP5/6 co-receptor on osteoblasts, thus inhibiting canonical Wnt signaling. Sclerostin expression is upregulated during mechanical unloading, which is associated with reduced BMD, and sclerostin antibodies prevent bone loss associated with unloading in mice.\textsuperscript{114,115} Phase I trials of AMG785 (a humanized monoclonal antibody against sclerostin) showed increase in bone formation and reduction in bone resorption markers in healthy men and postmenopausal women, and Phase II trials are underway (NCT00896532).\textsuperscript{116,117} AMG 167 (also a humanized monoclonal antibody against sclerostin) has completed Phase I clinical trials for the treatment of osteopenia (NCT01101048; results pending).

**Cathepsin K inhibitors**

Cathepsin K was first cloned from a human cDNA library in 1995, and expression was localized predominantly to osteoclastoma tissue.\textsuperscript{118,119} It is a lysosomal cysteine protease released by osteoclasts across the ruffled border during bone resorption and catalyses the degradation of type I collagen. Nonsense mutations in cathepsin K were identified in patients with pycnodysostosis, a disease characterized by nonfunctioning osteoclasts, and it was this together with the osteopetrotic phenotype of Ctsk\textsuperscript{−/−} mice that confirmed cathepsin K as a possible therapeutic target for osteoporosis.\textsuperscript{120,121} A number of cathepsin K inhibitors have been developed, and most to date have been discontinued as a result of adverse reactions due to lack of selectivity or drug interactions. Odanacatib is a selective, reversible nonpeptidic biaryl inhibitor of cathepsin K, which is effective at increasing BMD with 50 mg weekly doses, given a relatively long half-life (up to 93 hours).\textsuperscript{122,123} Odanacatib reduces bone resorption whilst maintaining bone formation – an uncoupling of bone formation from resorption likely as a result of the fact that cathepsin K inhibition does not reduce osteoclast numbers and therefore osteoclast–osteoblast coupling factors (such as the ephrins) have the potential to maintain osteoblast recruitment and function.\textsuperscript{124} Odanacatib has reached Phase III clinical trials in postmenopausal women (NCT00529373 and NCT00729183). In 2012, Medivir AB (Sweden) commenced a Phase I trial of their lead cathepsin K inhibitor MIV-711.

**Stem cell therapy**

Over recent years, stem cell therapy in musculoskeletal research has exploded, and there is a wide range of possible clinical applications for such technologies, many focusing on tissue repair following damage, including bone fractures, cartilage lesions, or ligament and tendon injuries.\textsuperscript{125} One hurdle in the development of therapies exploiting endogenous mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) is their lack of capacity to home to bone surfaces. A recent study indicated the possibility of directing endogenous MSCs to the bone surface using piggyback technology in which LLPA2, the ligand for integrin \(\alpha 4\beta 1\) expressed by MSCs, is administered in vivo, piggybacked onto alendronate. When LLPA2 binds to MSCs, the bisphosphonate directs those stem cells to the bone surface where osteoblastic differentiation and subsequent bone regeneration takes place. In studies in mice, this approach was effective when employed to direct transplanted MSCs to the bone surface, and the results strongly indicated that the homing of endogenous MSCs was positively influenced too.\textsuperscript{126}

**Treatment guidelines**

Representative treatment guidelines for postmenopausal women are summarized in Figure 4. In men, specific guidelines are not published, however the Endocrine Society suggest a guideline for pharmacological treatment of osteoporosis in men based on a T-score of −1 or below and FRAX\textsuperscript{8} scoring.\textsuperscript{127} Elderly men with low serum testosterone and a risk of fracture are advised to take testosterone and a bone protective drug such as bisphosphonate or teriparatide. Any improvement in treatment can be monitored every 1–2 years.

**How long should osteoporosis treatment be continued?**

As the human life span is gradually increasing, more and more elderly people are being treated for primary and secondary osteoporosis over increasing periods of time. The question arises as to whether it is necessary or clinically prudent to treat osteoporosis for many years. This is particularly relevant for bisphosphonates, which are the first choice treatment. As bisphosphonates have an apparent half-life of more than 10 years due to selective adherence to the bone surface, successive treatment over years would not only have a cumulative effect, but may actually be detrimental for bone health by preventing the cyclical changes required to maintain normal bone architecture.\textsuperscript{128}

In a recent report from the FDA, it was suggested that, based on evidence from three long-term clinical trials, patients are unlikely to benefit from continued treatment with bisphosphonates beyond 3–5 years, and it was difficult to predict how long the beneficial effect of bisphosphonates would remain after discontinuation of therapy.\textsuperscript{129} One alternative is to stop bisphosphonate therapy for a “drug
holiday” to allow normal bone remodeling to resume, and then to restart therapy.

## Conclusion

Currently, bisphosphonates are the mainstay treatment for osteoporosis. Although there are concerns about their long-term effect, they are one of the safest drugs because of very short serum half-life (4 mg/5 min intravenous infusion reaching less than 1% of initial serum concentration at 24 hours postadministration) and high tissue specificity.

Moreover, since alendronate is now off-license and therefore a generic drug, it is far cheaper compared with other available treatments for osteoporosis. As described in this review, there are a wide range of alternatives to the use of bisphosphonates, for those individuals who are unable to tolerate or are contraindicated for bisphosphonates. In addition, there are exciting new treatment options on the horizon, the development of which have followed directly from the identification of key molecules critical to the maintenance of a healthy skeleton.
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