


A Longitudinal Qualitative Study on the Perioperative Symptom-Demand Journey Map of Patients with Cervical Cancer [Letter]

Tien Zubaidah 

Health Department, Poltekkes Kemenkes, Banjarmasin, Indonesia

Correspondence: Tien Zubaidah, Department of Health, Poltekkes Kemenkes, Jl. H. Mistar Cokrokusumo No. 1A Kelurahan Sei Besar Banjarbaru, Banjarmasin, Kalimantan Selatan, Indonesia, Email tien.zubaidah@gmail.com

Dear editor

The recent study by Zhang et al offers a poignant and clinically meaningful contribution to perioperative nursing science.¹ By moving beyond clinical metrics to map the dynamic symptom-demand journey of women navigating cervical cancer surgery, the authors illuminate the quiet struggles, evolving fears, and resilient hopes of patients at their most vulnerable moments. We commend this work warmly, while raising several considerations that may assist readers in contextualizing the findings.

First, the authors justify a sample of 14 participants by invoking information saturation, yet the point at which saturation was reached and the criteria by which it was determined are not explicitly documented.¹ Without this transparency, it is difficult to assess whether the thematic richness reported reflects genuine informational sufficiency. Formal documentation of saturation—specifying the interview round at which no new themes emerged—would meaningfully strengthen the credibility of the findings.²

Second, the use of Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs is both an organizational strength and a potential constraint. Several themes—particularly internalized shame, diminished self-worth, and the coexistence of information hunger and overload—appear deeply interconnected rather than hierarchically sequential.¹ These dimensions may be more fully captured by complementary frameworks that foreground relational disruption and existential meaning-making,³ especially given the unique psychosocial burdens cervical cancer imposes on femininity, fertility, and gender identity. The finding that patients simultaneously experience desperate information-seeking and debilitating overload is among the most clinically significant in this study, underscoring that effective communication must attend to emotional timing as much as medical accuracy.

Third, the perioperative journey map is positioned as the primary clinical output, yet formal validation with frontline clinical end-users—nurses and allied health practitioners—is not reported.⁴ A tool developed without clinician co-design risks limited adoption in practice. A modular version of the map that accounts for variability in clinical staging and surgical approach would further enhance its transferability.

Finally, a formal reflexivity statement is absent. Given that interviews were conducted by healthcare-affiliated researchers in clinical settings, the institutional positioning of the interviewer may have shaped disclosure on stigma-laden themes such as reproductive loss and sexuality—precisely the topics most susceptible to social desirability effects.

None of these observations diminish the profound value of this work. The “reconstruction of life meaning” observed in patients approaching discharge—where fear gives way to hope—is a testament to human resilience that should inspire every nursing intervention. With these refinements, the journey map developed here has genuine potential as a transferable instrument that honors not only the clinical complexity, but the full humanity, of women living through cervical cancer surgery.

Funding

No specific funding was received for this communication.



Disclosure

The author declares no competing interests in this communication.

References

1. Zhang Y, Wu Y, Yang J, et al. A longitudinal qualitative study on the perioperative symptom-demand journey map of patients with cervical cancer. *Int. J. Womens Health*. 2026;Volume 18:1–12. doi:10.2147/IJWH.S603381
2. Guest G, Namey E, Chen M. A simple method to assess and report thematic saturation in qualitative research. *PLoS One*. 2020;15:e0232076. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0232076
3. Bulto LN, Davies E, Kelly J, Hendriks JM. Patient journey mapping: emerging methods for understanding and improving patient experiences of health systems and services. *European Journal of Cardiovascular Nursing*. 2024;23:429–433. doi:10.1093/eurjcn/zvae012
4. Wu H, Ren H, Chu SA. Challenges and strategies of patient journey maps in care transitions: a scoping review. *J. Adv. Nurs*. 2026;82:5615–5627. doi:10.1111/jan.70213

Dove Medical Press encourages responsible, free and frank academic debate. The content of the International Journal of Women's Health 'letters to the editor' section does not necessarily represent the views of Dove Medical Press, its officers, agents, employees, related entities or the International Journal of Women's Health editors. While all reasonable steps have been taken to confirm the content of each letter, Dove Medical Press accepts no liability in respect of the content of any letter, nor is it responsible for the content and accuracy of any letter to the editor.

International Journal of Women's Health

Publish your work in this journal

The International Journal of Women's Health is an international, peer-reviewed open-access journal publishing original research, reports, editorials, reviews and commentaries on all aspects of women's healthcare including gynecology, obstetrics, and breast cancer. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/international-journal-of-womens-health-journal>

Dovepress
Taylor & Francis Group