

Letter to the Editor Regarding: “Association of Prognostic Nutritional Index with Mortality in High-Risk OSA Individuals and with Disease Severity in Clinical OSA Patients” [Letter]

Keyuan Fang , Xiaoxiao Ding

Department of Clinical Pharmacy, Beilun People's Hospital, Ningbo, 315000, People's Republic of China

Correspondence: Xiaoxiao Ding, Department of Clinical Pharmacy, Beilun People's Hospital, Ningbo, Zhejiang, 315000, People's Republic of China, Email dingxiaoxiao126@126.com

Dear editor

We read with interest the study by Li et al investigating the association of prognostic nutritional index (PNI) with mortality in individuals at high risk for obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) and with disease severity in clinically confirmed OSA patients.¹ The authors provide valuable insights into the potential utility of PNI as an integrated marker of nutritional and immune status for risk stratification in OSA populations. While we commend the methodological rigor, we offer several complementary reflections to strengthen the validity and clinical applicability of the findings.

First, regarding participant selection, we believe that individuals with acute infections warrant additional attention. Such conditions can influence both components of PNI. In acute infections, lymphocyte counts may rise transiently due to immune activation, while serum albumin, with a half-life of approximately 20 days, may remain unchanged, leading to a falsely elevated PNI. Conversely, severe or prolonged infections promote immune cell apoptosis and reduce lymphocyte counts, and suppress albumin synthesis as a negative acute-phase protein, resulting in reduced PNI. A narrative review further highlights that serum albumin should not be used as a surrogate for nutritional status as it is heavily influenced by disease factors like inflammation, and is no longer recommended by the American Society for Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition (ASPEN) as a pure nutritional marker.² Thus, we suggest excluding individuals with acute infections or high inflammatory markers to ensure PNI reflects the baseline nutritional-immune state, and continuing to explore robust nutritional biomarkers that better characterize malnutrition phenotypes.

Second, with respect to the statistical analysis of the association between PNI and cardiovascular mortality, we would like to raise the issue of competing risks. During follow-up, 1025 all-cause deaths occurred, while only 287 were cardiovascular deaths. This substantial proportion of non-cardiovascular deaths introduces a competing risk that may lead Cox proportional hazards models to overestimate the cumulative incidence of the cause-specific event, which assumes independent censoring. We recommend applying competing risk models, such as the Fine-Gray model, for more precise risk estimation.

Third, while PNI demonstrated higher AUC values (e.g., 0.74 for 12-month all-cause mortality) compared to its individual components, this level of discrimination is generally considered “acceptable” rather than “superior” for clinical decision-making. To more rigorously evaluate the incremental predictive value of PNI, we suggest that future studies calculate the net reclassification improvement (NRI) and integrated discrimination improvement (IDI), and perform decision curve analysis (DCA). Additionally, reporting optimal cut-off values would help translate PNI into a clinically actionable tool for risk stratification.

In conclusion, we commend Li et al for their insightful work. We hope these methodological considerations will aid in further elucidating the predictive value of PNI and improve personalized care for patients with OSA.

Author Contributions

Keyuan Fang: Conceptualization, Writing - original draft, Writing - review and editing. Xiaoxiao Ding: Conceptualization, Writing - original draft. All authors gave final approval of the version to be published; agreed on the journal to which the communication has been submitted; and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the communication.

Funding

No funding was received.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this communication.

References

1. Li Y, Deng S, Zhai L, et al. Association of Prognostic Nutritional Index With Mortality in High-Risk OSA Individuals and with Disease Severity in Clinical OSA Patients. *Nat Sci Sleep*. 2026;18:595054. doi:10.2147/NSS.S595054
2. Wunderle C, Urbach K, Buchmueller L, et al. Biomarkers for individualized nutritional therapy in disease-related malnutrition: a narrative review. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2025;122(3):671–679. doi:10.1016/j.ajcnut.2025.07.009

Dove Medical Press encourages responsible, free and frank academic debate. The content of the Nature and Science of Sleep 'letters to the editor' section does not necessarily represent the views of Dove Medical Press, its officers, agents, employees, related entities or the Nature and Science of Sleep editors. While all reasonable steps have been taken to confirm the content of each letter, Dove Medical Press accepts no liability in respect of the content of any letter, nor is it responsible for the content and accuracy of any letter to the editor.

Nature and Science of Sleep

Publish your work in this journal

Nature and Science of Sleep is an international, peer-reviewed, open access journal covering all aspects of sleep science and sleep medicine, including the neurophysiology and functions of sleep, the genetics of sleep, sleep and society, biological rhythms, dreaming, sleep disorders and therapy, and strategies to optimize healthy sleep. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/nature-and-science-of-sleep-journal>

Dovepress
Taylor & Francis Group

<https://doi.org/10.2147/NSS.S621279>