

Association Between Pneumonia Risk and Anticholinergic Burden Among Patients with Different Frailty Levels [Response to Letter]

Avery Shuei-He Yang ^{1,2}, Hsin-Yu Fan Chiang¹, Daniel Hsiang-Te Tsai ^{1,2},
Albert Tzu-Ming Chuang^{1,2}, Edward Chia-Cheng Lai ^{1,2}

¹School of Pharmacy, Institute of Clinical Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences, College of Medicine, National Cheng Kung University, Tainan, Taiwan; ²Population Health Data Centre, National Cheng Kung University, Tainan, Taiwan

Correspondence: Edward Chia-Cheng Lai, School of Pharmacy, Institute of Clinical Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences, College of Medicine, Tainan, Taiwan, Tel +886-6-2353535, ext. 6771, Email edward_lai@mail.ncku.edu.tw

Dear editor

We appreciated Yang et al for providing comments regarding our study titled “Association between pneumonia risk and anticholinergic burden among patients with different frailty levels”.¹ We provided explanation and additional analyses based on those comments in the following contents.

Cognitive dysfunction and psychiatric disorders represented important factors in clinical practice. Patients with cognitive dysfunction and psychiatric disorders are at increased risk of pneumonia through multiple mechanisms, including impaired swallowing and airway protection, functional decline, and immune dysregulation.² By employing a case-crossover design within a large population-based database, we mitigated confounding by indication through within-person comparisons.³ Moreover, we limited our observation period to 180 days, during which we assumed that disease status was unlikely to have changed significantly over this period.⁴

To address time-varying confounding due to acute illness, we conducted a sensitivity analysis with additional adjustments for cerebrovascular disease, use of immunosuppressants, steroid use, heart failure, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease within the hazard and referent periods, respectively.^{5,6} After adjustment using a conditional logistic regression model, we observed an increased risk of hospitalized pneumonia. The odds ratios per ACB score were 1.31 (95% CI: 1.28–1.35), 1.18 (1.15–1.21), 1.14 (1.11–1.17), and 1.09 (1.05–1.13) among the fit, mildly frail, moderately frail, and very frail groups, respectively. These results demonstrate the consistency of our findings.

We acknowledge that different anticholinergic burden scales vary in drug coverage and scoring systems. However, prior studies have demonstrated high agreement across different anticholinergic burden scales.⁷ Moreover, scales such as KABS and GABS may include a broader range of medications commonly prescribed in Asian settings, which may better reflect real-world prescribing patterns in Taiwan.⁸ We observed consistent associations across all scales, suggesting that our findings are robust in different scales.

We acknowledge that the duration and dosage of medication can substantially affect the risk of pneumonia. To address this issue, we conducted additional analyses that incorporated the defined daily dose (DDD), as defined by the World Health Organization, to standardize the measurement of anticholinergic burden.⁷ The odds ratios associated with each unit increase in ACB score were 1.56 (1.53–1.58), 1.32 (1.31–1.34), 1.21 (1.20–1.23), and 1.11 (1.09–1.14) among the fit, mildly frail, moderately frail, and very frail groups, respectively. We also excluded prescriptions with durations of fewer than seven days to account for prescription duration, and the results remained consistent with the main findings. The risk of pneumonia per ACB score was 1.23 (1.22–1.23), 1.19 (1.18–1.20), 1.16 (1.15–1.16), and 1.13 (1.12–1.14) among the fit, mildly frail, moderately frail, and very frail groups, respectively. All above analyses suggested the robustness of our findings.



β 2-agonists have been associated with improved lung function and may attenuated the observed association if they were prescribed concomitantly. However, within 180 days observation period, we assumed that the proportion of β 2-agonist use was similar between the hazard and reference periods, which.⁹ Therefore, the potential impact of β 2-agonists was likely minimal in our case-crossover study.

Finally, we appreciate the comment highlighting that heterogeneity in healthcare systems, prescribing patterns, and population genetic backgrounds may modify the observed associations. Similar to studies that use a single country database, we cannot ensure that the results can be generalized to other countries without further examination. This provides an opportunity for future studies conducted through international collaborative research networks to include a broader range of countries and populations to further validate and generalize these findings across diverse healthcare systems, clinical settings, and ethnicities.^{10,11}

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this communication.

References

1. Yang AS, Fan Chiang HY, Tsai DH, Chuang AT, Lai EC. Association between pneumonia risk and anticholinergic burden among patients with different frailty levels. *Clin Epidemiol.* 2025;17:787–796. doi:10.2147/CLEP.S524645
2. Ebihara S, Sekiya H, Miyagi M, Ebihara T, Okazaki T. Dysphagia, dystussia, and aspiration pneumonia in elderly people. *J Thoracic Dis.* 2016;8(3):632–639. doi:10.21037/jtd.2016.02.60
3. Hsieh CY, Shao SC, Sung SF, et al. Taiwan's National Health Insurance Research Database (NHIRD): in the era of artificial intelligence, causal inference, and data security. *Clin Epidemiol.* 2025;17:967–981. doi:10.2147/CLEP.S553894
4. Luykx JJ, Correll CU, Manu P, et al. Pneumonia Risk, antipsychotic dosing, and anticholinergic burden in schizophrenia. *JAMA Psychiatry.* 2024;81(10):967–975. doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2024.1441
5. Jackson ML, Nelson JC, Jackson LA. Risk factors for community-acquired pneumonia in immunocompetent seniors. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2009;57(5):882–888. doi:10.1111/j.1532-5415.2009.02223.x
6. Lin SH, Perng DW, Chen CP, et al. Increased risk of community-acquired pneumonia in COPD patients with comorbid cardiovascular disease. *Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis.* 2016;11:3051–3058. doi:10.2147/COPD.S115137
7. Lozano-Ortega G, Johnston KM, Cheung A, et al. A review of published anticholinergic scales and measures and their applicability in database analyses. *Arch Gerontol Geriatrics.* 2020;87:103885. doi:10.1016/j.archger.2019.05.010
8. Huang WC, Yang AS, Tsai DH, Shao SC, Lin SJ, Lai EC. Association between recently raised anticholinergic burden and risk of acute cardiovascular events: nationwide case-case-time-control study. *BMJ.* 2023;382:e076045. doi:10.1136/bmj-2023-076045
9. Cadarette SM, Maclure M, Delaney JAC, et al. Control yourself: ISPE-endorsed guidance in the application of self-controlled study designs in pharmacoepidemiology. *Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf.* 2021;30(6):671–684. doi:10.1002/pds.5227
10. Lai EC, Man KKC, Chaiyakunapruk N, et al. Brief report: databases in the Asia-Pacific region: the potential for a distributed network approach. *Epidemiology.* 2015;26(6):815–820. doi:10.1097/EDE.0000000000000325
11. Tsai DH, Bell JS, Abtahi S, et al. Cross-regional data initiative for the assessment and development of treatment for neurological and mental disorders. *Clin Epidemiol.* 2023;15:1241–1252. doi:10.2147/CLEP.S426485

Dove Medical Press encourages responsible, free and frank academic debate. The content of the Clinical Epidemiology 'letters to the editor' section does not necessarily represent the views of Dove Medical Press, its officers, agents, employees, related entities or the Clinical Epidemiology editors. While all reasonable steps have been taken to confirm the content of each letter, Dove Medical Press accepts no liability in respect of the content of any letter, nor is it responsible for the content and accuracy of any letter to the editor.

Clinical Epidemiology

Publish your work in this journal

Clinical Epidemiology is an international, peer-reviewed, open access, online journal focusing on disease and drug epidemiology, identification of risk factors and screening procedures to develop optimal preventative initiatives and programs. Specific topics include: diagnosis, prognosis, treatment, screening, prevention, risk factor modification, systematic reviews, risk & safety of medical interventions, epidemiology & biostatistical methods, and evaluation of guidelines, translational medicine, health policies & economic evaluations. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use.

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/clinical-epidemiology-journal>

<https://doi.org/10.2147/CLEP.S609824>