

On Exposure Definition and Temporal Interpretation in the Reported Association Between Number of Pregnancies and Rheumatoid Arthritis [Letter]

Wang Lei^{1,2}, Zihui Li^{1,2}, Liang Zhong^{1,2}, Dongxiang Zheng^{1,2}

¹The Tenth Clinical Medical College of Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine, Zhongshan, Guangdong, People's Republic of China; ²Zhongshan Hospital of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Zhongshan, People's Republic of China

Correspondence: Dongxiang Zheng, Email qwel6575@126.com

Dear editor

We read with interest the cross-sectional NHANES analysis by Sheng et al, who reported that a higher number of pregnancies were associated with higher odds of self-reported rheumatoid arthritis (RA) among 2383 women, including an adjusted odds ratio of 1.139 per additional pregnancy and a sharper increase beyond three pregnancies.¹ Their effort to address an important question at the interface of reproductive history and autoimmune disease is commendable. We nevertheless believe that several aspects of exposure definition and clinical interpretation would benefit from further clarification before the findings are used as a basis for a threshold-like or screening interpretation. This is particularly relevant because clinicians may intuitively read “number of pregnancies” as a proxy for parity or repeated postpartum exposure, which is not necessarily what the current variable captures.

Our first concern is that the study evaluates lifetime number of pregnancies, but much of the discussion interprets the results through mechanisms that are more closely linked to live birth or postpartum physiology. The authors appropriately acknowledge that pregnancy outcomes such as miscarriage and induced abortion were unavailable.¹ This matters because a broad pregnancy count is a heterogeneous construct, and the broader literature has not shown a consistent association between gravidity or parity and incident RA overall. In a systematic review and meta-analysis, Chen et al found no consistent evidence that either gravidity or parity was associated with RA development.² More recently, a large prospective cohort reported no association for ever pregnancy, although women with four or more children had a modestly higher RA risk after extensive adjustment, suggesting that different reproductive phenotypes should probably not be assumed to be interchangeable.³ For this reason, the reported “more than three pregnancies” threshold may be better framed as a hypothesis-generating pattern within a broad pregnancy-history measure rather than a definitive clinical or biological cut-point.

Second, the outcome was self-reported RA subtype rather than validated incident RA. This is not a trivial distinction. Validation studies in population cohorts have shown that self-reported RA alone has limited positive predictive value, and that accuracy improves substantially when medication data or medical-record confirmation are incorporated.^{4,5} In this context, some misclassification between RA and other arthritides is plausible. Because the analysis included 143 RA cases, even modest misclassification may have influenced the magnitude of the observed odds ratios and the apparent dose-response pattern. We therefore wonder whether the discussion should more explicitly acknowledge that the study concerns self-reported prevalent RA rather than clinically adjudicated RA, and that this uncertainty may affect both the estimated effect size and the proposed threshold-like interpretation.

Third, the cross-sectional use of lifetime pregnancy count and lifetime RA history makes temporal interpretation more difficult. The timing of pregnancies relative to RA diagnosis was not reported in a way that resolves sequence.¹ Yet the literature suggests that reproductive timing matters: in the Swedish EIRA study, parity-related associations differed by age and ACPA status, with increased risk concentrated in younger women with ACPA-negative RA.⁶ Likewise, incident RA has been reported to peak during the first 24 months postpartum.⁷ These observations do not refute the authors'

findings, but they do suggest that a lifetime pregnancy count may obscure time-sensitive patterns that could be relevant to RA onset. We therefore respectfully suggest softening causal language such as “independent risk factor” and emphasizing that the present analysis identifies an association between self-reported pregnancy history and self-reported RA within a cross-sectional survey.

These points do not diminish the value of the study. Rather, they may help align the conclusion more closely with what the dataset can support. Reframing the exposure as a broad pregnancy-history measure, tempering the “more than three pregnancies” threshold language, and foregrounding the uncertainty inherent in self-reported RA could make the message more robust and clinically useful. Prospective studies with adjudicated RA, clearer separation of pregnancy outcomes, and time-resolved reproductive histories will be especially important for determining whether the observed association reflects gravidity itself, specific pregnancy outcomes, postpartum timing, or residual confounding. Even a modest reframing along these lines may preserve the paper’s clinical relevance while improving the precision of its message.

Artificial Intelligence (AI) Use Disclosure

Generative AI tools were used solely for language refinement and formatting assistance. All scientific interpretation, critique, and scholarly content were independently developed by the authors.

Funding

The authors received no specific funding for this communication.

Disclosure

The authors declare that they have no competing interests in this communication.

References

1. Sheng W, Hu Z, Ma Z, Feng Q, Chen N. The number of pregnancies is associated with an increased risk of rheumatoid arthritis: evidence from NHANES 2015–2018. *Int J Womens Health*. 2026;18:575309. doi:10.2147/IJWH.S575309
2. Chen WMY, Subesinghe S, Muller S, Hider SL, Mallen CD, Scott IC. The association between gravidity, parity and the risk of developing rheumatoid arthritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Semin Arthritis Rheum*. 2020;50(2):252–260. PMID:31530401. doi:10.1016/j.semarthrit.2019.09.003
3. Jiang LQ, Zhang RD, Musonye HA, et al. Hormonal and reproductive factors in relation to the risk of rheumatoid arthritis in women: a prospective cohort study with 223 526 participants. *RMD Open*. 2024;10(1):e003338. PMID:38195516. doi:10.1136/rmdopen-2023-003338
4. Booth MJ, Clauw D, Janevic MR, Kobayashi LC, Piette JD. Validation of self-reported rheumatoid arthritis using medicare claims: a nationally representative longitudinal study of older adults. *ACR Open Rheumatol*. 2021;3(4):239–249. PMID:33621434. doi:10.1002/acr2.11229
5. Formica MK, McAlindon TE, Lash TL, Demissie S, Rosenberg L. Validity of self-reported rheumatoid arthritis in a large cohort: results from the black women’s health study. *Arthritis Care Res*. 2010;62(2):235–241. PMID:20191523. doi:10.1002/acr.20073
6. Orellana C, Wedrén S, Källberg H, et al. Parity and the risk of developing rheumatoid arthritis: results from the Swedish epidemiological investigation of rheumatoid arthritis study. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2014;73(4):752–755. PMID:23887288. doi:10.1136/annrheumdis-2013-203567
7. Wallenius M, Skomsvoll JF, Irgens LM, et al. Postpartum onset of rheumatoid arthritis and other chronic arthritides: results from a patient register linked to a medical birth registry. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2010;69(2):332–336. PMID:19717397. doi:10.1136/ard.2009.115964

Dove Medical Press encourages responsible, free and frank academic debate. The content of the International Journal of Women’s Health ‘letters to the editor’ section does not necessarily represent the views of Dove Medical Press, its officers, agents, employees, related entities or the International Journal of Women’s Health editors. While all reasonable steps have been taken to confirm the content of each letter, Dove Medical Press accepts no liability in respect of the content of any letter, nor is it responsible for the content and accuracy of any letter to the editor.

International Journal of Women’s Health

Publish your work in this journal

The International Journal of Women’s Health is an international, peer-reviewed open-access journal publishing original research, reports, editorials, reviews and commentaries on all aspects of women’s healthcare including gynecology, obstetrics, and breast cancer. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/international-journal-of-womens-health-journal>

<https://doi.org/10.2147/IJWH.S612970>