



# Comment on: Comparative Evaluation of Responsiveness of Insulin Counter-Regulatory Hormones and Insulin Resistance to Hypoglycemia in Newly Diagnosed Type I Diabetes Mellitus Man [Letter]

Lu Xing Xu , Yong Gang Duan , Xue Lin Wang

Department of Ophthalmology, Shangrao Central Hospital, Shangrao, Jiangxi, People's Republic of China

Correspondence: Xue Lin Wang, Department of Ophthalmology, Shangrao Central Hospital, No. 101 Fenghuang East Avenue, Shangrao, Jiangxi, 334000, People's Republic of China, Email 2609319158@qq.com

## Dear editor

We read with interest the article by Zhou et al concerning counter-regulatory hormone responses in newly diagnosed men with type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM) using a hyperinsulinemic–hypoglycemic clamp.<sup>1</sup> While clinically relevant, several methodological issues weaken the causal interpretation of their results.

First, the authors treat the glucose infusion rate during hypoglycemia (M value) as a direct index of insulin sensitivity, correlating it with hormonal changes.<sup>1</sup> However, the accepted reference for quantifying insulin resistance is the hyperinsulinemic–euglycemic clamp performed under stable normoglycemia.<sup>2,3</sup> During a hypoglycemic clamp, counter-regulatory hormones activate to reduce glucose uptake; thus, their effects are inherently embedded in the observed M value.<sup>1</sup> Interpreting negative correlations between M and hormone responses as evidence that higher hormone levels cause insulin resistance risks circular reasoning, as both arise from the same induced hypoglycemic stress. These data represent associations, not proof of causality.<sup>2,3</sup>

Second, the statistical analysis complicates interpretation. Numerous comparisons are presented without correction for multiple testing, increasing the risk of spurious significance in a small cohort.<sup>1</sup> Furthermore, the multivariable regression is summarized without providing full outputs (coefficients, confidence intervals), preventing readers from judging model robustness.<sup>2</sup> Omission of these details is problematic, especially when used to downplay univariate associations.<sup>1</sup>

Finally, hormonal profiling and confounding assessment are incomplete. Sparse sampling at only 0 and 30 minutes may miss true peaks.<sup>1</sup> Catecholamines, central to hypoglycemic counter-regulation, were not assessed, providing only a partial view of the counter-regulatory axis.<sup>4,5</sup> Furthermore, limited information on potential confounders like prior hypoglycemia history restricts generalizability in this small cohort.<sup>1,4</sup>

In summary, due to using a hypoglycemic M value as a stand-alone index of insulin resistance and the methodological limitations noted, the causal implications of their findings remain uncertain.<sup>1</sup> Clarifying these roles requires separate euglycemic and hypoglycemic clamps, rigorous statistical reporting, broader hormonal panels with finer sampling, and thorough addressing of confounding.

## Data Sharing Statement

No new data were generated or analyzed in this communication.

## Author Contributions

Lu Xing Xu and Yong Gang Duan: Conceptualization; Writing – original draft. Xue Lin Wang: Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing – review & editing.

All authors gave final approval of the version to be published; have agreed on the journal to which the article has been submitted; and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

## Funding

The authors declare that no funding was received for this article.

## Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this communication.

## References

1. Zhou Y, Zhou J, Ma J. et al. Comparative evaluation of responsiveness of insulin counter-regulatory hormones and insulin resistance to hypoglycemia in newly diagnosed type 1 diabetes mellitus man. *Diabetes Metab Syndr Obes.* 2025;18:4309–4317. doi:10.2147/DMSO.S554306
2. Gastaldelli A. Measuring and estimating insulin resistance in clinical and research settings. *Obes Rev.* 2022;23(1):e13378. doi:10.1111/obr.13378
3. Otten J, Ahren B, Olsson T. Surrogate measures of insulin sensitivity vs the hyperinsulinaemic–euglycaemic clamp: a meta-analysis. *Diabetologia.* 2014;57(9):1781–1788. doi:10.1007/s00125-014-3285-x
4. Sanchez-Rangel E, Deajon-Jackson J, Hwang JJ. Pathophysiology and management of hypoglycemia in diabetes. *Ann N Y Acad Sci.* 2022;1518(1):25–46. doi:10.1111/nyas.14904
5. Sankar A, van Dijk JG, Guttman-Bauman I, et al. Experimental models of impaired hypoglycaemia awareness and counterregulation: a narrative review. *Clin Exp Pharmacol Physiol.* 2020;47(7):1109–1118.

Dove Medical Press encourages responsible, free and frank academic debate. The content of the Diabetes, Metabolic Syndrome and Obesity 'letters to the editor' section does not necessarily represent the views of Dove Medical Press, its officers, agents, employees, related entities or the Diabetes, Metabolic Syndrome and Obesity editors. While all reasonable steps have been taken to confirm the content of each letter, Dove Medical Press accepts no liability in respect of the content of any letter, nor is it responsible for the content and accuracy of any letter to the editor.

**Diabetes, Metabolic Syndrome and Obesity**

**Publish your work in this journal**

Diabetes, Metabolic Syndrome and Obesity is an international, peer-reviewed open-access journal committed to the rapid publication of the latest laboratory and clinical findings in the fields of diabetes, metabolic syndrome and obesity research. Original research, review, case reports, hypothesis formation, expert opinion and commentaries are all considered for publication. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/diabetes-metabolic-syndrome-and-obesity-journal>

**Dovepress**  
Taylor & Francis Group