

Walking Our Way Toward COPD Prevention: From Correlation to Action

Vanesa Bellou¹, Nikos Papadimitriou², Evangelia Ntzani^{2,3}

¹Department of Emergency Medicine, General Hospital of Arta, Arta, Greece; ²Department of Hygiene and Epidemiology, University of Ioannina Medical School, Mpizani, Greece; ³Center for Evidence Synthesis in Health, Department of Health Services, Policy and Practice, School of Public Health, Brown University, Providence, RI, USA

Correspondence: Vanesa Bellou, Email mdnessa@gmail.com

The relationship between physical inactivity and adverse outcomes in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is well-established. Lower activity levels consistently correlate with increased hospitalizations, more frequent exacerbations, and higher mortality rates.^{1,2} Clinical guidelines recommend physical activity as a non-pharmacological intervention for patients at all stages of COPD.^{3,4} Yet a fundamental question persists: does the association between physical activity and COPD precede clinical disease manifestation? In this issue of the International Journal of COPD, Yuan et al provide a cross-sectional analysis examining the relationship between objectively measured walking patterns and COPD prevalence in the general population.⁵

Key Findings: Every Step Counts, But Pace Matters Too

Using a nationally representative sample from the US National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES), the authors analyzed accelerometer data from over 3600 adults. Their approach in the exposure assessment validates the “every step counts” principle while adding an important refinement: intensity matters as much as volume when physical activity is under study. The study demonstrates a strong, inverse relationship between daily step count and self-reported COPD prevalence. Participants achieving 8000 or more steps daily had 63% lower odds of having COPD compared to those taking fewer than 4000 steps.^{5,6}

However, an important element of this research compared to the large volume of the available evidence between PA and COPD is its insightful analysis of step frequency, or cadence, as a proxy for walking intensity. The authors found that higher cadence—measured through bout cadence and peak 30-minute cadence—was independently related with lower COPD prevalence. Moreover, a most interesting finding emerged from their joint analysis: the relationship of higher daily step counts was most pronounced among individuals who also maintained higher walking cadence. Conversely, among participants with the lowest walking intensity, simply increasing daily step count conferred no statistically significant correlation with COPD prevalence.⁵

Methodological Strengths and Limitations

The study’s strengths include its large, representative sample, objective accelerometer measurements, and detailed analysis that provides more insightful findings. The authors appropriately employed restricted cubic splines to model nonlinear relationships and conducted sensitivity analyses to assess effect variation.

However, the fundamental limitation remains the cross-sectional design, creating the classic causality dilemma.⁷ Does lifelong inactivity contribute to COPD development, or do early, subclinical symptoms—such as unrecognized dyspnea—lead to reduced activity levels? This possibility of reverse causality, combined with reliance on self-reported COPD diagnoses rather than spirometry-based confirmation and the lack of further information on the COPD phenotype (including severity), means these results represent a strong signal of association rather than evidence of causation.^{7–9}

Clinical and Research Implications

Despite these limitations, Yuan et al's work offers practical value. For clinicians, the study provides simple, measurable metrics for discussing physical activity with at-risk patients and for including pertinent physical activity attributes. While we cannot claim that walking prevents COPD, identifying patients with both low step counts and low walking intensity creates a valuable "patient profile" that can prompt conversations about fitness improvement and early respiratory monitoring. The research effectively translates complex accelerometer data into accessible goals trackable with widely available consumer devices.

For the research community, this study serves as inspiration for future endeavors exploring this correlation using prospective longitudinal studies.¹⁰ Future investigations should follow large cohorts over extended periods, capturing objective physical activity data at baseline and using spirometry to track new-onset COPD incidence as well as disease characteristics. Mendelian randomization studies could also be research endeavors helpful to explore the potential causality of this association, made possible by the accruing genetic data in large biobanks and the increasing availability of robust genetic instrument for multiple phenotypes.¹¹

Public Health Perspective: A Prescription for Prevention

From a population health standpoint, these findings support step-based physical activity guidelines as a practical prevention strategy. The accessibility of step counting through smartphones and wearable devices makes this approach scalable for public health interventions. The emphasis on both volume and intensity provides a more nuanced framework than simple step count targets alone.

However, the cross-sectional nature of the data demands cautious interpretation. Future public health recommendations should await confirmation from prospective studies while acknowledging that the current evidence strongly supports general physical activity promotion for respiratory health.

Conclusion: Miles to Go Before We Sleep

Yuan et al have provided a detailed snapshot of the strong association between walking patterns—both volume and intensity—and COPD prevalence. While the goal of proving causation remains ahead, this research provides a clearer roadmap for the journey. The study reminds us that in pursuing respiratory health, every step and its pace truly matter.

The path from association to prevention requires rigorous prospective studies, but the current evidence strongly suggests that encouraging patients to walk more and walk faster represents a low-risk, high-potential intervention worthy of clinical attention. As we await definitive causal evidence, promoting comprehensive walking programs that emphasize both volume and intensity appears to be a prudent strategy for respiratory health preservation.¹⁰

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- Garcia-Aymerich J, Lange P, Benet M, Schnohr P, Anto JM. Regular physical activity reduces hospital admission and mortality in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a population based cohort study. *Thorax*. 2006;61:772–778. doi:10.1136/thx.2006.060145
- Xiang X, Huang L, Fang Y, Cai S, Zhang M. Physical activity and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a scoping review. *BMC Pulm Med*. 2022;22:301. doi:10.1186/s12890-022-02099-4
- Venkatesan P. GOLD COPD report: 2024 update. *Lancet Respir Med*. 2024;12:15–16. doi:10.1016/S2213-2600(23)00461-7
- Watz H, Pitta F, Rochester CL, et al. An official European Respiratory Society statement on physical activity in COPD. *Eur Respir J*. 2014;44:1521–1537. doi:10.1183/09031936.00046814
- Yuan L, Tian Z, Jia X, Chen Z. The association between daily step count, step frequency and the risk of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a cross-sectional study using NHANES data. *Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis*. 2025;20:2325–2335. doi:10.2147/COPD.S523574
- Leroux A, Cui E, Smirnova E, Muschelli J, Schrack JA, Crainiceanu CM. NHANES 2011–2014: objective physical activity is the strongest predictor of all-cause mortality. *Med Sci Sport Exercise*. 2024;56:1926–1934. doi:10.1249/MSS.0000000000003497
- Savitz DA, Wellenius GA. Can cross-sectional studies contribute to causal inference? It depends. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2023;192:514–516. doi:10.1093/aje/kwac037
- Bédard A, Carsin A-E, Fuertes E, et al. Physical activity and lung function—cause or consequence? *PLoS One*. 2020;15:e0237769. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0237769

9. Lee DH, Rezende LFM, Ferrari G, et al. Physical activity and all-cause and cause-specific mortality: assessing the impact of reverse causation and measurement error in two large prospective cohorts. *Eur J Epidemiol.* 2021;36:275–285. doi:10.1007/s10654-020-00707-3
10. Sattar N, Preiss D. Reverse causality in cardiovascular epidemiological research. *Circulation.* 2017;135:2369–2372. doi:10.1161/CIRCULATION.AHA.117.028307
11. Sanderson E, Glymour MM, Holmes MV, et al. Mendelian randomization. *Nat Rev Methods Prim.* 2022;2:6. doi:10.1038/s43586-021-00092-5

International Journal of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease

Dovepress
Taylor & Francis Group

Publish your work in this journal

The International Journal of COPD is an international, peer-reviewed journal of therapeutics and pharmacology focusing on concise rapid reporting of clinical studies and reviews in COPD. Special focus is given to the pathophysiological processes underlying the disease, intervention programs, patient focused education, and self management protocols. This journal is indexed on PubMed Central, MedLine and CAS. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/international-journal-of-chronic-obstructive-pulmonary-disease-journal>