

Medical Policy Reform in the Digital Age: Responding to Health Crises Shaped by Internet Public Opinion

Rui Zhang, Luyao Liu, Guohua Wang

College of Public Administration, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, Hubei, People's Republic of China

Correspondence: Guohua Wang, Email mpawin@hust.edu.cn

Abstract: The evolution of digital media over recent decades has fundamentally reshaped how health crises are communicated and managed, significantly influencing medical policy reforms. The increasing prominence of social media platforms has created new opportunities and challenges in health crisis management. This review examines how online discourse surrounding health crises, including both accurate information and misinformation, has shaped public health policy by influencing public trust and complicating policy implementation. Through an analysis of case studies and crisis communication literature, this review identifies the key factors driving policy changes in response to digital public opinion. The role of misinformation, viral content, and digital activism in driving or hindering health policy reforms is discussed, with a focus on how governments and health agencies have adapted their communication strategies to maintain public trust and ensure effective policy implementation. Notable examples include South Korea's digital health initiatives during the COVID-19 pandemic and the United States responses to vaccine eligibility confusion, which illustrate the dynamic relationship between digital mobilisation and policy shifts. The review advocates for the integration of digital engagement strategies into health policy development, emphasising transparency, real-time feedback, and active stakeholder participation. Best practices in digital crisis management, such as social media monitoring, data-informed decision-making, and transparent communication, are highlighted. As digital media continues to shape public opinion, agile, transparent, and responsive communication has become critical. Policymakers must now consider digital engagement not only as a tool for crisis management but as an essential component of the policymaking process.

Keywords: digital media, public health policy, crisis communication, misinformation, social media influence

Introduction

The intersection of public health policy and digital media has become increasingly important in shaping societal responses to health threats.¹ Over recent decades, medical policy changes, especially in the pandemics, reform of the health care system, or drug regulatory updates, have been exposed to never-before-seen public scrutiny through online posts.² Today, a significant portion of the adult population relies on the internet as a primary source of health information, with social media platforms like X (formerly Twitter), Facebook, TikTok and Sina Weibo driving real-time public discourse.³ Online responses have nearly as much of an impact on policy outcomes during significant health emergencies as expert advisory panels do.⁴ Within days of the peak online activity, policy changes are frequently predicted by public sentiment as expressed through digital media.⁵ Research indicates that online responses can exert nearly as much influence on policy outcomes as expert advisory panels, with public sentiment expressed through digital media often predicting policy changes within days of peak online activity. However, the rapid dissemination of false or misleading information complicates crisis communication, as misinformation frequently spreads more quickly than verified facts. There are advantages and disadvantages implicit in this scenario. On the one hand, it can enable public health officials to actively counter misinformation, monitor public opinion in real time, and include the public in policy discussions.⁶ On the other hand, combating viral misinformation, alleviating public fears, and protecting the credibility of medical

authorities requires sophisticated digital crisis management strategies that extend beyond conventional corrective measures.⁷ In this context, managing the flow of information has become as crucial as controlling biological threats themselves. Consequently, governments and healthcare institutions must adopt communication approaches that go beyond traditional frameworks. What that requires is a combination of rapid-response information campaigns, digital public engagement, transparent policy-making, and real-time sentiment analysis, all rolled up in agile, multi-channel strategies.⁸ For instance, combining active digital communication with open data policies can foster public trust and collaboration, enhancing societal resilience in times of health emergencies.⁹ The greatest challenge from engaging with the public and listening to the public in a digital age is that the power of digital media is now impacting public health - An analysis of how medical policy reforms evolve after an internet-driven crisis, a review of successful digital crisis management models, and recommendations for an integrative framework.

Medical Policy Reform as a Strategic Tool in the Digital Age

The internet has given medical policy reform, which has always aimed to improve health outcomes and address system inefficiencies, a new focus.¹⁰ Reform is now a communicative act that is closely tied to public trust and digital engagement, not just an administrative one in an environment where public discourse is increasingly online. Reform initiatives can build institutional legitimacy if they use open and inclusive communication tactics.¹¹ For example, recent OECD health policy reviews indicate that countries engaging in proactive and transparent reforms experience measurable increases in public trust during medical emergencies, though effect sizes may vary across contexts (OECD, 2023).¹² Similarly, WHO data suggest that stakeholder consultation mechanisms—such as open hearings and public surveys—can mitigate public backlash, but the magnitude of this effect may differ depending on local engagement practices (WHO, 2022).¹³ Importantly, the COVID-19 pandemic has highlighted how online discourse shapes public perception and trust, influencing policy implementation: studies on pandemic awareness, virus-related anxiety, and contagious fear show that social media and digital communication channels can both support and complicate public health messaging. In terms of digital implementation, countries like South Korea with its Health IT Initiatives, and the UK through NHS Digital Transformation, illustrate that integrating reforms with well-planned digital campaigns can improve public approval, with reported increases of up to 40% in certain evaluations. These initiatives not only share knowledge but also show the institution's responsiveness and openness.^{14,15} See the table below for comparative data from several countries that have done digitally integrated reforms and the results on policy adherence, public trust, and health literacy (Table 1).^{16–21} Transparency and technology integration are no longer optional in healthcare governance.

Table 1 Outcomes of Digital Health Policy Reforms Across Selected Countries, Showing Changes in Public Trust, Policy Adherence, and Health Literacy

S. No.	Country	Reform Initiative	Digital Strategy Used	Trust Increase (%)	Policy Adherence (%)	Health Literacy Improvement (%)
1	UK ¹⁵	NHS Digital Transformation.	Online patient portals, social media, and AI chatbots.	38%	65%	42%
2	South Korea ¹⁶	Health IT & Smart Health Cities.	Mobile health apps, real-time dashboards.	40%	72%	47%
3	Canada ¹⁸	Virtual Care Acceleration.	E-health platforms, public webinar.	26%	60%	36%
4	Estonia ¹⁹	National eHealth Strategy.	Blockchain-based health records, e-ID.	33%	68%	39%
5	Australia ²⁰	My Health Record Reforms.	Digital consent systems, multilingual portals.	29%	58%	34%
6	China ²¹	Healthy China 2030 + Smart Hospital Drive.	AI diagnostics, national health apps, and cloud records.	35%	70%	34%

Internet Crisis Dynamics and the Power of Public Opinion

In today's digital world, public opinion is as much king of future public health policies as is legislative intent.¹⁵ Because of a poorly explained reform, especially one that hits a couple of sensitive things, fairness, trust, and government overreach, you can get an internet crisis very quickly.²² Within hours, a change that politicians and officials might regard as a bureaucratic adjustment can be turned online into a symbol for exclusion or inequality, thanks to emotive storytelling and trending hashtags. This phenomenon demonstrates the incredible ability of digital virality to turn technical choices into contentious moral issues.²³ According to sentiment analyses of crisis events from 2015 to 2024, the early phases of discourse are dominated by negativity, with powerful accounts strengthening opposition and accelerating polarisation (Table 2).²⁴ In this environment, it is no longer enough for policy architects to focus solely on practical outcomes; they must also anticipate and strategically manage the narrative arcs their reforms are likely to ignite.

Case Study Analysis: How Online Discourse Reshapes Medical Policy Outcomes

Several Online mobilisations of public opinion can significantly change the direction of health policy, as demonstrated by several high-impact examples.²⁵ Over a million digital petition signatures were collected in just a few weeks in response to a proposed expansion of health insurance in South Korea (2018) to cover traditional medicine.²⁶ The government's quick action in setting up a transparency portal and having an engaging Q&A session managed to calm down public anger and keep the momentum for reform.²⁷ The Centres for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) released multilingual FAQs, virtual town halls, and quick policy clarifications to regain trust after the confusion over COVID-19 vaccine eligibility changes in the US (2021) sparked massive outrage on Twitter.²⁸ Like this, erratic oxygen distribution during India's devastating Delta variant surge in 2021 sparked viral campaigns calling for immediate accountability.²⁹ Digital activism can spur operational reforms in times of crisis, as evidenced by the pressure exerted by citizen videos and hashtags on authorities to implement public oxygen supply dashboards. Beyond these cases, countries like Nigeria, Canada, Brazil, and France have also had digitally driven health policy crises where online mobilisation called for and often succeeded in getting increased responsiveness, transparency, and inclusivity (Table 3). Early involvement, transparent communication, and digital agility were key in each instance, not only to defuse the crisis but also to reshape public and policymakers' long-term trust.

Comparative Frameworks: Global Lessons in Integrating Digital Media and Health Policy Reform

Countries that have successfully combined digital media with health policy reform have some valuable lessons in building trust, managing crises, and improving public health outcomes.³⁰

Table 2 Characteristics of Internet Crises Related to Medical Policy (2015–2024)

S. No.	Feature	Prevalence (%)	Notable Example	Impact on Policy Response
1	Poor Communication / Misinterpretation	17%	Misunderstood telemedicine guidelines (2017).	Prompted urgent government clarification and outreach.
2	Influencer Amplification of Dissent	68%	Celebrity tweets on mental health law (2019).	Accelerated backlash and forced public hearings.
3	Perceived Lack of Transparency	39%	2020 COVID-19 lockdown policies.	Triggered mass online petitions and public protests.
4	Perception of Injustice or Inequity	28%	Differential vaccine access policies (2021).	Led to the revision of rollout criteria in multiple regions.
5	Online Mobilization Leading to Action	41%	Hashtag campaigns influencing policy delays (2022).	Temporarily halted or amended controversial legislation.
6	Temporarily halted or amended controversial legislation	14%	Deepfake misinformation on hospital closures (2023).	Required emergency fact-checking and digital counter-campaigns.
7	Algorithmic Bias in Information Surfacing	9%	Health insurance reform debates (2024).	Led to calls for platform regulation and greater algorithm transparency.

Table 3 Global Cases of Internet-Driven Influence on Health Policy (2015–2024)

S. No.	Country	Year	Trigger Event	Digital Mobilization Outcome	Policy/Operational Response
1	Italy	2017	Mandatory vaccination law.	Facebook groups coordinated protests and misinformation.	Public education campaigns and modified communication strategies.
2	South Korea	2018	Health insurance expansion for traditional medicine.	1 M+ petition signatures, viral protests.	Government transparency portal and live Q&A forums.
3	United Kingdom	2018	NHS data-sharing agreements with tech firms.	Data privacy groups are mobilized online.	Revised contracts to enhance patient consent protocols.
4	France	2019	Hospital funding cuts protest.	Viral Facebook movements and online petitions.	Emergency budget increases for public hospitals.
5	Japan	2020	Mental health support post-COVID.	Online forums criticized limited access.	Expansion of telepsychiatry services funded by the government.
6	Brazil	2020	COVID-19 vaccine misinformation.	WhatsApp misinformation campaigns exposed.	National fact-checking task force created.
7	Australia	2021	My Health Record privacy concerns.	Reddit and Twitter discussions about data breaches.	Strengthened opt-out mechanisms and data security assurances.
8	United States	2021	COVID-19 vaccine eligibility confusion.	Viral debates on Twitter, accusations of inequality.	Multilingual FAQs, virtual town halls, and policy clarifications.
9	India	2021	Oxygen shortages during the Delta surge.	Viral hashtags, citizen journalism videos.	Real-time oxygen tracking dashboards launched.
10	South Africa	2022	Vaccine mandate protests.	Online organization of nationwide protests.	Scaling back of certain mandate policies.
11	Canada	2022	Roll out of mental health e-services.	Patient advocacy groups mobilized via Reddit.	Adjustments to e-therapy access policies.
12	Nigeria	2023	Maternal healthcare reform controversy.	Nationwide social media mobilization around maternal health issues.	Policy amendment to include more rural clinics.
13	Philippines	2023	Dengue vaccine (Dengvaxia) controversy revival.	Renewed social media debates over vaccine safety.	Reopened public investigations and safety reassessments.
14	Germany	2024	Digital vaccine passports (privacy concerns).	Privacy advocacy is trending on Twitter and forums.	New privacy protocols and opt-out options have been introduced.
15	Australia	2024	Digital vaccine passports: privacy concerns.	Privacy advocacy is trending on Reddit and forums.	New privacy protocols and improved patient data protection.
16	China	2018	The quality issues of vaccines produced by Chang Sheng Bio-tech Co	The public questioned the safety of vaccines on the online platform.	Legislate to strengthen the implementation of vaccine supervision.

China's Model of Integrating Public Demands Through Digital Means and Legislative Responses

Several online mobilizations, China's response to sudden medical public opinion crises brought about by digital technology is swift and robust. This approach has alleviated the public's anxiety about medical crises and averted trust crises. China classifies medical public opinion into multiple categories, enhancing the ability of government agencies to respond to corresponding public opinion according to the division of responsibilities, and adopts a dominant response mode in emergencies. The dominant response includes: verifying and investigating relevant incidents, punishing existing illegal and irregular issues, and making improvements to policy omissions, etc. This is conducive to clarifying the facts, solving problems, and establishing long-term supervision. More importantly, it attaches great importance to and responds to the public's emotions and demands, and incorporates public opinions into the direction of policy formulation, achieving the scientific and democratic nature of policy reforms.

South Korea's Transparency and Technology-Driven Model

South Korea's response to COVID-19 is often cited as a global model for digital transparency and innovation.³¹ Since the pandemics began, the South Korean government and health authorities have sent location-based emergency SMS notifications, live dashboards showing detailed case info to the public, and daily, super transparent press briefings. They have also rolled out mass testing and digital contact tracing, using credit card records, CCTV footage, and mobile data to track virus transmission chains.³² Privacy issues were important, but the government and media disseminated the extent and use of data collection, which helped preserve high public trust. What's more, cooperation with market tech companies meant the rapid development of real-time apps to help citizens source information on areas affected, hospital capacities, and nearby testing centres.³³ The need for prolonged lockdowns was kept in check, and the public's compliance has remained strong throughout the crisis.

New Zealand's Unified Messaging and Community-Centred Approach

New Zealand's "Unite Against COVID-19" campaign is based on a remarkable example of emotionally literate public communication.³⁴ Prime Minister Jacinda Ardern and campaign leaders engaged directly from the top, delivering a centrally coordinated message consistently conveyed across all government ministries and media platforms, including social media, television, radio, and newspapers.³⁵ Such coordinated messaging not only provided unified information channels, but it also determined the tone in which the campaigns were expressed as well as the actual content of what was communicated.³⁴ Messaging frequently emphasises compassion, shared responsibility, and national unity, articulated using plain language that emphasises empathy. Frequent informal leaders' online briefings made participants feel connected to their leaders and facilitated some transparency between them and the public.³⁶ The campaign's communicators made clear efforts to acknowledge and develop strategies for making engagement with indigenous Māori, Pasifika, and non-English speaking communities culturally sensitive.³⁷ By ensuring that every community felt as though they had been listened to, seen and heard, New Zealand was able to minimise the spread of misinformation, achieve some of the world's highest compliance rates, maintain a high level of public trust during lockdown, and utilise an extraordinary emotional engagement strategy that involved community building.³⁷

US Opioid Response: Localised Digital Engagement for Harm Reduction

Contrary to national trends, some US cities showed that community-based, localized digital engagement initiatives were able to help mitigate the opioid crisis, specifically Philadelphia, Seattle, and Baltimore utilized tools such as social media, mobile applications, and peer-led digital outreach projects to promote harm reduction strategies through a digital space where they were able to communicate about naloxone (Narcan) availability and safe usage and access to treatment all with a non-stigmatizing messaging and communication approaches.³⁸ They communicated about medication-assisted treatment and also incorporated innovations such as overdose alert apps, 24/7 crisis text lines, and services such as "Never Use Alone" that collectively provided a digital safety net for individuals at risk of substance use disorder.³⁹ These digital engagement strategies were resourced through trusted community-based organisations that

had established trusting relationships with historically underserved populations and used culturally competent messaging.⁴⁰ These city-based examples illustrate a main message: Real-time, respectful, digital health interventions must be situated in the social fabric of the community to engage the public in a meaningful way that leads to sustainable changes in behaviour.

Best Practices for Digital-Responsive Medical Reform: Lessons from Empirical Data and Global Case Studies

In an ever more digitised public sphere, effective medical reform depends on the ability to react swiftly, truthfully, and smartly to digital dynamics.⁴¹ Some strategic “best practices” have emerged based on some empirical evidence and experiences from abroad:

Transparency and Timeliness

Communication that is accurate and timely is essential. A meta-analysis from Johns Hopkins concluded that, when official crisis communications are released in the first 24 hours, they can reduce the dissemination of misinformation by up to 45%.⁴² Prompt engagement with the public increases public trust and inhibits the spread of unsubstantiated claims.⁴³ These findings point to the importance of healthcare systems being prepared with digital communication plans and protocols.

Stakeholder Integration

Change is more legitimate and sustainable when reforms are an active engagement with stakeholders like patient advocacy organisations, healthcare providers, professional organisations, and civil society organisations.⁴⁴ Besides improving the quality of reform designs, inclusive consultation processes bring together significant coalitions that can defend reforms from the ever-increasing level of politically driven misinformation online.⁴⁵

AI-Driven Social Listening

Daily or hourly monitoring of digital conversations has become emergent; algorithms have advanced to the point where machine learning is now moving to emphasise the ability to appear aware of developing stories and increasing tensions before they evolve into more serious situations.⁴⁶ An example of using AI tools to direct real-time policy shifts and mitigate misinformation is the European Union’s Health Data Space project.⁴⁷ Investing in these types of infrastructure allows for more responsive and nimble institutions.

Platform-Specific Framing

Standardisation is not going to work in a fragmented digital ecosystem in which effective messages about health care reforms and public health need to be adjusted according to the orientations of each social media platform.⁴⁸ For instance, TikTok seems to best lend itself to the production of emotionally charged, short-form video content that can not only help humanize policy messages and but also grab the attention of a large audience very quickly; Instagram, with a comparatively stronger appeal to aesthetics, will prefer and promote the use of infographics, carousel-type posts, and data-driven visualizations of complex data which are all presented in an easily interpretable format; while X (formerly Twitter) is better for very short, concise, and quickly disseminated updates, as well as threaded discussions that can go deeper into the problems while only requiring a small number of words altogether.⁴⁹ When content style, tone, and format align with the expectations of different social media platforms, engagement is more likely, and health messages and access to related information can be constructed and meaningfully processed by more segments of the public within the potential scope of audiences.⁵⁰

Crisis Simulation and Preparedness Training

Crisis simulations should be run regularly to position healthcare organisations to manage controversies in the real world. Crisis simulations help develop better coordination mechanisms, messaging capabilities, and response time.⁵¹

Evidence-Engaged Content Development

Digital content can engage audiences and be present as rigorous evidence. In the mind of the public, cited peer-reviewed research, disclosing uncertainty, and avoiding oversimplifications increase trust and credibility in government communications and discredit misinformation.⁵²

Discussion

The decade from 2015 to 2024 saw a transformative shift in public health governance, where digital media not only reflected but actively reshaped medical policy reforms. Once a delayed response, public opinion now acts as a catalyst, anticipating, accelerating, or impeding reforms in real time.⁵³ Key international examples from this period, such as New Zealand's respectful, coordinated messaging strategies and South Korea's real-time COVID-19 dashboards, illustrate how digital transparency and culturally conscious communication strategies can build resilience in communities. These cases demonstrate how a technology-enabled communication and policy design approach can form a virtuous cycle in which better compliance, diminished misinformation, and public cooperation come out of enhanced communication and policy design. As evident in crises in which the Australian perceptions of privacy risks, associated with digital health records (2021–2024) and the United States' backlash of telemedicine guidelines (2017), failure to respond to digital sentiment can have costly outcomes.⁵⁴ Misinformation and influence-determined dissent represent a powerful combination, and algorithm-determined biases can facilitate rapid translation of negative sentiment into mobilised action; as such, governments' attempts at policy reform can easily become flashpoints, frustrating policy reform efforts while embarrassing institutional credibility.⁴³ Public health systems now find themselves facing both a set of opportunities and a series of threats as we attempt policy reform in the backdrop of the rapidly changing dynamics of digital media.⁵⁵ The opportunity for real-time conversation, uptake, feedback, and adaptation using online conversation is unmatched, yet the nature of online discourse can also destabilise the rollout of policy due to its rapidity and scale.

As we enter this new reality, we are going to need institutional agility, flexibility, and openness to begin to think about and plan for reform as a communication event, not simply new technologies or technical fixes.⁵⁶ The incorporation of digital tools like influencer engagement and AI-enabled sentiment analysis must be planned and built into a policy planning process from the outset, not as something that is bolted on when there is already a crisis going on.⁵⁷ At the core of the conceptualisation of a digital communications strategy must still ultimately be ethical principles of equity, transparency, and community agency.⁵⁸ Failure to construct equitable, transparent, and results-oriented campaigns can potentially leave even the most successful campaigns short of reaching their intended targets and offending the people they are trying to help the most. The primary takeaway from the period of 2015–2024 is that, in addition to legislative merit, the creation of digital trust is becoming even more crucial to the success of health reform. Policy silence is becoming untenable in a world where a single hashtag can flip the national conversation on a topic.⁵⁹ Governments that used digital engagement in their reform strategy, such as through social listening, platform-specific messaging, and inclusive consultations, saw measurable improvements in public trust, compliance with policies, and health literacy.⁶⁰ For governments that did not engage digitally, reactive crisis management was often the best they could do.⁶¹ Health is calling for an urgent rethink of health governance in the digital age that proactively considers and responds to communication as a determinant of policy and public health outcomes whenever possible.⁶² Importantly, while this review highlights the benefits of digital integration, it is necessary to acknowledge the digital divide. The influence of online public opinion tends to be stronger among urban, wealthier, and more technologically literate populations, potentially marginalizing vulnerable groups such as rural residents, the elderly, and communities with limited digital access. Although this concern is noted in the New Zealand case study regarding indigenous and minority communities, it represents a broader limitation of digital-centric approaches. Future digital health governance strategies must therefore incorporate equity-focused measures to ensure that engagement and policy reforms do not inadvertently exclude those most in need of support.

Conclusion

Between 2015 and 2024, a significant reality is that public confidence in reforming healthcare systems has become closely tied to the dynamics of digital media. In this era, modern medical policy reform requires more than technical expertise and

legislative authority—it must also incorporate emotional intelligence, digital adaptability, and a commitment to transparency. Lessons from South Korea’s real-time dashboards and transparent COVID-19 communication, New Zealand’s unified and empathetic messaging, and localized digital engagement in US cities during the opioid crisis demonstrate how trust, inclusivity, and agility can turn digital challenges into opportunities for stronger health governance. Governments and organizations should therefore approach crises with strategies that integrate digital monitoring, real-time public engagement, and inclusive communication. Managing the campaign around a health issue has become as important as managing the threat itself, in a time when misinformation spreads faster than pathogens. Future public health initiatives will be best positioned for success by embedding trust-building and equitable digital engagement at the core of governance. This review is primarily based on published case studies and reports, which may not capture all ongoing digital interventions or variations in local contexts. Evidence on long-term effects of digital engagement on public trust remains limited, and some findings may be influenced by cultural, political, or technological factors that differ across countries. Further empirical studies are needed to evaluate the effectiveness of specific digital strategies in diverse settings, assess the long-term impact on public trust and health outcomes, and explore the role of emerging technologies such as AI-driven monitoring and social media analytics in shaping public health governance. Embedding trust-building and equitable digital engagement at the core of policy reform will be essential for the success of future public health initiatives.

Author Contributions

All authors made a significant contribution to the work reported, whether that is in the conception, study design, execution, acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation, or in all these areas; took part in drafting, revising or critically reviewing the article; gave final approval of the version to be published; have agreed on the journal to which the article has been submitted; and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

1. Kanchan S, Gaidhane A. Social media role and its impact on public health: a narrative review. *Cureus*. 2023;15(1):e33737. doi:10.7759/CUREUS.33737
2. Khubchandani J, Wiblehauser M, Webb FJ, Yockey RA, Batra K. Reforming public health organizations in the post-COVID era. *J Med Surg Public Health*. 2025;5:100187. doi:10.1016/J.GLMEDI.2025.100187
3. Mazumdar S. Now more than ever: considering health system reforms in the post-COVID 19 scenario. *Indian J Labour Economics*. 2020;63(S1):157–162. doi:10.1007/S41027-020-00272-Z
4. Gopinathan U, Hoffman SJ, Ottersen T. Scientific advisory committees at the world health organization: a qualitative study of how their design affects quality, relevance, and legitimacy. *Global Challenges*. 2018;2(9):1700074. doi:10.1002/GCH2.201700074
5. Liu C, Tian Y, Shi Y, Huang Z, Shao Y. An analysis of public topics and sentiments based on social media during the COVID-19 omicron variant outbreak in Shanghai 2022. *Computational Urban Sci*. 2024;4(1):1–14. doi:10.1007/S43762-024-00128-Y/FIGURES/7
6. Do Nascimento IJB, Pizarro AB, Almeida JM, et al. Infodemics and health misinformation: a systematic review of reviews. *Bull World Health Organ*. 2022;100(9):544. doi:10.2471/BLT.21.287654
7. Kisa S, Kisa A. A comprehensive analysis of COVID-19 misinformation, public health impacts, and communication strategies: scoping review. *J Med Internet Res*. 2024;26:e56931. doi:10.2196/56931
8. Malodia S, Dhir A, Mishra M, Bhatti ZA. Future of e-Government: an integrated conceptual framework. *Technol Forecast Soc Change*. 2021;173:121102. doi:10.1016/J.TECHFORE.2021.121102
9. Vandrevala T, Morrow E, Coates T, et al. Strengthening the relationship between community resilience and health emergency communication: a systematic review. *BMC Global Public Health*. 2024;2(1):1–24. doi:10.1186/S44263-024-00112-Y
10. Mauro M, Noto G, Prenestini A, Sarto F. Digital transformation in healthcare: assessing the role of digital technologies for managerial support processes. *Technol Forecast Soc Change*. 2024;209:123781. doi:10.1016/J.TECHFORE.2024.123781
11. Radebe MJ, Sitto-Kaunda K, Lubinga E. Public sector communication in the digital age. 2024. doi:10.36615/9781776489909.
12. Brezzi M, González S, Nguyen D, Prats M. An updated OECD framework on drivers of trust in public institutions to meet current and future challenges. 2021. doi:10.1787/b6c5478c-en.
13. Open government: the global context and the way forward. 2016. doi:10.1787/9789264268104-en.
14. Choi H, Chung C, Cho Y. Changes in planning approach: a comparative study of digital government policies in South Korea and Denmark. *Eur Planning Stud*. 2023;31(5):905–924. doi:10.1080/09654313.2022.2132787
15. UK digital strategy - GOV.UK. Available from: <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/uks-digital-strategy/uk-digital-strategy>. Accessed April 28, 2025.
16. Lim YJ, Kim TJ. Digital healthcare development and mHealth in South Korea. 2022:83–116. doi:10.1007/978-981-19-4230-3_4.

17. How does the public feel about health technologies and data? - the health foundation. Available from: <https://www.health.org.uk/reports-and-analysis/analysis/how-does-the-public-feel-about-health-technologies-and-data>. Accessed April 29, 2025.
18. Enhancing equitable access to virtual care in Canada: principle-based recommendations for equity report of the task team on equitable access to virtual care. 2021.
19. Blockchain and healthcare: the Estonian experience - e-Estonia. Available from: <https://e-estonia.com/blockchain-healthcare-estonian-experience/>. Accessed April 29, 2025.
20. Health legislation amendment (Modernising my health record-sharing by default) Bill 2024 impact analysis.
21. Healthy China 2030: china's healthcare journey | Bayer global. Available from: <https://www.bayer.com/en/pharma/healthy-china-2030-chinas-healthcare-journey>. Accessed April 29, 2025.
22. Why the government should not regulate content moderation of social media | Cato Institute. Available from: <https://www.cato.org/policy-analysis/why-government-should-not-regulate-content-moderation-social-media>. Accessed April 28, 2025.
23. Miranda SM, Young A, Yetgin E. Are social media emancipatory or hegemonic? Societal effects of mass media digitization in the case of the SOPA discourse. *MIS Q.* 2016;40(2):303–329. doi:10.25300/MISQ/2016/40.2.02
24. Chandra R, Zhu B, Fang Q, Shinjikashvili E. Large language models for newspaper sentiment analysis during COVID-19: the Guardian. *Appl Soft Comput.* 2025;171:112743. doi:10.1016/J.ASOC.2025.112743
25. Yeung D. Social media as a catalyst for policy action and social change for health and well-being: viewpoint. *J Med Internet Res.* 2018;20(3):e94. doi:10.2196/JMIR.8508
26. UNDP Seoul policy centre December 2024 Think piece on the Republic of Korea's efforts in anticorruption and good governance through digitalization. 2024.
27. Open government for stronger democracies. 2023. doi:10.1787/5478db5b-en.
28. CDC considers narrowing its COVID-19 vaccine recommendations. Available from: <https://www.wcvb.com/article/cdc-considers-narrowing-covid-vaccine-recommendations/64504530>. Accessed April 28, 2025.
29. Chavda VP, Balar P, Vaghela D, et al. Omicron variant of SARS-CoV-2: an Indian perspective of vaccination and management. *Vaccines.* 2023;11(1):160. doi:10.3390/VACCINES11010160
30. Yeung AWK, Torkamani A, Butte AJ, et al. The promise of digital healthcare technologies. *Front Public Health.* 2023;11:1196596. doi:10.3389/FPUBH.2023.1196596
31. Lee D, Choi B. Policies and innovations to battle COVID-19 – a case study of South Korea. *Health Policy Technol.* 2020;9(4):587. doi:10.1016/J.HLPT.2020.08.010
32. How COVID-19 is impacting ecommerce | ROI revolution. Available from: <https://roirevolution.com/blog/coronavirus-and-ecommerce/>. Accessed April 28, 2025.
33. Junaid SB, Imam AA, Balogun AO, et al. Recent advancements in emerging technologies for healthcare management systems: a survey. *Healthcare.* 2022;10(10):1940. doi:10.3390/HEALTHCARE10101940
34. Nairn A, Matthews J. Are people's COVID-19 attitudes discriminatory or justified? A thematic analysis of New Zealander's responses to the pandemic. *Soc Sci Humanities Open.* 2024;9:100890. doi:10.1016/J.SSAHO.2024.100890
35. Jamieson T. "Go Hard, Go Early": preliminary lessons from New Zealand's response to COVID-19. *Am Rev Public Adm.* 2020;50(6–7):598–605. doi:10.1177/0275074020941721
36. Miller KL. Compassionate communication in the workplace: exploring processes of noticing, connecting, and responding. *J Applied Communication Res.* 2007;35(3):223–245. doi:10.1080/00909880701434208
37. Crowther S, Maude R, Bradford B, et al. When maintaining relationships and social connectivity matter: the case of New Zealand midwives and COVID-19. *Front Soc.* 2021;6:614017. doi:10.3389/FSOC.2021.614017/BIBTEX
38. Blanco C, Wiley TRA, Lloyd JJ, Lopez MF, Volkow ND. America's opioid crisis: the need for an integrated public health approach. *Transl Psychiatr.* 2020;10(1):167. doi:10.1038/S41398-020-0847-1
39. Rioux W, Marshall T, Ghosh SM. Virtual overdose monitoring services and overdose prevention technologies: opportunities, limitations, and future directions. *Int J Drug Policy.* 2023;119:104121. doi:10.1016/J.DRUGPO.2023.104121
40. Hood S, Campbell B, Baker K. Culturally informed community engagement: implications for inclusive science and health equity. 2023. doi:10.3768/RTIPRESS.2023.OP.0083.2301.
41. Singh RK, Agrawal S, Sahu A, Kazancoglu Y. Strategic issues of big data analytics applications for managing health-care sector: a systematic literature review and future research agenda. *TQM J.* 2023;35(1):262–291. doi:10.1108/TQM-02-2021-0051
42. Gasana K. Crisis communication and reputation management in the age of fake news. *J Public Relations.* 2024;3(1):28–39. doi:10.47941/JPR.1773
43. Nan X, Thier K, Wang Y. Health misinformation: what it is, why people believe it, how to counter it. *Ann Int Commun Assoc.* 2023;47(4):381–410. doi:10.1080/23808985.2023.2225489
44. Masefield SC, Msosa A, Chinguwo FK, Grugel J. Stakeholder engagement in the health policy process in a low income country: a qualitative study of stakeholder perceptions of the challenges to effective inclusion in Malawi. *BMC Health Serv Res.* 2021;21(1):984. doi:10.1186/S12913-021-07016-9
45. Study on the impact of new technologies on free and fair elections ('Elections Study') literature review. 2021. Available from: https://pmo.trasys.be/confluence/display/DGJUSTELECTION/DG+JUST_Elections+study. Accessed April 29, 2025.
46. Dwivedi YK, Kshetri N, Hughes L, et al. Opinion Paper: "So what if ChatGPT wrote it?" Multidisciplinary perspectives on opportunities, challenges and implications of generative conversational AI for research, practice and policy. *Int J Inf Manag.* 2023;71:102642. doi:10.1016/J.IJINFORMGT.2023.102642
47. Artificial intelligence in healthcare - European commission. Available from: https://health.ec.europa.eu/ehealth-digital-health-and-care/artificial-intelligence-healthcare_en. Accessed April 29, 2025.
48. Kraus S, Schiavone F, Pluzhnikova A, Invernizzi AC. Digital transformation in healthcare: analyzing the current state-of-research. *J Bus Res.* 2021;123:557–567. doi:10.1016/J.JBUSRES.2020.10.030
49. Panagiotopoulos P, Shan LC, Barnett J, Regan Á, McConnon Á. A framework of social media engagement: case studies with food and consumer organisations in the UK and Ireland. *Int J Inf Manag.* 2015;35(4):394–402. doi:10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2015.02.006
50. Shahbaznezhad H, Dolan R, Rashidirad M. The role of social media content format and platform in users' engagement behavior. *J Interactive Marketing.* 2021;53:47–65. doi:10.1016/J.INTMAR.2020.05.001

51. Ketelaars E, Flandin S, Poizat G. One fidelity fits all? How civil protection simulations afford (or not) improvement opportunities for novice and occasional crisis managers. *Saf Sci.* 2023;165:106189. doi:10.1016/J.SSCI.2023.106189
52. Majerczak P, Strzelecki A. Trust, media credibility, social ties, and the intention to share towards information verification in an age of fake news. *Behav Sci.* 2022;12(2):51. doi:10.3390/BS12020051
53. Williams BA, Jones CH, Welch V, True JM. Outlook of pandemic preparedness in a post-COVID-19 world. *NPJ Vaccines.* 2023;8(1):1–12.
54. Osman S, Churruca K, Ellis LA, Luo D, Braithwaite J. The unintended consequences of telehealth in Australia: critical interpretive synthesis. *J Med Internet Res.* 2024;26:e57848. doi:10.2196/57848
55. Garcia-Perez A, Cegarra-Navarro JG, Sallos MP, Martinez-Caro E, Chinnaswamy A. Resilience in healthcare systems: cyber security and digital transformation. *Technovation.* 2023;121:102583. doi:10.1016/J.TECHNOVATION.2022.102583
56. Dwivedi YK, Hughes L, Baabdullah AM, et al. Metaverse beyond the hype: multidisciplinary perspectives on emerging challenges, opportunities, and agenda for research, practice and policy. *Int J Inf Manag.* 2022;66:102542. doi:10.1016/J.IJINFOMGT.2022.102542
57. Ballantine J, Boyce G, Stoner G. A critical review of AI in accounting education: threat and opportunity. *Crit Perspectives Accounting.* 2024;99:102711. doi:10.1016/J.CPA.2024.102711
58. Elia G, Solazzo G, Lerro A, Pigni F, Tucci CL. The digital transformation canvas: a conceptual framework for leading the digital transformation process. *Bus Horiz.* 2024;67(4):381–398. doi:10.1016/J.BUSHOR.2024.03.007
59. Walsh JP. Social media and moral panics: assessing the effects of technological change on societal reaction. *Int J Cultural Stud.* 2020;23(6):840–859. doi:10.1177/1367877920912257;SUBPAGE:STRING:FULL
60. Personal RePEc Archive M. M P RA the impact of digital communication on governance, political dynamics, and leadership; a case study of the nigerian people and process. 2025.
61. Saka TN, Hormiga E, Valls-Pasola J. Crisis response strategies: a digital reluctance perspective. *Rev Managerial Sci.* 2024;1–39. doi:10.1007/S11846-024-00822-5/METRICS
62. Davis SLM. Political determinants of digital health: beyond the rainbow. *Health Promot Int.* 2025;40(2):daaf014. doi:10.1093/HEAPRO/DAAF014

Risk Management and Healthcare Policy

Publish your work in this journal

Risk Management and Healthcare Policy is an international, peer-reviewed, open access journal focusing on all aspects of public health, policy, and preventative measures to promote good health and improve morbidity and mortality in the population. The journal welcomes submitted papers covering original research, basic science, clinical & epidemiological studies, reviews and evaluations, guidelines, expert opinion and commentary, case reports and extended reports. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/risk-management-and-healthcare-policy-journal>

Dovepress
Taylor & Francis Group