

# Individualising Post-ERCP Management in the Geriatric Patients with Comorbidities [Response to Letter]

Thana Boonsinsukh 

Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Srinakharinwirot University, Nakhon Nayok, Thailand

Correspondence: Thana Boonsinsukh, Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Srinakharinwirot University, 62 Moo 7 Ongkharak, Nakhon Nayok, 26120, Thailand, Email [thanab@g.swu.ac.th](mailto:thanab@g.swu.ac.th)

## Dear editor

We thank Drs. Kosar and Majid Hashemzadeh for their thoughtful comments on our recent article.<sup>1,2</sup> Their letter underscores important perspectives on recurrence risk, variability in international guidelines, frailty progression, and emerging approaches to geriatric care.

In our cohort of patients aged  $\geq 75$  years, the incidence of recurrent biliary events (RBE) was 8.6% with RBE-related mortality of 0.7%. These relatively low rates may reflect the advanced age of the cohort, the shorter feasible follow-up period, and our stricter definition that required clinically verified events.

We agree that frailty is dynamic, consistent with longitudinal data showing frequent transitions from robust or prefrail to frail in older adults. Our study was not intended to argue against laparoscopic cholecystectomy (LC) in operable patients but to provide evidence that, in those at prohibitive surgical risk, a wait-and-see strategy can be safe.

We concur that dietary modification may serve as a pragmatic adjunct in reducing the risk of recurrent biliary events. In our practice, dietary advice was routinely provided not only to patients managed non-operatively but also to those who underwent LC, as recurrent CBD stones were still observed in 3.8% of cases in our cohort. This underscores the value of comprehensive management strategies that extend beyond the surgical decision itself.

We acknowledge that limited follow-up due to reluctance of older patients to attend hospital visits was a challenge in our study. We agree that models such as Hospital at Home or virtual wards are promising strategies to improve adherence and enhance patient-centered care, and their integration into future research would provide more accurate long-term outcome data.

In conclusion, our findings suggest that a wait-and-see strategy can be a safe and pragmatic option in carefully selected high-risk geriatric patients. The decision to proceed with LC should be guided by surgical risk, functional status, and patient preferences.

## Disclosure

The author reports no conflicts of interest in this communication.

## References

1. Prapasajchavet W, Viriyaraj V, Yodying H, Rookkachart T, Sathornviriyapong S, Boonsinsukh T. Delayed cholecystectomy after ERCP in geriatric patients: balancing surgical risk and recurrence prevention — a retrospective study. *Clin Interv Aging*. 2025;20:1517. doi:10.2147/CIA.S538539
2. Hashemzadeh K, Hashemzadeh M. Individualising post-ERCP management in the geriatric patients with comorbidities [Letter]. *Clin Interv Aging*. 2025;20:1693–1694.

Dove Medical Press encourages responsible, free and frank academic debate. The content of the Clinical Interventions in Aging 'letters to the editor' section does not necessarily represent the views of Dove Medical Press, its officers, agents, employees, related entities or the Clinical Interventions in Aging editors. While all reasonable steps have been taken to confirm the content of each letter, Dove Medical Press accepts no liability in respect of the content of any letter, nor is it responsible for the content and accuracy of any letter to the editor.

### Clinical Interventions in Aging

**Dovepress**  
Taylor & Francis Group

### Publish your work in this journal

Clinical Interventions in Aging is an international, peer-reviewed journal focusing on evidence-based reports on the value or lack thereof of treatments intended to prevent or delay the onset of maladaptive correlates of aging in human beings. This journal is indexed on PubMed Central, MedLine, CAS, Scopus and the Elsevier Bibliographic databases. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/clinical-interventions-in-aging-journal>

<https://doi.org/10.2147/CIA.S571559>