

Critique on “Investigating the Success Rate of Vaginal Delivery After Cesarean Section and Its Associated Factors in Afghan Women: Insights from a Maternity Hospital in Kabul” [Letter]

Ehsanullah Alokozay^{1,*}, Najibullah Alokozay^{2,*}, Eliass Tokhi^{1,*}

¹Faculty of Medicine, Kandahar University, Kandahar, Afghanistan; ²Kabul University of Medical Science “Abu Ali Ibn Sina”, Kabul, Afghanistan

*These Authors contributed equally to this work

Correspondence: Ehsanullah Alokozay, Faculty of Medicine, Kandahar University, District # 10, Kandahar, 3801, Afghanistan, Tel +93707026138, Email hebibzaehsanullah@gmail.com

Dear editor

We read with interest the article by Golzareh et al, titled “Investigating the Success Rate of Vaginal Delivery After Cesarean Section and Its Associated Factors in Afghan Women: Insights from a Maternity Hospital in Kabul”.¹ While this study provides preliminary insight into VBAC outcomes in an Afghan setting, we believe that several methodological and analytical limitations undermine the reliability and applicability of its conclusions.

Selection Bias and Generalizability

The exclusive inclusion of women deemed eligible for a trial of labor after cesarean (TOLAC) introduces significant selection bias and likely overestimates the VBAC success rate of 79.2%.¹ Excluding higher-risk patients limits external validity and prevents extrapolation to the broader cesarean population. The authors do not justify their eligibility criteria or discuss how these criteria affect outcome interpretation across varied Afghan healthcare settings.

Limited Scope of Study Design

Conducting the study at a single tertiary care hospital in Kabul restricts generalizability. Resource availability and clinical expertise differ substantially between urban and rural facilities in Afghanistan, affecting VBAC outcomes.² A multi-center design would better capture regional variability, as demonstrated in Ethiopian comparisons of urban versus rural VBAC success rates.²

Insufficient Study Duration

A six-month data collection period is inadequate to account for seasonal variations in obstetric outcomes. Fluctuations in healthcare access, maternal nutrition, and workload can influence delivery rates and complications.³ Longer observation windows, such as five-year retrospective analyses, reveal significant cyclical patterns that a short-term study could miss.³

Incomplete Consideration of Confounding Variables

Key clinical factors—maternal body mass index (BMI), labor induction methods, and provider experience—were omitted from the regression model, risking residual confounding. Meta-analyses identify elevated BMI (adjusted OR $\approx$ 0.50) and induced labor (OR $\approx$ 0.58) as independent predictors of VBAC failure.⁴ Without controlling for these variables, the reported associations may be misleading.

Interpretation and Conclusion Overreach

The authors conclude broadly on the feasibility of VBAC in Afghanistan despite methodological constraints. A retrospective, single-center study with limited covariate control cannot support strong causal or predictive claims.⁵ The discussion should temper conclusions and explicitly acknowledge these limitations.¹

Conclusion

Golzareh et al contribute valuable initial data on VBAC in Afghanistan; however, design, analytical, and interpretive shortcomings impede the study's strength. Future research should employ multi-center, prospective methodologies with longer durations and comprehensive variable inclusion to generate more robust, generalizable findings.

Disclosure

The authors declare no competing interests in this communication.

References

1. Golzareh P, Baha H, Aram F, Stanikzai MH, Rabizada R, Jafari M. Investigating the success rate of vaginal delivery after cesarean section and its associated factors in Afghan women: insights from a maternity hospital in Kabul. *Int J Womens Health*. 2025;17:1701–1710. doi:10.2147/IJWH.S517179
2. Addisu D, Gebeyehu NA, Biru S, Belachew YY. Vaginal birth after cesarean section and its associated factors in Ethiopia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sci Rep*. 2023;13(1):7882. doi:10.1038/s41598-023-34856-8
3. Pal R, Gandotra N. The effect of seasonal variation on the delivery rate: a retrospective study. *Int J Reprod Contracept Obstet Gynecol*. 2018;7(3):1101–1103. doi:10.18203/2320-1770.ijrcog20180900
4. Wu Y, Kataria Y, Wang Z, Ming WK, Ellervik C. Factors associated with successful vaginal birth after a cesarean section: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2019;19(1):360. doi:10.1186/s12884-019-2517-y
5. Kim YR, Chung SW, Kim MJ, et al. Limited generalizability of retrospective single-center cohort study in comparison to multicenter cohort study on prognosis of hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Hepatocell Carcinoma*. 2024;11:1235–1249. doi:10.2147/JHC.S456093

Dove Medical Press encourages responsible, free and frank academic debate. The content of the International Journal of Women's Health 'letters to the editor' section does not necessarily represent the views of Dove Medical Press, its officers, agents, employees, related entities or the International Journal of Women's Health editors. While all reasonable steps have been taken to confirm the content of each letter, Dove Medical Press accepts no liability in respect of the content of any letter, nor is it responsible for the content and accuracy of any letter to the editor.

International Journal of Women's Health

Publish your work in this journal

The International Journal of Women's Health is an international, peer-reviewed open-access journal publishing original research, reports, editorials, reviews and commentaries on all aspects of women's healthcare including gynecology, obstetrics, and breast cancer. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/international-journal-of-womens-health-journal>

Dovepress
Taylor & Francis Group