RESEARCH LETTER

The Effect of Biologic Therapy in Severe Asthmatics and ER Admissions During COVID-19: A Retrospective Study

Derrick Cleland¹, Christian Arias^{1,2}, Abdullah Alismail^{2,3}, Noha Daher⁴, Lan Leeper⁵, Paul Casillas², Laren D Tan^{2,3}

¹Department of Internal Medicine, Loma Linda University Health, Loma Linda, CA, USA; ²Department of Cardiopulmonary Sciences, School of Allied Health Professions, Loma Linda University Health, Loma Linda, CA, USA; ³Department of Medicine, School of Medicine, Loma Linda University Health, Loma Linda, CA, USA; ⁴Allied Health Studies, School of Allied Health Professions, Loma Linda University Health, Loma Linda, CA, USA; ⁵Enterprise Data Intelligence Services, Department of Information Services, Loma Linda University Health, Loma Linda, CA, USA;

Correspondence: Laren D Tan, Department of Medicine, School of Medicine, Loma Linda University Health, Loma Linda, CA, USA, Email LaTan@Ilu.edu

Introduction

The COVID-19 (SARS-CoV-2) pandemic and the resulting respiratory illnesses have emphasized the importance of managing and preventing this infection among patients at greatest risk of mortality (eg, patients with predisposing respiratory conditions, such as asthma). Severe asthmatics are of particular concern when considering this illness, because treatment options for this cohort are already limited due to the fact their disease is characterized by the Global Initiative for Asthma as an inability to control asthma with non-biologics.¹ Current available biologics for asthmatics include omalizumab, mepolizumab, benralizumab, reslizumab, and dupilumab. Biologics decrease the immunoresponse of the body by binding monoclonal antibodies to IgE and interleukins, causing a decline in circulating IgE levels and inhibition of the signaling process of interleukins. In the SARS-CoV-2 disease process, cytokines and chemokines are released excessively and drive the hyperinflammation (eg, cytokine-release storm) that ultimately leads to acute respiratory distress syndrome, a major complication leading to death in about 40% of intensive care unit (ICU) cases.² Several studies have identified elevated levels of IL6 as it relates to SARS-CoV-2, thus making the anti-IL6 properties of tocilizumab favorable for treating severe illness.^{2,3} Therefore, we theorize that asthmatics on biologics are at less risk of developing severe infections due to concurrent biologic treatment than those not on biologics.

Materials and Methods

This was a retrospective study that was approved by Loma Linda University Health Institutional Review Board. Informed consent was waived as per 45 CFR 46.116(d) and HIPAA authorization waived as per 45 CFR 164.512. The study was in compliance with Declaration of Helsinki. We extracted and analyzed data from a large single academic center in the United States from January 2020 to March 2021. Inclusion criteria were asthmatic patients aged 18 years and older, COVID-19 diagnosis, admitted or presented at the emergency room or affiliated urgent care center, and use of biologics. No exclusion criteria were utilized for this study. Severe asthmatics were compared to less severe asthmatics to better understand if there were a clinically significant difference between the severity level of COVID-19 infections between these cohorts. The ICD-10 codes used as part of the inclusion criteria and data extraction were J45 and subcategories, U07.1, Z86.16, M35.81, J12.82, J98.4, R06.00, Z86.16, J96, J80, J40, and J06.9.

Data were analyzed using SPSS 28.0.0. Patients' characteristics were summarized using counts and percentages for categorical variables and means \pm SD or medians (range) for continuous variables. Normality of variables was examined using the Shapiro test and box plots. To examine the association between likelihood of being hospitalized and being on biologics (yes/no), χ^2 analysis was used. The level of significance was set at p ≤ 0.05 .

© 2023 Cleland et al. This work is published and licensed by Dove Medical Press Limited. The full terms of this license are available at https://www.dovepress.com/terms. work you hereby accept the Terms. Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted without any further permission from Dove Medical Press Limited, provided the work is properly attributed. For permission for commercial use of this work, please ese paragraphs 4.2 and 5 of our Terms (http://www.dovepress.com/terms.php).

833

Results

A total of 189 patients met the inclusion criteria, of whom 53 (28%) were on biologics and 136 (72%) were not. Of the sample, 143 (76%) had an emergency room (ER) visit, of whom 108 (75.5%) were female and 35 (24.5%) male. Of that 143, only seven (4.9%) were on biologics (four took benralizumab, two dupilumab, and one omalizumab). Of those who had ER visits, 125 (87.4%) were hospitalized, of whom 51 (35.7%) had an ICU admission. The mean age of those who had an ER visit was 51.3 ± 19.9 years. Of those who were hospitalized, the median inpatient length of stay (LOS) was 5 (0.3–70.9) days. The median length of ICU stay was 2.6 (0.1–41.2) days (Table 1 and Table 2), while χ^2 analysis revealed that those on biologics were less likely to be hospitalized than those who were not (4.8% vs 95.2%, χ^2 =98.8; *P*<0.001).

Discussion

Review of the chart data revealed that severe asthmatics had less likelihood of being hospitalized due to COVID-19 and were less likely to develop severe illness. These results may be due to the depressed immunoresponse seen with use of biologics and prevention of the cytokine-release storm commonly seen with SARS-CoV-2 infections. Similar findings were observed among severe asthmatics within Italy when compared to the general population in 2020.⁴ Prior studies have proposed that dupilumab can lead to reductions in IL4 and IL13, thus leading to less severe COVID-19 reactions among those previously on this treatment and improved survival rates among those initiated on this therapy thereafter.^{5,6} Another proposed explanation is that the elevated levels of T cells (eg, CD4⁺ and CD8⁺), as observed in the T_H2 -cell inflammatory pathway among severe asthmatics, may offset the lymphopenia effects of SARS-CoV-2, hus leading to less severe reactions.^{7,8} Reduction in the expression of ACE2, the main point of entry for SARS-CoV-2, has also been linked with the T_H2 -cell inflammatory pathway and may have protective effects.⁹ In contrast, prior studies have linked eosinopenia with more severe COVID-19 reactions, as well as increased mortality.⁷ However, as observed in our study, the lower eosinophil and IgE levels among asthmatics on biologics did not in fact result in more severe illness. Additionally, we theorize that severe asthmatics are less likely to be hospitalized due to closer follow-up intervals with specialists leading to closer monitoring during the COVID-19 pandemic, thus allowing for enhanced preventive measures.

Analyzing the data further (see Table 1) revealed that 13.2% of those classified as severe asthmatics presented to the hospital and those on mepolizumab and reslizumab did not seek medical attention at our hospital during our study period. Among severe asthmatics that were hospitalized (see Table 2), the predominant biologic that was utilized was

	n=189 (%)	Biologics (N ₁ =53)	Non-biologics (N ₂ =136)
Emergency room visit	143 (75.6)	7	136
Hospitalized	125 (66)	6	119
Intensive care unit admission	51 (26.9)	3	48
Simple O ₂ device(s) (eg nasal cannula, non-rebreather mask, high-flow nasal cannula simple OxyMask)	121 (64)	6	115
СРАР	13 (6.8)	I	12
BiPAP	21 (1.1)	0	21
Ventilator	19 (10)	2	17
iNO	4 (2)	0	4

 Table I Comparison of asthmatics' vs severe asthmatics' hospital data for COVID-19 infections (N=189)

(Continued)

	-		
	n=189 (%)	Biologics (N ₁ =53)	Non-biologics (N ₂ =136)
Immunoglobulin E levels after first ICU admission, median (range)		20 n=	1087 (0.1–3948) n=12
Immunoglobulin E levels after last ICU admission, median (range)		20 n=	1087 (0.1–3948) n=12
Eosinophil (%) after first admission, median (range)		0.6 (0–5.9) n=4	0.8 (0–22.5) n=76
Eosinophil (%) last after admission, median (range)		2.8 (0–5.98) n=4	I.I (0–22.5) n=76
White blood cells after first admission, mean ± SD		8.34±4.25 n=4	8.91±3.63 n=86
White blood cells after last admission, mean ± SD		8.375±2.77 n=4	10.17±4.9 n=86
Medications	n=143	n (%)	n (%)
Levalbuterol		0	2 (1.5)
Albuterol		7 (6.2)	106 (77.9)
Salmeterol		3 (42.9)	8 (5.9)
Formoterol		5 (71.4)	35 (25.7)
Vilanterol		I (I4.3)	2 (1.5)
Tiotropium		4 (57.1)	6 (4.4)
Theophylline		I (14.3)	3 (2.2)
Budesonide		2 (28.6)	24 (17.6)
Ipratropium bromide		5 (71.4)	36 (26.5)
Fluticasone propionate		0	21 (15.4)

Table I (Continued).

Abbreviations: CPAP, continuous positive airway pressure; BIPAP, bi-level positive airway pressure; iNO, inhaled nitrous oxide.

benralizumab (57%, n=4), of whom two were admitted to the ICU for an average LOS of 9.5 days and average total LOS of 8.8 days. Only one required mechanical ventilation for an average of 10.2 days. Dupilumab was another biologic utilized by one severe asthmatic that was admitted to the ICU for an average LOS of 3.2 days in ICU and total LOS of 6.7 days, and required mechanical ventilation for an average of 2.4 days. Also of note, patients using omalizumab were hospitalized to general wards for an average of 4.13 days. Prior research has shown minimal difference among the efficacy of mepolizumab, benralizumab, and dupilumab when treating severe asthmatics.⁸ Therefore, it is unclear if the differences in our hospitalization data were due to the difference in biologics utilized. Further retrospective data will need to be analyzed to examine differences among biologics and associated outcomes related to COVID-19. In addition, sex differences in the severity of COVID-19 might have an effect, as reported in previous studies.^{10–12} Future studies should consider investigating this.

Type of biologic utilized	Age at visit (years)	Mean total LOS (days)	Highest level of care (LOS, days*)	Highest form of oxygen utilized (days**)
Dupilumab	40	N/A	Emergency	None
Dupilumab	52	6.7	ICU (3.2)	Ventilator (2.4)
Benralizumab	37	7.81	ICU (7.82)	HFNC
Benralizumab	46	5.8	Wards	NRB
Benralizumab	68	3.8	Wards	Nasal cannula
Benralizumab	54	17.92	ICU (11.14)	Ventilator (10.2)
Omalizumab	56	4.13	Wards	Simple OxyMask

Table 2 Hospitalization data of severe asthmatics

Notes: *Only reported for ICU admissions; **only reported average time for ventilator use. Abbreviations: HFNC, high-flow nasal cannula; NRB, non-rebreather.

Several limitations of this research have been identified that need to be further analyzed in future studies to determine why severe asthmatics were less likely to be hospitalized due to COVID-19. First, vaccination status and comorbidities were not analyzed as part of this study and may have been confounding variables, leading to differences in hospitalization rates. We believe future researchers should look at comorbidities. Second, our findings did not analyze the levels of various cytokines associated with the cytokine-release storm in COVID-19. Further, larger studies should evaluate how cytokine levels vary among asthmatics to confirm if prior treatment with biologics led to protective effects against SARS-CoV-2 infections. Lastly, our preliminary findings only analyzed absolute white blood cell counts and did not evaluate specific cell-type levels (eg CD4⁺ and CD8⁺), and thus future studies should assess if these cell-type levels vary among asthmatics infected with COVID-19 to better understand the effect of the T_H2 -cell inflammatory pathway as it relates to asthmatics.

Conclusion

Within our study, severe asthmatics had a lower likelihood of being hospitalized due to COVID-19 infections than asthmatics not requiring biologics. We believe the results of our study were likely due to enhanced preventive measures and immunosuppression leading to less severe COVID-19 infections among this cohort. Therefore, multicenter studies are needed to better account for variancing geography and hospital settings. More comprehensive studies also need be undertaken to account for differences among these patient populations when considering sex and comorbidity differences.

Data Sharing

All data related to this study are included in this letter. Data are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Author Contributions

All authors made a significant contribution to the work reported, whether in the conception, study design, execution, acquisition of data, analysis, interpretation, or all these areas, took part in drafting, revising, or critically reviewing the article, gave final approval to the version to be published, have agreed on the journal to which the article has been submitted, and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- 1. Reddel HK, Bacharier LB, Bateman ED, et al. Global initiative for asthma strategy 2021: executive summary and rationale for key changes. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2022;205(1):17–35. doi:10.1164/rccm.202109-2205PP
- 2. Sinha P, Matthay MA, Calfee CS. Is a "Cytokine Storm" Relevant to COVID-19? JAMA Intern Med. 2020;180(9):1152-1154. doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2020.3313
- 3. Arias M, Oliveros H, Lechtig S, Bustos RH. Biologics in COVID-19 so far: systematic review. *Pharmaceuticals*. 2022;15(7):783. doi:10.3390/ph15070783
- 4. Heffler E, Detoraki A, Contoli M, et al. COVID-19 in Severe Asthma Network in Italy (SANI) patients: clinical features, impact of comorbidities and treatments. *Allergy*. 2021;76(3):887–892. doi:10.1111/all.14532
- Donlan AN, Mallawaarachchi I, Sasson JM, Preissner R, Loomba JJ. Dupilumab use is associated with protection from coronavirus disease 2019 mortality: a retrospective analysis. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2023;76(1):148–151. doi:10.1093/cid/ciac745
- 6. Sasson J, Donlan AN, Ma JZ, et al. Safety and efficacy of dupilumab for the treatment of hospitalized patients with moderate to severe coronavirus disease 2019: a phase 2a trial. Open Forum Infect Dis. 2022;9(8):ofac343. doi:10.1093/ofid/ofac343
- 7. Yan B, Yang J, Xie Y, Tang X. Relationship between blood eosinophil levels and COVID-19 mortality. World Allergy Org J. 2021;14(3):100521. doi:10.1016/j.waojou.2021.100521
- Akenroye A, Lassiter G, Jackson J, et al. Effectiveness of mepolizumab, benralizumab, and dupilumab in eosinophilic asthma: a Bayesian network meta-analysis. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2022;149(2):AB147. doi:10.1016/j.jaci.2021.12.496
- 9. Jackson DJ, Busse WW, Bacharier LB, et al. Association of respiratory allergy, asthma, and expression of the SARS-CoV-2 receptor ACE2. *J Allergy Clin Immunol.* 2020;146(1):203–206.e3. doi:10.1016/j.jaci.2020.04.009
- 10. Cruz R, Diz-de Almeida S, López de Heredia M, et al. Novel genes and sex differences in COVID-19 severity. *Hum Mol Genet.* 2022;31 (22):3789–3806. doi:10.1093/hmg/ddac132
- 11. Kalidhindi RSR, Borkar NA, Ambhore NS, Pabelick CM, Prakash YS, Sathish V. Sex steroids skew ACE2 expression in human airway: a contributing factor to sex differences in COVID-19? Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol. 2020;319(5):L843–7. doi:10.1152/ajplung.00391.2020
- 12. Takahashi T, Ellingson MK, Wong P, et al. Sex differences in immune responses that underlie COVID-19 disease outcomes. *Nature*. 2020;588 (7837):315–320. doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2700-3

Journal of Asthma and Allergy

Dovepress

DovePress

Publish your work in this journal

The Journal of Asthma and Allergy is an international, peer-reviewed open-access journal publishing original research, reports, editorials and commentaries on the following topics: Asthma, Pulmonary physiology; Asthma related clinical health; Clinical immunology and the immunological basis of disease; Pharmacological interventions and new therapies. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/journal-of-asthma-and-allergy-journal

🖬 🔰 in 🗖

837