

Biologics for the Management of Erythrodermic Psoriasis: An Updated Review

Luca Potestio¹, Elisa Camela², Sara Cacciapuoti¹, Luigi Fornaro¹, Angelo Ruggiero¹, Fabrizio Martora¹, Teresa Battista¹, Matteo Megna¹

¹Section of Dermatology, Department of Clinical Medicine and Surgery, University of Naples Federico II, Naples, Italy; ²Dermatology Unit, Istituto Dermopatico dell'Immacolata - IRCCS, Rome, Italy

Correspondence: Luca Potestio, Section of Dermatology, Department of Clinical Medicine and Surgery, University of Naples Federico II, Naples, Italy, Tel +39 - 081 - 7462457, Fax +39 - 081 - 7462442, Email potestioluca@gmail.com

Abstract: Erythrodermic psoriasis (EP) is a severe and rare variant of psoriasis (less than 3% of cases), characterized by generalized scaling and erythema affecting more than 90% of body surface area. Several systemic symptoms can be present in patients with EP such as lymphadenopathy, arthralgia, fever, fatigue, dehydration, serum electrolyte disturbances, and tachycardia making this condition a possible life-threatening disease, particularly if appropriate treatments are not performed. In this scenario, effective and safe therapies are required. Unfortunately, the rarity of EP makes head-to-head Phase III trials challenging, leading to the lack of established guidelines for its management. Globally, conventional systemic drugs such as cyclosporine, methotrexate, and retinoids often have contraindications linked to patients' comorbidities and have not shown a high profile of efficacy and safety. Recently, the development of biologic drugs including anti-tumor necrosis factor- α and anti-interleukin 12–23, 23, and 17 has revealed favorable results for the management of plaque psoriasis, making them also a possible therapeutic option for EP disease. However, their use in EP is still off-label. The aim of our study was to review current literature on the use of biologic drugs for the treatment of EPs in order to offer a wide perspective on their possible application in EP management.

Keywords: erythrodermic psoriasis, treatment, biologic drugs

Introduction

Psoriasis is a chronic inflammatory skin disease, with a prevalence of 2–3% among the worldwide population.^{1–3} Although chronic plaque psoriasis is the most common clinical presentation, accounting for more than 80% of cases, several clinical phenotypes can be distinguished.^{1–3} Among these, erythrodermic psoriasis (EP) is a severe and rare variant (less than 3% of cases of psoriasis), characterized by generalized scaling and erythema affecting more than 90% of body surface area (BSA).⁴ Usually, EP develops in subjects with poorly controlled psoriatic disease. However, numerous factors such as drugs (eg lithium and interferon), systemic infection, and abrupt withdrawal of systemic medications (mainly corticosteroids) may trigger EP.⁵ Moreover, several systemic symptoms can be present in patients with EP such as lymphadenopathy, arthralgia, fever, fatigue, dehydration, and tachycardia, making this condition a possible life-threatening disease, particularly if appropriate treatments are not performed.⁵ Moreover, high incidence rates of serum electrolyte disturbances (hypokalemia, hypocalcemia, hyposodemia, and hypophosphatemia) have been related to EP, complicating the clinical picture.⁶ Unfortunately, the rarity of EP makes head-to-head phase III trials challenging, leading to the lack of established guidelines for EP management. Indeed, the latest guidelines on EP were published in 2010 by the National Psoriasis Foundation Consensus, before the development of most biologic agents currently approved to treat psoriasis.⁷ Globally, conventional systemic drugs such as cyclosporine, methotrexate, and retinoids often show contraindications linked to patients' comorbidities.^{8–10} Recently, the development of biologic drugs including anti-tumor necrosis factor (TNF) α and anti-interleukin (IL) 12–23, 23, and 17 have shown favourable results for the management of plaque psoriasis,^{11,12} making them also a possible therapeutic option for EP disease.^{13–15} However, their

use in EP is still off-label. The aim of our study was to review current literature on the use of biologic drugs for the treatment of EP in order to offer a wide perspective on their possible application in EP management.

Materials and Methods

Literature research using the following databases was performed (until April 30, 2023): PubMed, Embase, Cochrane Skin, clinicaltrials.gov, and Google Scholar. The following terms were considered: “psoriasis”, “erythrodermic psoriasis”, “biologics”, “biologic drugs”, “effectiveness”, “efficacy”, “safety”, “adalimumab”, “etanercept”, “certolizumab”, “bimekizumab”, “infliximab”, “secukinumab”, “ixekizumab”, “brodalumab”, “ustekinumab”, “risankizumab”, “tildrakizumab”, “guselkumab”. Relevant data from the screened and analyzed manuscripts were pointed out following the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-analyses (PRISMA) guidelines. Reviews, meta-analyses, clinical studies, real-life experiences, case reports, and series were examined in our review, selecting the most relevant manuscripts. Only English language articles were collected. Moreover, the abstracts and the texts of designated articles were reviewed to refine the research as well as references were also considered to avoid that some manuscripts could be missed. This manuscript is based on previously performed studies and does not contain any studies with human or animal participants carried out by any of the authors.

Results

Detailed data on clinical studies, case series, and case reports on patients affected by EP treated with currently available biologic drugs are reported in Table 1.

Adalimumab

Adalimumab is a human monoclonal antibody blocking TNF- α .^{70,71} Currently, there are only three case reports regarding EP treated with adalimumab.^{16–18} Among these, Richetta et al reported the case of a patient with a history of HCV infection who developed EP after treatment with interferon alpha for HCV,¹⁶ and Wu et al who reported cases of patients affected by EP and niacin deficiency successfully treated with anti-inflammatory, adalimumab, tripterygium glycoside, and sodium thiosulfate.¹⁷ Finally, Mumoli et al described the case of a patient who developed EP following treatment withdrawal with intravenous corticosteroids for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease treated with adalimumab.¹⁸ Globally, one patient showed remission at week 3,¹⁶ while the remaining two at week 12.^{17,18} Unfortunately, none of these cases reported PASI scores.^{16–18}

Of interest, six cases of EP have been reported during treatment with adalimumab for psoriatic arthritis,⁷² hidradenitis suppurativa,⁷³ Crohn's disease, rheumatoid arthritis,⁷⁴ pityriasis rubra pilaris, and psoriasis.^{75,76} Finally, there are two cases of patients affected by EP not responding to adalimumab except for injection sites, which were spared. In both cases, the authors suggested that the lipophilic nature of adalimumab may allow it to achieve higher concentrations in subcutaneous fat at the sites of administration, leading to the differential responses seen in injected and non-injected sites resulting in sparing of injected sites despite an erythrodermic flare elsewhere.^{36,77} Patients were successfully switched to secukinumab and to adalimumab plus methotrexate, respectively.^{36,77}

Etanercept

Etanercept is a TNF α inhibitor approved for psoriasis.⁷⁸ Its effectiveness in EP management has been reported in a real-life study enrolling 10 patients (male: 80.0%; mean age: 56.4 \pm 11.7 years) affected by EP [mean Psoriasis Area Severity Index (PASI) at baseline: 39.1 \pm 16.2].¹⁹ After 12 weeks of treatment, 5 (50.0%) patients achieved PASI75 response, as well as 6 (60.0%) subjects reached PASI75 response at week 24.¹⁹ Although two adverse events (AEs) were reported (urinary tract infection and pruritus), none of these led to treatment discontinuation.¹⁹ Moreover, a case of EP successfully treated with etanercept has been reported in a patient receiving concomitant therapy for HCV infection,²⁰ and in a pediatric subject (7 years old) who showed a clinical improvement after 3 months of treatment, without AEs.²¹

Table I Detailed Data on Clinical Studies, Case Series, and Case Reports on Patients Affected by Erythrodermic Psoriasis Treated with Currently Available Biologic Drugs

Drug	Study	Authors	Patients	Age/Sex	Baseline Score	Efficacy	Safety
Anti-TNFα							
Adalimumab	Case Report	Richetta et al ¹⁶	I	48/M	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported
		Wu et al ¹⁷	I	Not reported/M	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported
		Mumoli et al ¹⁸	I	62/M	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported
Etanercept	Real-Life Study	Esposito et al ¹⁹	10	Age: 56.4 \pm 11.7 M: 8 (80.0%) F: 2 (20.0%)	PASI: 39.1 \pm 16.2	5 (50.0%) PASI75 at W12 6 (60.0%) PASI75 at W24	2 (20.0%) AEs 1 (10.0%) urinary tract infection 1 (10.0%) pruritus
	Case Report	Talat et al ²⁰	I	28/M	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported
		Fraga et al ²¹	I	7/M	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported
Certolizumab	Phase III Trial	Okubo et al ²²	Total: 15 - Group A: 8 patients, 400mg Q2W - Group B: 7 patients, 400mg W0/2/4 and 200mg Q2W thereafter	Age: - Group A: 47.8 \pm 11.3 - Group B: 56.0 \pm 8.5 M: 13 (86.7%) F: 2 (13.3%)	Group A: - PASI: 43.7 \pm 17.9 - BSA: 87.1 \pm 6.8 Group B: - PASI: 34.3 \pm 9.2 - BSA: 86.6 \pm 3.6	Group A: - W16: PASI75/90: 5(62.5%)/5(62.5%) Group B: - W16: PASI75/90: 4(57.1%)/4(57.1%)	14 (93.3%) patients reported at least 1 AE, nasopharyngitis was the commonest (7, 46.7%). 1 serious AE (erythema multiforme) 3 AE (erythema multiforme, psoriasis, latent tuberculosis) led to treatment discontinuation.
Infliximab	Case Series	Takahashi et al ²³	7	Pt 1: 39/M Pt 2: 46/F Pt 3: 46/M Pt 4: 33/M Pt 5: 40/F Pt 6: 49/M Pt: 56/M	Not reported	PASI90 at W6	None
		Heikkilä et al ²⁴	4	Pt 1: 16/M Pt 2: 27/M Pt 3: 54M Pt 4: 29/M	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported
	Case Report	Kurokawa et al ²⁵	I	45/M	PASI: 43 BSA: >80	PASI: 5.6 at W13	None
		Tridico et al ²⁶	I	55/M	Not reported	Infliximab effectiveness maintained over time (11 years)	None

(Continued)

Table I (Continued).

Drug	Study	Authors	Patients	Age/Sex	Baseline Score	Efficacy	Safety
Anti-IL17							
Secukinumab	Case Series	Damiani et al ²⁷	13	Age: 40 (range 28–52) M: 9 (69.2%) F: 4 (30.8%)	PASI: not reported	W16: PASI90/100: 5(38.5%)/4(30.8%) W52: PASI90/100: 5(38.5%)/5(38.5%)	AEs: 5 (48.5%), with injection-site pain as the commonest (3, 60.0%)
		Weng et al ²⁸	10	Age: 42.6 ± 11 M: 8 (80.0%) F: 2 (20.0%)	PASI: 32.4 ± 5.7 BSA: 89.0 ± 7.1	W8: PASI75/90/100: 5(50.0%)/2(20.0%)/1(10.0%) W12: PASI75/90/100: 7(70.0%)/4(40.0%)1(10.0%) W16: PASI75/90/100: 7(70.0%)/4(40.0%)2(20.0%) W24: PASI75/90/100: 6(60.0%)/4(30.0%)1(10.0%)	None
		Mateu-Puchades et al ²⁹	6	Pt 1: 54/M Pt 2: 59/M Pt 3: 45/M Pt 4: 36/M Pt 5: 44/M	BSA >85%	W16: PASI90/100: 5(100%)/4(80.0%)	None
		Panda et al ³⁰	6	Pt 1: 40/F Pt 2: 23/M Pt 3: 35/M Pt 4: 40/M Pt 5: 43/F Pt 6: 43/M	Pt 1: PASI: 28 Pt 2: PASI: 32.4 Pt 3: PASI: 24.6 Pt 4: PASI: 28 Pt 5: PASI: 22 Pt 6: PASI: 20.8	Pt 1: PASI100 at W28 Pt 2: PASI100 at W28 Pt 3: PASI100 at W28 Pt 4: PASI100 at W28 Pt 5: PASI100 at W28 Pt 6: PASI100 at W28	None
		Mugheddu et al ³¹	2	Pt 1: 28/M Pt 2: 61/M	Pt 1: PASI:45 Pt 2: PASI:41	Pt 1: PASI100 at W8 Pt 2: PASI100 at W8	None
		Liu et al ³²	2	Pt 1: 50/F Pt 2: 46/M	Pt 1: PASI:40 BSA:100 Pt 2: PASI: 28 BSA: 80	Pt 1: PASI90 at W12 Pt 2: PASI90 at W12	None
	Case Report	Carriero et al ³³	1	72/M	PASI: 60	PASI100 at W16	None
		Lu et al ³⁴	1	70/F	BSA:90	PASI100 at W12	None
		Pizzati et al ³⁵	1	44/M	PASI: 31.5 BSA: 95	PASI100 at W8	None
		Ozcan et al ³⁶	1	31/M	PASI: 38 BSA: 80	PASI75 at W12	None
		Zhao et al ³⁷	1	7/M	PASI: 37.5	PASI100 at W18	None
		Dogra et al ³⁸	1	13/M	PASI: 50	PASI100 at W8	None
		Galluzzo et al ³⁵	1	55/M	PASI: 42	PASI90 at W12	None

Ixekizumab	Phase III Trial	Saeki et al ^{39,40}	8	Age: 50.2 ± 12.9 M: 7 (87.5%) F: 1 (12.5%)	PASI: 42.8 ± 11.6	W12: PASI75/90/100: 8(100%)/5(62.5%)/2(25.0%) W24: PASI75/90/100: 8(100%)/7(87.5%)/1(12.5%) W24: PASI75/90/100: 8(100%)/6(75.0%)/1(12.5%)	7 (87.5%) patients experienced at least 1 AE, with infections as the main one (6, 75.0%).
		Morita et al ⁴¹	5 (4 completed the study)	Age: 42.2 ± 14.4 M: 3 (60.0%) F: 2 (40.0%)	PASI: 41.1 BSA: 87.4 ± 8.0	W12: PASI75/90: 2(50.0%)/1(25.0%) W20: PASI75/90: 3(75.0%)/1(25.0%)	4/5 (80.0%) patients experienced at least 1 AE, leading to treatment discontinuation in 1 case (seizure)
	Case Series	Lo et al ⁴²	9 POST secuk important	Age: 45.9 ± 13.4 M: 7 (77.8%) F: 2 (22.2%)	PASI: 28.1 ± 8.9 BSA: 65.1 ± 22.1	W4: PASI75/90: 2(22.2%)/2(22.2%) W8: PASI75/90: 2(22.2%)/3(33.3%) W12: PASI75/90: 4(44.4%)/1(11.1%)	1(11.1%) injection site reaction
		Trovato et al ⁴³	2	Pt 1: 67/M Pt 2: 55/F	Pt 1: PASI: 41.5 BSA: >80 Pt 2: PASI: 32 BSA: >75	Pt 1: PASI90 at W8 and PASI100 at W104 Pt 2: PASI90 at W6 and PASI100 at W36	None
	Case Report	Megna et al ⁴⁴	1	66/F	PASI: 58 BSA: 95	PASI75 at W2 and PASI100 at W6	None
		Vinaixa Aranzazu et al ⁴⁵	1	55/M	PASI: 30.6 BSA: 68	PASI100 at W12	None
		Pinto-Pulido et al ⁴⁶	1	55/M	PASI: 35 BSA: 75	PASI100 at W8	None
Secukinumab/ Ixekizumab	Head-to-head	Avallone et al ⁴⁷	Secu: 12 Ixe: 3	Secu: 10M (83.3%) Ixe: 0M (0%)	Secu: 21.9 ± 6.2 Ixe: 25 ± 5	Secu: W12: PASI90/PASI100: 7(46.7%)/5(33.3%) W48: PASI90/PASI100: 9(60.0%)/6(40.0%) Ixe: W12: PASI90/PASI100: no cases W48: PASI90/PASI100: no cases	None
	Case Series	Bernardini et al ⁴⁸	Secu: 2 (pt1-Ixe: 3 (pt2-3)	Pt 1: 52/M Pt 2: 30/M Pt 3: 26/M Pt 4: 37/M Pt 5: 54/M	Pt 1: 42 Pt 2: 30 Pt 3: 32 Pt 4: 39 Pt 5: 28	Pt 1: PASI 6 at W52 Pt 2: PASI 5 at W52 Pt 3: PASI 6 at W12 Pt 4: PASI 4 at W4 Pt 5: PASI 4 at W5	None
		Pangilinan et al ⁴⁹	Secu: 1 Ixe: 1	Secu: 31/M Ixe: 60/M	Secu: 24 Ixe: 36	Secu: PASI100 at W4 Ixe: PASI75 at W5	

(Continued)

Table 1 (Continued).

Drug	Study	Authors	Patients	Age/Sex	Baseline Score	Efficacy	Safety
Brodalumab	Case Series	Mota et al ⁵⁰	3	Pt 1: 40/M Pt 2: 59/M Pt 3: 43/M	Pt 1: PASI:48 BSA:100 Pt 2: PASI:43 BSA:90 Pt 3: PASI:31 BSA:90	Pt 1: PASI100 at W14 Pt 2: PASI100 at W8 Pt 3: PASI100 at W8	None
		Megna et al ⁵¹	2	Pt 1: 72/M Pt 2: 42/M	Pt 1: BSA: >90 Pt 2: BSA: >90	Pt 1: PASI100 at W12 Pt 2: PASI90 at W12	None
	Case Report	Bernardini et al ⁵²	1	52/M	PASI: 42 BSA: 90	PASI: 22 at W4 and remaining reduced for 16W	None
Bimekizumab	Case Report	Megna et al ⁵³	1	40/M	PASI: 55 BSA: 90	PASI100 at W4	None
Anti-IL12/23							
Ustekinumab	Multicenter Study	Pescitelli et al ⁵⁴	22	Age: not reported M: 14 (63.6%) F: 8 (36.4%)	PASI: 45.0 ± 7.1	W28: PASI75/90: 19(86.4%)/15(68.2)	None
	Case Series	Wang et al ⁵⁵	8	Age: 41.6 ± 10.5 M: 7 (87.5%) F: 1 (12.5%)	PASI: 34.7 ± 5.3	W12: PASI75/90: 4(50.0%)/1(12.5%) W28: PASI75/90: 4(50.0%)/3(37.5%)	None
		Stinco et al ⁵⁶	3	Pt 1: 66/M Pt 2: 51/M Pt 3: 65/M	Pt 1: PASI:48.8 Pt 2: PASI:57.2 Pt 3: PASI:63	Pt 1: PASI100 at W19 Pt 2: PASI100 at W28 Pt 3: PASI100 at W21	None
		Santos-Juanes et al ⁵⁷	2	Pt 1: 32/F Pt 2: 41/F	Pt 1: PASI:37 Pt 2: PASI:50	Pt 1: PASI90 at W12 Pt 2: PASI90 at W12	None
		Saraceno et al ⁵⁸	2	Pt 1: 59/M Pt 2: 53/F	Pt 1: PASI:59 BSA:100 Pt 2: PASI:40	Pt 1: PASI75 at W12 Pt 2: PASI100 at W12	None
		Kim et al ⁵⁹	2	Pt 1: 42/M Pt 2: 26/M	Pt 1: PASI:64.8 Pt 2: PASI:50.9	Pt 1: PASI:9.6 at W28 Pt 2: PASI75 at W16	None
	Case Report	Castiñeiras et al ⁶⁰	1	47/M	PASI: 45	PASI: 7.4 at W28	None
		Koutsoukou et al ⁶¹	1	60/F	PASI: 69.6	PASI: 2.1. at W52	None
Anti-IL23							
Tildrakizumab	Case Report	Trevisan et al ⁶²	1	56/M	PASI: 40 BSA: 80	PASI100 at W16	None
		Megna et al ⁶³	1	61/M	PASI: 38 BSA: 90	PASI100 at W16	None

Guselkumab	Phase III Trial	Santo et al ⁶⁴	11	Age: 54.6 ± 16.7 M: 10 (90.9%) F: 1 (9.1%)	PASI: 40.9 ± 10.2 BSA: 86.0 ± 5.4	W16: 5 (45.5%), 3 (27.3%) and 2 (18.2%) patients reached a CGI score of "very much improved", "much improved", and "minimally improved", respectively. W52: PASI: 3.9 ± 4.3 W52: BSA: 7.0 ± 6.8	All of the patients experienced at least 1 AE, with nasopharyngitis as the commonest (4, 36.4%).
	Retrospective Study	Chiang et al ⁶⁵	13	Age: 50.5 ± 15.3 M: 12 (92.3%) F: 1 (7.7%)	PASI: 23.8 ± 9.7	W4: PASI75: 2(15.4%) W12: PASI75/90: 5(38.5%)/1(7.7%) W20: PASI75/90: 7(53.8%)/3(23.1%) W28: PASI75/90/100: 6(46.2%)/4(30.8%)/2 (15.4%)	None
	Case Report	Megna et al ⁶⁶	1	38/M	PASI: 48 BSA: 92	PASI100 at W20	None
Risankizumab	Phase III Trial	Yamanaka et al ⁶⁷	9	Age: 40.2 ± 18.8 M: 8 (88.9%) F: 1 (11.1%)	PASI: 52.1 ± 13.6 BSA: 92.4 ± 6.2	W16: 9 (100%) patients reached CGI score of "slightly improved" W16: PASI90: 7 (77.8%) W52: PASI90: 8 (88.9%) W160: PASI90: 7 (77.8%)	Any AE: 7 (77.8%) Serious AE: 2 (22.2%)
	Case Report	Alajlan et al ⁶⁸	1	48/M	BSA: 90	PASI100 at W16	None
Other studies	Retrospective Study	Viguiet et al ⁶⁹	28 (42 flares) Infliximab: 24 Adalimumab: 7 Etanercept: 6 Ustekinumab: 3 Efalizumab: 2	Age: not reported M: 20 (71.4%) F: 8 (28.6%)	BSA > 90	PASI75 at W24: Infliximab: 20% Adalimumab: 60% Etanercept: 50% Ustekinumab: 0% Efalizumab: 0%	Any AE: 14 Serious AE: 12, with bacterial infection as the main one (7, 58.3%). Treatment discontinuation for safety concerns was collected in 19% of cases

Abbreviations: M, Male; F, Female; PASI, Psoriasis Area Severity Index; W, Week; AE, Adverse event; BSA, Body Surface Area; Pt, Patient.

Certolizumab

Certolizumab pegol is currently the only PEGylated anti-TNF α biologic drug approved for psoriasis management.⁷⁹ Its use in EP has been investigated in a 52 week, multicenter, phase III study.²² A total of 15 patients were randomized to receive certolizumab 400 mg every 2 weeks ($n = 8$) or 200 mg every 2 weeks following a loading dose of 400 mg at week 0/2/4 ($n = 7$) for 16 weeks, therefore increasing the dosage to 400 mg or withdrawing the study if patients did not respond to treatment.²² The main outcome was the proportion of patients achieving a Clinical Global Improvement (CGI) of “remission” or “improved.” Secondary endpoints included PASI 75/90 response.²² At week 2, 9/15 (60.0%) patients achieved a CGI response, while all of the subjects reached CGI response at week 16 and 52. PASI75 and PASI90 response were achieved by 9/14 (64.3%) and 9/14 (64.3%) patients at week 16 and by 10/12 (83.3%) and 9/12 (75.0%) subjects at week 52, respectively.²² Moreover, two patients increased their dose during the maintenance period.²² As regards the safety, 14 (93.3%) patients experienced at least one AE, with nasopharyngitis the most common (7, 46.7%).²² Finally, 3 (20.0%) patients with EP discontinued certolizumab for AE: one patient for erythema multiforme, one patient for latent tuberculosis, and one for psoriasis.²²

Infliximab

Infliximab is an anti-TNF α approved for psoriasis management.⁸⁰ As regards EP, few cases of this form of psoriasis successfully treated with infliximab have been described.^{23–26} Among these, Takahashi et al reported the largest case series on seven patients successfully treated with infliximab.²³ All of the patients achieved PASI90 following the third infusion (week 6).²³ Finally, a case of paradoxical EP induced by treatment with infliximab has been described.⁸¹

Brodalumab

Brodalumab is a human monoclonal antibody blocking the interleukin-17 receptor.⁸² Its effectiveness in EP management has been reported in six cases.^{50–52} Among these, Mota et al reported the largest case series involving three patients (mean age: 47.3 ± 10.2 years) affected by EP (mean PASI at baseline: 40.7 ± 8.7) who reached PASI100 response at week 8 (2, 66.7%) and week 14 (1, 33.3%).⁵⁰

Secukinumab

Secukinumab is a selective IL-17A inhibitor.⁸³ Its use in the management of EP has been reported in several case series^{27–32} and case reports.^{33–38,84} However, there are no clinical trials available. The largest case series has been reported by Damiani et al who reported the results of a multi-center, international, retrospective, pilot study enrolling 13 EP patients receiving secukinumab for 52 weeks.²⁷ At week 16, PASI90/100 response was achieved by 5 (38.5%) and 4 (30.8%) patients, respectively, while at week 52 these results were reached by 5 (38.5%) and 5 (38.5%) subjects, respectively.²⁷ Of note, 3 (23.1%) non-responders were collected and switched to ustekinumab 90 mg, obtaining PASI100 response after 24 weeks of treatment.²⁷ As regards safety, AEs were collected in 5 (38.5%) patients, with injection-site pain as the commonest (3, 60.0%).²⁷

Similarly, Weng et al reported the results of a real-life study enrolling 10 patients with a mean PASI of 32.4 ± 5.7 and a mean BSA of 89.0 ± 7.1 .²⁸ At week 8, 75/90/100 responses were achieved by 5(50.0%)/2(20.0%)/1(10.0%) patients, respectively, while 6(60.0%)/4(30.0%)/1(10.0%) subjects reached these scores at week 24.²⁸ No AEs were reported.²⁸ Of interest, two cases of pediatric EP successfully treated with secukinumab have been described.^{37,38}

Ixekizumab

Ixekizumab is an anti-IL17 biologic drug.⁸⁵ Its use in EP management has been reported by clinical trials^{39–41} and real-life experiences.^{42–46}

Saeki et al reported the results of a 24-week, multicenter, phase III study enrolling eight patients (seven male, 87.5%; mean age 50.2 ± 12.9) with EP undergoing treatment with ixekizumab (160 mg at baseline followed by 80 mg every 2 weeks up to week 12 and 80 mg every 4 weeks thereafter).³⁹ At baseline, mean PASI was 42.8 ± 11.6 . A statistically significant improvement was observed, with 8 (100%), 5 (62.5%), and 2 (25.0%) patients achieving PASI75, PASI90, and PASI100 response at week 12, respectively, as well as 8 (100%), 7 (87.5%), and 1 (12.5%) subjects reached these results

at week 24.³⁹ As regards the safety, 7 (87.5%) patients experienced at least 1 AE, with infections as the main one (6, 75.0%).³⁹ Of note, none of the AEs led to treatment discontinuation. The authors also published the results of a 52-weeks extension of this study, reporting a PASI75, PASI90 and PASI100 response in 8 (100%), 6 (75.0%) and 1 (12.5%) patients, respectively.⁴⁰ The effectiveness and safety of ixekizumab in EP management has also been reported in a 20-week, phase III study enrolling five patients (three male, 60.0%; mean age: 42.2 ± 14.4 years) receiving ixekizumab at a dosage of 160 mg at baseline followed by 80 mg every 2 weeks up to week 12 (induction phase), then every 4 weeks up to week 20 (maintenance phase).⁴¹ Globally, 5 (100%) patients completed the induction period and 4 (80.0%) the maintenance phase.⁴¹ At week 12 and week 24, PASI75 response was reached by 2 (50.0%) and 3 (75.0%) patients, respectively.⁴¹ Moreover, 1 (25.0%) subject reached PASI 90 response at week 12 and week 24.⁴¹ As regards safety, no notable AEs were reported, except for a case of convulsive seizure, which led to treatment discontinuation at week 12.⁴¹

These results are in line with several case series and case reports.^{42–46} Of note, Lo et al⁴² reported the results of a case series enrolling nine patients (seven male, 77.8%; mean age: 45.9 ± 13.4 years) with EP previously treated with secukinumab. Globally, PASI75 and PASI90 were reached by 4 (44.4%) and 1 (11.1%) subjects at week 12, respectively.⁴² Finally, cases of EP developed following the discontinuation of ixekizumab have been reported.⁸⁶

Secukinumab Vs Ixekizumab

Although clinical trials investigating the use of these biologics in EP are absent, Avallone et al conducted a real-life head-to-head study between ixekizumab and secukinumab for the management of EP.⁴⁷ A total of 15 patients were enrolled. Of these, 12 (80.0%) and 3 (20.0%) were treated with secukinumab and ixekizumab, respectively.⁴⁷ Mean PASI at baseline was 21.9 ± 6.2 in secukinumab cohort and 25 ± 5 in the ixekizumab one. At W12, 7(46.7%) and 5(33.3%) patients receiving secukinumab achieved PASI90 and PASI100 response as well as 9 (60.0%) and 6 (40.0%) subjects of this cohort reached these responses at week 48.⁴⁷ However, no patients receiving ixekizumab achieved these scores at these timepoints, even if clinical improvement was observed.⁴⁷ These results were also confirmed by a recent case series on five patients receiving ixekizumab ($n = 3$) and secukinumab ($n = 2$).⁴⁸

Finally, two patients with HIV infection and EP successfully treated with ixekizumab and secukinumab, respectively, were reported.⁴⁹

Bimekizumab

Bimekizumab is the latest biologic approved for psoriasis management, acting on both IL17A and IL17F.^{87,88} Since its approval, one case of EP successfully treated with bimekizumab has been reported.⁵³ Of interest, also a case of sub-EP has been described.⁸⁹

Ustekinumab

Ustekinumab is a biologic drug targeting IL12/23 p40 subunit.⁹⁰ Its effectiveness in EP management has been reported by a multicenter study enrolling 22 patients receiving ustekinumab at week 0, 4 and every 12 weeks thereafter.⁵⁴ Of note, 16 (72.7%) patients (weighting >100 kg) received ustekinumab 90 mg, while the remaining received a dosage of 45 mg.⁵⁴ Mean PASI at baseline was 45.0 ± 7.1 .⁵⁴ At week 28, PASI75 and PASI90 response were reached by 19 (86.4%) and 15 (68.2%) patients, respectively, without AEs collected.⁵⁴ There are also several case series^{55–59} and case reports^{60,61} on the role of ustekinumab in EP reporting promising results in terms of efficacy (at least 50% of patients reaching PASI75 response at week 12) with a high safety profile (no AEs collected) in all of the patients ($n = 19$).

Tildrakizumab

Tildrakizumab is a humanized IgG1 monoclonal antibody acting on IL23 p19 approved for the management of moderate-to-severe forms of psoriasis.^{91,92} Although its use in plaque psoriasis has been widely described,^{93,94} data on its effectiveness on EP are scant. Indeed, there are only two case reports describing EP successfully treated with tildrakizumab scheduled at labelled dosage (100 mg at weeks 0, 4, followed by every 12 weeks thereafter).^{62,63}

Guselkumab

Guselkumab is an anti-IL23 monoclonal antibody approved for the management of moderate-to-severe psoriasis.^{95,96} Its promising results in terms of effectiveness and safety shown for the management of plaque psoriasis^{97–99} have also been confirmed in EP. Indeed, a 52-week, phase III trial with the aim of evaluating the efficacy and safety of guselkumab in Japanese patients affected by EP has been conducted.⁶⁴ The main outcome was the achievement of a CGI score of “very much improved”, “much improved”, or “minimally improved” at week 16.⁶⁴ Secondary outcomes included the reduction of PASI and BSA at week 52.⁶⁴ A total of 11 (10 males, 90.9%; mean age: 54.6 ± 16.7 years) patients were enrolled.⁶⁴ Of these, 1 (9.1%) did not complete the study for consent withdrawn.⁶⁴ Guselkumab was scheduled at a dosage of 50 mg at weeks 0 and 4 and every 8 weeks thereafter.⁶⁴ At baseline, mean PASI and BSA were 40.9 ± 10.2 and 86.0 ± 5.4 , respectively.⁶⁴ After 16 weeks of treatment, 5 (45.5%), 3 (27.3%) and 2 (18.2%) patients reached a CGI score of “very much improved”, “much improved”, and “minimally improved”, respectively.⁶⁴ Similarly, PASI and BSA decreased by up to week 52 (PASI: 3.9 ± 4.3 ; BSA: 7.0 ± 6.8).⁶⁴ As regards safety, all of the patients experienced at least 1 AE, with nasopharyngitis as the commonest (4, 36.4%).⁶⁴ No treatment discontinuations for AEs were collected.⁶⁴ These results were confirmed by a retrospective study enrolling 13 patients (12 males, 92.3%; mean age: 50.5 ± 15.3 years) with EP receiving guselkumab 100 mg at baseline, week 4 and every 8 weeks thereafter.⁶⁵ At baseline, mean PASI was 23.8 ± 9.7 . At week 4, 2 (15.4%) patients reached PASI75 response.⁶⁵ At week 28, PASI75/90/100 were achieved by 6 (46.2%), 4 (30.8%) and 2 (15.4%) subjects, respectively. No AEs were collected.⁶⁵

The effectiveness of guselkumab in EP management has also been shown by one case report.⁶⁶ Of note, guselkumab was scheduled at a labelled dosage (100 mg week 0 and week 4, followed by a maintenance dose every 8 weeks).⁶⁶

Risankizumab

Risankizumab is a humanized immunoglobulin G1 monoclonal antibody that acts on the p19 subunit of IL23.^{100,101} Several studies have reported its effectiveness and safety in psoriasis management.^{100,101} As regards EP, the use of risankizumab was investigated in a primary analysis and 180-week follow-up results from the Phase 3, multicenter IMMspire study.⁶⁷ A total of 9 patients with EP were enrolled and randomized to receive risankizumab 150 mg ($n = 4$) or 75 mg ($n = 5$) at week 0 and week 4 and every 12 weeks thereafter through week 160.⁶⁷ At baseline, mean PASI and BSA was 46.7 ± 16.1 and 91.0 ± 7.7 in the risankizumab 75 mg cohort and 58.7 ± 6.8 and 94.3 ± 3.8 in the risankizumab 150 mg group, respectively.⁶⁷ The primary end point was the proportion of patients achieving a clinical response of at least “minimally improved” in the CGI scale.⁶⁷ Secondary outcomes included the proportion of patients reaching PASI90 at week 16, week 52, and through week 180 (the last follow-up visit).⁶⁷ All of the patients achieved the main endpoint, regardless of risankizumab dosage.⁶⁷ Moreover, PASI90 response was reached by 3 (60.0%) and 4 (100%) patients receiving risankizumab 75 mg and 150 mg at week 16, respectively, while 4 (80.0%) and 4 (100%) subjects in these groups reached PASI90 at week 52.⁶⁷ Finally, 3 (60.0%) and 4 (100%) subjects receiving risankizumab 75 mg and 150 mg reached PASI90 at week 160, respectively. As regards the safety, 3 (60.0%) and 4 (100%) patients in risankizumab 75 mg or 150 mg cohort experienced at least one AE. Of these, one for each group was considered serious but not treatment-related: a case of ischemic heart failure in the 75 mg group and urinary calculus in the 150 mg cohort.⁶⁷ A case report evaluate the effectiveness of risankizumab 150 mg for EP management in a real-life setting.⁶⁸ Finally, the pharmacokinetic properties of risankizumab in patients with EP have been investigated.¹⁰²

Other Studies

Currently, there are few studies comparing the effectiveness and safety of biologics in EP management. Viguier et al reported the results of a multicentre national retrospective study enrolling 28 patients affected by EP, representing 42 flares of erythrodermic psoriasis treated with infliximab ($n = 24$), adalimumab ($n = 7$), etanercept ($n = 6$), ustekinumab ($n = 3$), or efalizumab ($n = 2$).⁶⁹ At week 24, PASI75 response was reached by 20%, 60%, and 50% of patients receiving infliximab, adalimumab, and etanercept, respectively, whereas these results were not reported in the other treatment groups.⁶⁹ As regards safety, 12 serious AEs were collected, with bacterial infection as the main one (7, 58.3%).⁶⁹

Treatment discontinuation for safety concerns was collected in 19% of cases.⁶⁹ There is also another head-to-head study, comparing ixekizumab and secukinumab, which has been previously discussed.⁴⁷

Discussion

EP is considered an emergency in dermatology, due to extensive skin involvement, and several complications (fever, pruritus, dehydration, asthenia, arthralgia, lymphadenopathy, electrolytic imbalances, etc.) linked to this condition.¹⁰³ Although the exact pathogenesis of EP is still not fully understood, many factors have been identified as possible triggers for EP onset, such as the abrupt withdrawal of systemic therapy with corticosteroids, infection, physical or emotional stress, and so on.¹⁰³ Near these trigger factors, several biomarkers have been hypothesized to be linked to the onset of EP, including an increased Th2 response, an elevation of IgE serum levels, and higher IL4- and IL-13 levels,^{104,105} supposing an overlap with the immune phenotype of atopic dermatitis.^{106–108} However, a recent study revealed a possible role of tumor necrosis factor-related weak inducer of apoptosis, which may play a role in both EP and psoriasis vulgaris.¹⁰⁹ Due to the rarity of EP to date, updated official guidelines and/or recommendations about EP management are still lacking. Recently, biologic agents deeply changed the management strategy of moderate-to-severe forms of psoriasis,¹¹⁰ resulting in a change of therapeutic goals, from PASI 50 to PASI 100, and leading patients to reach incredible clinical outcomes in a relatively short treatment period.^{110,111} Their safety and efficacy was confirmed also during Covid-19 pandemic period.^{112–115} Of note, even if the use of EP in biologics approved for plaque psoriasis is off label, they appear as efficacious treatments for EP due to their rapidity of action as well as efficacy profile.¹¹⁶ In this scenario, we performed a review of the current literature with the aim of assessing the effectiveness of biologic drugs for the management of EP to a wide clinical perspective on their possible application in this form of psoriasis.

Globally, clinical trials investigating the effectiveness and safety of biologic drugs for EP are scant and limited to certolizumab, ixekizumab, guselkumab, and risankizumab.^{22,39–41,64,67} Even if all these trials are phase III studies, the number of enrolled patients is limited, with the largest cohort (15 patients) reported in the trial investigating the use of certolizumab. Moreover, all the trials have been conducted in Japanese population, highly limiting the generalizability of the results. However, excellent efficacy data were shown with these trials reporting up to 100% and 62.5% of patients reaching PASI75 and PASI90 at week 12, respectively.^{21,39,66,84,85,97} Perhaps, long-term follow-up data are scant except for a phase III trial on risankizumab, which showed that 77.8% of subjects reached PASI90 at week 160.⁶⁶ As regards case reports and case series, most of these regarded patients receiving secukinumab for EP. Globally, there are 27 cases of EP treated with anti-TNF α (adalimumab: 2; etanercept: 12; infliximab: 13), 67 with anti-IL17 (secukinumab: 46; ixekizumab: 14; brodalumab: 6; bimekizumab: 1); 41 with ustekinumab, and 17 with anti-IL23 (tildrakizumab: 2; guselkumab: 14; risankizumab: 1).

Furthermore, head-to-head studies regarding different biologics are scant except for a comparison between secukinumab and ixekizumab, which showed comparable results in terms of effectiveness and safety for both drugs,⁴⁷ and a retrospective study investigating the use of infliximab, adalimumab, etanercept, ustekinumab, and efalizumab, which showed a PASI75 response after 24 weeks of treatment in 20%, 60%, and 50% of patients receiving infliximab, adalimumab, and etanercept, respectively, without similar results in ustekinumab and efalizumab cohort.⁶⁹

Finally, seven cases of paradoxical EP during the use of biologic for psoriasis or other diseases have been reported. Of note, they were only referred to use of anti-TNF α (adalimumab: 6; infliximab: 1).

To sum up, several gaps on the EP knowledge still remain. Indeed, EP pathogenesis is not fully understood as well as data on the use of biologics for EP are scant, making more trials necessary to allow a comparison among them in order to establish new guidelines and treatment algorithm.

Globally, biologic drugs are usually given as first-line therapies for EP, showing impressive clinical improvements, with a safe profile. Our review highlighted that, despite limited, currently available data are promising, suggesting biologics as a useful weapon in EP management. In this scenario, the recent introduction of anti-IL17 and anti-IL23 may open a new era in EP management, guaranteeing fast results and excellent durability over time combined with a favourable safety profile. However, head-to-head studies are required in order to point out which biologic should be used for the right patient at the right moment.

Conclusion

EP is a severe and potential life-threatening form of psoriasis, which requires and is an effective and rapid approach. Our review highlights the currently available data on biologics for EP. Although clinical trials are scant (certolizumab, ixekizumab, guselkumab, and risankizumab), with a reduced number of patients and limited to Japanese population, data from daily clinical practice are increasing, particularly for anti-IL17 and anti-IL23. Certainly, the rarity of the disease is the main challenge for the definition of targeted guidelines. Thus, more studies are required in order to better define the most adequate EP treatment algorithm.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- Griffiths CEM, Armstrong AW, Gudjonsson JE, Barker JNWN. Psoriasis. *Lancet*. 2021;397(10281):1301–1315. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)32549-6
- Gudjonsson JE, Elder JT. Psoriasis: epidemiology. *Clin Dermatol*. 2007;25(6):535–546. doi:10.1016/j.clindermatol.2007.08.007
- Merola JF, Li T, Li WQ, Cho E, Qureshi AA. Prevalence of psoriasis phenotypes among men and women in the USA. *Clin Exp Dermatol*. 2016;41(5):486–489. doi:10.1111/ced.12805
- Shao S, Wang G, Maverakis E, Gudjonsson JE. Targeted treatment for erythrodermic psoriasis: rationale and recent advances. *Drugs*. 2020;80(6):525–534. doi:10.1007/s40265-020-01283-2
- Lo Y, Tsai TF. Updates on the treatment of erythrodermic psoriasis. *Psoriasis*. 2021;11:59–73. doi:10.2147/PTT.S288345
- Yin L, Xu JL, Hu YY, Johnston A, Yin ZQ. Systemic abnormalities of psoriatic patients: a retrospective study. *Clin Cosmet Investig Dermatol*. 2016;9:443–449. doi:10.2147/CCID.S121302
- Rosenbach M, Hsu S, Korman NJ, et al. Treatment of erythrodermic psoriasis: from the medical board of the National Psoriasis Foundation. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2010;62(4):655–662. doi:10.1016/j.jaad.2009.05.048
- Camela E, Potestio L, Fabbrocini G, Megna M. Paradoxical reactions to biologics for psoriasis. *Expert Opin Biol Ther*. 2022;22(12):1435–1437. doi:10.1080/14712598.2022.2153593
- Megna M, Ocampo-Garza SS, Potestio L, et al. New-onset psoriatic arthritis under biologics in psoriasis patients: an increasing challenge? *Biomedicine*. 2021;9(10):64. doi:10.3390/biomedicine9101482
- Megna M, Potestio L, Fabbrocini G, Camela E. Treating psoriasis in the elderly: biologics and small molecules. *Expert Opin Biol Ther*. 2022;1–18. doi:10.1080/14712598.2022.2089020
- Megna M, Camela E, Battista T, et al. Efficacy and safety of biologics and small molecules for psoriasis in pediatric and geriatric populations. Part II: focus on elderly patients. *Expert Opin Drug Saf*. 2023;1–16. doi:10.1080/14740338.2023.2173171
- Megna M, Camela E, Battista T, et al. Efficacy and safety of biologics and small molecules for psoriasis in pediatric and geriatric populations. Part I: focus on pediatric patients. *Expert Opin Drug Saf*. 2023;1–17. doi:10.1080/14740338.2023.2173170
- Dogra S, Mehta H. Biological treatment for erythrodermic psoriasis. *Expert Opin Biol Ther*. 2022;22(12):1531–1543. doi:10.1080/14712598.2022.2128669
- Carrasquillo OY, Pabón-Cartagena G, Falto-Aizpurua LA, et al. Treatment of erythrodermic psoriasis with biologics: a systematic review. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2020;83(1):151–158. doi:10.1016/j.jaad.2020.03.073
- Camela E, Potestio L, Fabbrocini G, Pallotta S, Megna M. The holistic approach to psoriasis patients with comorbidities: the role of investigational drugs. *Expert Opin Investig Drugs*. 2023;1–16. doi:10.1080/13543784.2023.2219387
- Richetta AG, Maiani E, Carlomagno V, et al. Treatment of erythrodermic psoriasis in HCV+ patient with Adalimumab. *Dermatol Ther*. 2009;22(Suppl 1):S16–8. doi:10.1111/j.1529-8019.2009.01266.x
- Wu H, Shen Y, Zhang L, et al. Erythrodermic Psoriasis in a Patient with Plaque Psoriasis Who Presented with Symptoms of Niacin Deficiency: a Special Case Report. *Clin Cosmet Investig Dermatol*. 2022;15:2097–2100. doi:10.2147/CCID.S378591
- Mumoli N, Vitale J, Gambaccini L, Sabatini S, Brondi B, Cei M. Erythrodermic psoriasis. *QJM*. 2014;107(4):315. doi:10.1093/qjmed/hct139
- Esposito M, Mazzotta A, de Felice C, Papoutsaki M, Chimenti S. Treatment of erythrodermic psoriasis with etanercept. *Br J Dermatol*. 2006;155(1):156–159. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2133.2006.07217.x
- Talat H, Wahid Z, Feroz F, Sajid M. Erythrodermic Psoriasis and Hepatitis C Infection Treated with Pegylated Interferon and Anti-TNF α (Etanercept) Therapy. *J Coll Physicians Surg Pak*. 2017;27(9):S77–S79.
- Fraga NA, Paim M, Follador I, Ramos AN. Refractory erythrodermic psoriasis in a child with an excellent outcome by using etanercept. *An Bras Dermatol*. 2011;86(4 Suppl 1):S144–7. doi:10.1590/s0365-05962011000700038
- Okubo Y, Umezawa Y, Sakurai S, Hoshii N. Efficacy and Safety of Certolizumab Pegol in Japanese Patients with Generalized Pustular Psoriasis and Erythrodermic Psoriasis: 52-Week Results. *Dermatol Ther (Heidelb)*. 2022;12(6):1397–1415. doi:10.1007/s13555-022-00741-x
- Takahashi MDF, Castro LGM, Romiti R. Infliximab, as sole or combined therapy, induces rapid clearing of erythrodermic psoriasis. *Br J Dermatol*. 2007;157(4):828–831. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2133.2007.08111.x
- Heikkilä H, Ranki A, Cajanus S, Karvonen SL. Infliximab combined with methotrexate as long-term treatment for erythrodermic psoriasis. *Arch Dermatol*. 2005;141(12):1607–1610. doi:10.1001/archderm.141.12.1607
- Kurokawa R, Hagiwara A, Nijima Y, Kojima K. Computed tomography imaging findings in erythrodermic psoriasis treated with infliximab: a case report. *Radiol case rep*. 2018;13(2):460–463. doi:10.1016/j.radcr.2018.02.005
- Tridico LA, Antonio JR, Mathias CE. Effectiveness and safety of infliximab for 11 years in a patient with erythrodermic psoriasis and psoriatic arthritis. *An Bras Dermatol*. 2017;92(5):743–745. doi:10.1590/abd1806-4841.20176565

27. Damiani G, Pacifico A, Russo F, et al. Use of Secukinumab in a Cohort of Erythrodermic Psoriatic Patients: a Pilot Study. *J Clin Med*. 2019;8:6. doi:10.3390/jcm8060770
28. Weng HJ, Wang TS, Tsai TF. Clinical experience of secukinumab in the treatment of erythrodermic psoriasis: a case series. *Br J Dermatol*. 2018;178(6):1439–1440. doi:10.1111/bjd.16252
29. Mateu-Puchades A, Santos-Alarcón S, Martorell-Calatayud A, Pujol-Marco C, Sánchez-Carazo JL. Erythrodermic psoriasis and secukinumab: our clinical experience. *Dermatol Ther*. 2018;31(4):e12607. doi:10.1111/dth.12607
30. Panda M, Raj C, Panda AK, Debata I. Secukinumab in Erythrodermic Psoriasis: real World Experience of 6 Patients Successfully Treated by Injecting at Unconventional Sites. *Indian J Dermatol*. 2021;66(6):677–680. doi:10.4103/ijd.ijd_221_21
31. Mugheddu C, Atzori L, Lappi A, Pau M, Murgia S, Rongioletti F. Successful Secukinumab treatment of generalized pustular psoriasis and erythrodermic psoriasis. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol*. 2017;31(9):e420–e421. doi:10.1111/jdv.14234
32. Liu LC, Jin XH, Sun C, Xia JX. Two cases of refractory erythrodermic psoriasis effectively treated with secukinumab and a review of the literature. *Dermatol Ther*. 2021;34(2):e14825. doi:10.1111/dth.14825
33. Carriero M. Erythrodermic psoriasis and palmoplantar hyperkeratosis successfully treated with secukinumab: a case report. *Drug Target Insights*. 2022;16:1–5. doi:10.33393/dti.2022.2355
34. Lu J, Tang S, Yu N, Yi X, Li Y. Successful secukinumab treatment of erythrodermic psoriasis and psoriatic arthritis concomitant with severe noninfectious uveitis: a case report. *J Int Med Res*. 2020;48(11):300060520969494. doi:10.1177/0300060520969494
35. Pizzatti L, Mugheddu C, Sanna S, Atzori L, Rongioletti F. Erythrodermic psoriasis in a dialyzed patient successfully treated with Secukinumab. *Dermatol Ther*. 2020;33(3):e13348. doi:10.1111/dth.13348
36. Ozcan I, Karadag AS, Ceren E, Demirci B, Cebeci F. A case of erythrodermic psoriasis in which Adalimumab injection sites are preserved. *Dermatol Ther*. 2021;34(2):e14865. doi:10.1111/dth.14865
37. Zhao Z, Zhang X, Wang R, Wang Y, Gong L, Li C. Vaccine-induced erythrodermic psoriasis in a child successfully treated with secukinumab: a case report and brief literature review. *Dermatol Ther*. 2022;35(9):e15684. doi:10.1111/dth.15684
38. Dogra S, Bishnoi A, Narang T, Handa S. Long-term remission induced by secukinumab in a 13-year-old boy having recalcitrant chronic erythrodermic psoriasis. *Dermatol Ther*. 2018;31(4):e12611. doi:10.1111/dth.12611
39. Saeki H, Nakagawa H, Ishii T, et al. Efficacy and safety of open-label ixekizumab treatment in Japanese patients with moderate-to-severe plaque psoriasis, erythrodermic psoriasis and generalized pustular psoriasis. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol*. 2015;29(6):1148–1155. doi:10.1111/jdv.12773
40. Saeki H, Nakagawa H, Nakajo K, et al. Efficacy and safety of ixekizumab treatment for Japanese patients with moderate to severe plaque psoriasis, erythrodermic psoriasis and generalized pustular psoriasis: results from a 52-week, open-label, phase 3 study (UNCOVER-J). *J Dermatol*. 2017;44(4):355–362. doi:10.1111/1346-8138.13622
41. Morita A, Okubo Y, Morisaki Y, Torisu-Itakura H. Ixekizumab 80 mg Every 2 Weeks Treatment Beyond Week 12 for Japanese Patients with Generalized Pustular Psoriasis and Erythrodermic Psoriasis. *Dermatol Ther (Heideib)*. 2022;12(2):481–494. doi:10.1007/s13555-021-00666-x
42. Lo Y, Tsai TF. Clinical experience of ixekizumab in the treatment of patients with history of chronic erythrodermic psoriasis who failed secukinumab: a case series. *Br J Dermatol*. 2019;181(5):1106–1107. doi:10.1111/bjd.18174
43. Trovato E, Orsini C, Russo F, Cortonesi G, Rubegni P. Ixekizumab as treatment of erythrodermic psoriasis. *Dermatol Ther*. 2021;34(2):e14868. doi:10.1111/dth.14868
44. Megna M, Gallo L, Balato N, Balato A. A case of erythrodermic psoriasis successfully treated with ixekizumab. *Dermatol Ther*. 2019;32(2):e12825. doi:10.1111/dth.12825
45. Vinaixa Aranzazu A, Morillas-Lahuerta V. Ixekizumab for the treatment of erythrodermic psoriasis triggered by durvalumab-tremelimumab in a cancer patient. *Eur J Dermatol*. 2021;31(4):564–565. doi:10.1684/ejd.2021.4087
46. Pinto-Pulido EL, Polo-Rodríguez I, González-Cañete M, García-Verdú E, Piteiro-Bermejo AB, Medina-Montalvo S. Ixekizumab treatment for drug-induced erythrodermic psoriasis. *Dermatol Ther*. 2022;35(11):e15863. doi:10.1111/dth.15863
47. Avallone G, Cariti C, Dapavo P, et al. Real-life comparison between secukinumab and ixekizumab in the treatment of pustular and erythrodermic psoriasis. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol*. 2022;36(7):e574–e576. doi:10.1111/jdv.18069
48. Bernardini N, Skroza N, Proietti I, et al. Erythrodermic Psoriasis Successfully Treated with Anti IL-17: a Case Series. *Acta Dermatovenereol Croat*. 2021;29(4):191–195.
49. Pangilinan MCG, Sermswan P, Asawanonda P. Use of Anti-IL-17 Monoclonal Antibodies in HIV Patients with Erythrodermic Psoriasis. *Case Rep Dermatol*. 2020;12(2):132–137. doi:10.1159/000508781
50. Mota F, Mendes-Bastos P. Erythrodermic Psoriasis Successfully Treated With Brodalumab: a Case Series. *Actas Dermosifiliogr*. 2023. doi:10.1016/j.ad.2022.10.048
51. Megna M, Fabbrocini G, Ferrillo M, Cinelli E. Erythrodermic psoriasis successfully and rapidly treated with brodalumab: report of two cases. *Dermatol Ther*. 2020;33(6):e14351. doi:10.1111/dth.14351
52. Bernardini N, Skroza N, Tolino E, et al. Recurrent erythrodermic psoriasis and polycythemia successfully treated with brodalumab. *Dermatol Ther*. 2020;33(6):e14338. doi:10.1111/dth.14338
53. Megna M, Battista T, Potestio L, et al. A case of erythrodermic psoriasis rapidly and successfully treated with Bimekizumab. *J Cosmet Dermatol*. 2023;22(3):1146–1148. doi:10.1111/jocd.15543
54. Pescitelli L, Dini V, Gisondi P, et al. Erythrodermic psoriasis treated with ustekinumab: an Italian multicenter retrospective analysis. *J Dermatol Sci*. 2015;78(2):149–151. doi:10.1016/j.jdermsci.2015.01.005
55. Wang TS, Tsai TF. Clinical experience of ustekinumab in the treatment of erythrodermic psoriasis: a case series. *J Dermatol*. 2011;38(11):1096–1099. doi:10.1111/j.1346-8138.2011.01224.x
56. Stinco G, Piccirillo A, Errichetti E, Bergamo S, Patrone P. Treatment of recalcitrant erythrodermic psoriasis with ustekinumab. *Eur J Dermatol*. 2014;24(3):387–390. doi:10.1684/ejd.2014.2325
57. Santos-Juanes J, Coto-Segura P, Mas-Vidal A, Galache Osuna C. Ustekinumab induces rapid clearing of erythrodermic psoriasis after failure of antitumour necrosis factor therapies. *Br J Dermatol*. 2010;162(5):1144–1146. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2133.2010.09669.x
58. Saraceno R, Talamonti M, Galluzzo M, Chiricozzi A, Costanzo A, Chimenti S. Ustekinumab treatment of erythrodermic psoriasis occurring after physical stress: a report of two cases. *Case Rep Dermatol*. 2013;5(3):254–259. doi:10.1159/000348645

59. Kim YS, Kim HJ, Lee S, Park YL. Erythrodermic Psoriasis Improved by Ustekinumab: a Report of Two Cases. *Ann Dermatol*. 2016;28(1):121–122. doi:10.5021/ad.2016.28.1.121
60. Castiñeiras I, Fernández-Díaz L, Juárez Y, Lueiro M. Sustained efficacy of ustekinumab in refractory erythrodermic psoriasis after failure of antitumor necrosis factor therapies. *J Dermatol*. 2012;39(8):730–731. doi:10.1111/j.1346-8138.2011.01499.x
61. Koutsoukou XA, Papadavid E, Theodoropoulos K, Rigopoulos D. Ustekinumab in severe complicated erythrodermic psoriasis: rapid clearing, safety, and sustained remission. *Dermatol Ther*. 2014;27(5):257–259. doi:10.1111/dth.12131
62. Trevisan G, Germi L, Naldi L. Erythrodermic psoriasis improved by Tildrakizumab. *Dermatology Rep*. 2022;14(4):9448. doi:10.4081/dr.2022.9448
63. Megna M, Potestio L, Fabbrocini G, Cinelli E. Tildrakizumab: a new therapeutic option for erythrodermic psoriasis? *Dermatol Ther*. 2021; e15030. doi:10.1111/dth.15030
64. Sano S, Kubo H, Morishima H, Goto R, Zheng R, Nakagawa H. Guselkumab, a human interleukin-23 monoclonal antibody in Japanese patients with generalized pustular psoriasis and erythrodermic psoriasis: efficacy and safety analyses of a 52-week, phase 3, multicenter, open-label study. *J Dermatol*. 2018;45(5):529–539. doi:10.1111/1346-8138.14294
65. Chiang CY, Tsai TF. Treatment Response of Patients with Erythrodermic Psoriasis after Switching to Guselkumab. *Dermatol Ther (Heidelb)*. 2021;11(1):301–306. doi:10.1007/s13555-020-00480-x
66. Megna M, Ruggiero A, Camela E, Fabbrocini G, Marasca C. A case of erythrodermic psoriasis successfully treated with guselkumab. *Dermatol Ther*. 2020;33(2):e13238. doi:10.1111/dth.13238
67. Yamanaka K, Okubo Y, Yasuda I, Saito N, Messina I, Morita A. Efficacy and safety of risankizumab in Japanese patients with generalized pustular psoriasis or erythrodermic psoriasis: primary analysis and 180-week follow-up results from the phase 3, multicenter IMMspire study. *J Dermatol*. 2023;50(2):195–202. doi:10.1111/1346-8138.16667
68. Alajlan A, Madani A, Qadumi TA, Aljaloud A, Alessa M. Erythrodermic Psoriasis Managed with Risankizumab. *Case Rep Dermatol*. 2022;14(2):219–224. doi:10.1159/000525774
69. Viguier M, Pagès C, Aubin F, et al. Efficacy and safety of biologics in erythrodermic psoriasis: a multicentre, retrospective study. *Br J Dermatol*. 2012;167(2):417–423. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2133.2012.10940.x
70. Billi AC, Gudjonsson JE. Adalimumab in Psoriasis: how Much Is Enough? *J Invest Dermatol*. 2019;139(1):19–22. doi:10.1016/j.jid.2018.08.012
71. Megna M, Villani A, Potestio L, Camela E, Fabbrocini G, Ocampo-Garza SS. Adalimumab biosimilar in a pediatric patient: clinical and in vivo reflectance confocal microscopy evaluation. *Dermatol Ther*. 2022;35(9):e15679. doi:10.1111/dth.15679
72. Tichy M. Arthropathic psoriasis complicated by a paradoxical reaction in the form of erythrodermic psoriasis following Adalimumab and by an allergic reaction following infliximab which was successfully managed with secukinumab. *Postep Dermatol i Alergol*. 2019;36(4):495–497. doi:10.5114/ada.2019.87454
73. Benhadou F, Hellgren G, Willaert F, Del Marmol V. Acute Erythroderma in a Patient Receiving TNF- α -Blocking Therapy for Hidradenitis Suppurativa. *Case Rep Dermatol*. 2018;10(1):7–12. doi:10.1159/000485911
74. Schmidt E, Wetter DA, Ferguson SB, Pittelkow MR. Psoriasis and palmoplantar pustulosis associated with tumor necrosis factor- α inhibitors: the Mayo Clinic experience, 1998 to 2010. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2012;67(5):e179–85. doi:10.1016/j.jaad.2011.05.038
75. Goiriz R, Daudén E, Pérez-Gala S, Guhl G, García-Díez A. Flare and change of psoriasis morphology during the course of treatment with tumour necrosis factor blockers. *Clin Exp Dermatol*. 2007;32(2):176–179. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2230.2006.02315.x
76. Newsheer S, Kohorst JJ, El-Azhary RA. Adalimumab-induced erythrodermic reactions. *Int J Dermatol*. 2019;58(10):e204–e206. doi:10.1111/ijd.14503
77. Kapoor DM, Tan KW. Erythrodermic psoriasis peculiarly sparing anti-TNF injection sites in a patient with secondary loss of efficacy. *Dermatol Ther*. 2017;30:6. doi:10.1111/dth.12551
78. Prinz JC, Puig L, Girolomoni G. Treatment of psoriasis with etanercept: the typical patient profile. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol*. 2016;30(7):1092–1099. doi:10.1111/jdv.13662
79. Campanati A, Benfaremo D, Luchetti MM, Ganzetti G, Gabrielli A, Offidani A. Certolizumab pegol for the treatment of psoriasis. *Expert Opin Biol Ther*. 2017;17(3):387–394. doi:10.1080/14712598.2017.1283401
80. Subedi S, Gong Y, Chen Y, Shi Y. Infliximab and biosimilar infliximab in psoriasis: efficacy, loss of efficacy, and adverse events. *Drug Des Devel Ther*. 2019;13:2491–2502. doi:10.2147/DDDT.S200147
81. Bruzzese V, Pepe J. Efficacy of cyclosporine in the treatment of a case of infliximab-induced erythrodermic psoriasis. *Int J Immunopathol Pharmacol*. 2009;22(1):235–238. doi:10.1177/039463200902200126
82. Megna M, Potestio L, Camela E, Fabbrocini G, Ruggiero A. Ixekizumab and brodalumab indirect comparison in the treatment of moderate to severe psoriasis: results from an Italian single-center retrospective study in a real-life setting. *Dermatol Ther*. 2022;e15667. doi:10.1111/dth.15667
83. Martora F, Megna M, Battista T, et al. Adalimumab, Ustekinumab, and Secukinumab in the Management of Hidradenitis Suppurativa: a Review of the Real-Life Experience. *Clin Cosmet Investig Dermatol*. 2023;16:135–148. doi:10.2147/CCID.S391356
84. Galluzzo M, D'Adamio S, Campione E, Mazzilli S, Bianchi L, Talamonti M. A clinical case of severe disease burden: an erythrodermic psoriatic patient treated with secukinumab. *J Dermatolog Treat*. 2018;1–11. doi:10.1080/09546634.2018.1524818
85. Marasca C, Fornaro L, Martora F, Picone V, Fabbrocini G, Megna M. Onset of vitiligo in a psoriasis patient on ixekizumab. *Dermatol Ther*. 2021;34(5):e15102. doi:10.1111/dth.15102
86. Potter KA, Motaparthy K, Schoch JJ. Erythrodermic psoriasis after discontinuation of ixekizumab. *JAAD Case Rep*. 2018;4(1):22–23. doi:10.1016/j.jder.2017.06.026
87. Ruggiero A, Potestio L, Camela E, Fabbrocini G, Megna M. Bimekizumab for the Treatment of Psoriasis: a Review of the Current Knowledge. *Psoriasis*. 2022;12:127–137. doi:10.2147/PTT.S367744
88. Ruggiero A, Potestio L, Martora F, Villani A, Comune R, Megna M. Bimekizumab treatment in patients with moderate to severe plaque psoriasis: a drug safety evaluation. *Expert Opin Drug Saf*. 2023. doi:10.1080/14740338.2023.2218086
89. Valenti M, Gargiulo L, Ibba L, Pavia G, Narcisi A, Costanzo A. Sub-erythrodermic psoriasis successfully treated with bimekizumab: a case report. *Dermatol Ther*. 2022;35(12):e15952. doi:10.1111/dth.15952
90. Yiu ZZ, Warren RB. Ustekinumab for the treatment of psoriasis: an evidence update. *Semin Cutan Med Surg*. 2018;37(3):143–147. doi:10.12788/j.sder.2018.040

91. Ruggiero A, Camela E, Potestio L, Fabbrocini G, Megna M. Drug safety evaluation of tildrakizumab for psoriasis: a review of the current knowledge. *Expert Opin Drug Saf.* **2022**;21(12):1445–1451. doi:10.1080/14740338.2022.2160447
92. Potestio L, Piscitelli I, Fabbrocini G, Martora F, Ruggiero A. Efficacy and Safety of Tildrakizumab in a Patient with Chronic HBV Infection. *Clin Cosmet Investig Dermatol.* **2023**;16:369–373. doi:10.2147/CCID.S403294
93. Ruggiero A, Fabbrocini G, Cacciapuoli S, Potestio L, Gallo L, Megna M. Tildrakizumab for the Treatment of Moderate-to-Severe Psoriasis: results from 52 Weeks Real-Life Retrospective Study. *Clin Cosmet Investig Dermatol.* **2023**;16:529–536. doi:10.2147/CCID.S402183
94. Ruggiero A, Potestio L, Cacciapuoli S, et al. Tildrakizumab for the treatment of moderate to severe psoriasis: results from a single center preliminary real-life study. *Dermatol Ther.* **2022**;35(12):e15941. doi:10.1111/dth.15941
95. Megna M, Tommasino N, Potestio L, et al. Real-world practice indirect comparison between guselkumab, risankizumab, and tildrakizumab: results from an Italian 28-week retrospective study. *J Dermatolog Treat.* **2022**;1–8. doi:10.1080/09546634.2022.2081655
96. Megna M, Potestio L, Ruggiero A, Camela E, Fabbrocini G. Guselkumab is efficacious and safe in psoriasis patients who failed anti-IL17: a 52-week real-life study. *J Dermatolog Treat.* **2022**;1–18. doi:10.1080/09546634.2022.2036674
97. Megna M, Potestio L, Fabbrocini G, Ruggiero A. Long-Term Efficacy and Safety of Guselkumab for Moderate to Severe Psoriasis: a 3-Year Real-Life Retrospective Study. *Psoriasis.* **2022**;12:205–212. doi:10.2147/PTT.S372262
98. Megna M, Marano L, Camela E, et al. A case of severe psoriatic arthritis with hands flexion contracture and palmar psoriasis successfully treated with guselkumab. *Dermatol Ther.* **2022**;35(10):e15766. doi:10.1111/dth.15766
99. Ruggiero A, Picone V, Martora F, Fabbrocini G, Megna M. Guselkumab, Risankizumab, and Tildrakizumab in the Management of Psoriasis: a Review of the Real-World Evidence. *Clin Cosmet Investig Dermatol.* **2022**;15:1649–1658. doi:10.2147/CCID.S364640
100. Megna M, Potestio L, Ruggiero A, Camela E, Fabbrocini G. Risankizumab treatment in psoriasis patients who failed anti-IL17: a 52-week real-life study. *Dermatol Ther.* **2022**;35(7):e15524. doi:10.1111/dth.15524
101. Megna M, Ruggiero A, Battista T, Marano L, Cacciapuoli S, Potestio L. Long-Term Efficacy and Safety of Risankizumab for Moderate to Severe Psoriasis: a 2-Year Real-Life Retrospective Study. *J Clin Med.* **2023**;12:9. doi:10.3390/jcm12093233
102. Khatri A, Eckert D, Oberoi R, et al. Pharmacokinetics of Risankizumab in Asian Healthy Subjects and Patients With Moderate to Severe Plaque Psoriasis, Generalized Pustular Psoriasis, and Erythrodermic Psoriasis. *J Clin Pharmacol.* **2019**;59(12):1656–1668. doi:10.1002/jcph.1473
103. Lo Y, Chiu HY, Tsai TF. Clinical Features and Genetic Polymorphism in Chinese Patients with Erythrodermic Psoriasis in a Single Dermatologic Clinic. *Mol Diagn Ther.* **2020**;24(1):85–93. doi:10.1007/s40291-019-00441-x
104. Li LF, Sujan SA, Yang H, Wang WH. Serum immunoglobulins in psoriatic erythroderma. *Clin Exp Dermatol.* **2005**;30(2):125–127. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2230.2004.01717.x
105. Zhang P, Chen HX, Duan YQ, et al. Analysis of Th1/Th2 response pattern for erythrodermic psoriasis. *J Huazhong Univ Sci Technol Med Sci.* **2014**;34(4):596–601. doi:10.1007/s11596-014-1322-0
106. Napolitano M, Fabbrocini G, Genco L, Martora F, Potestio L, Patruno C. Rapid improvement in pruritus in atopic dermatitis patients treated with upadacitinib: a real-life experience. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol.* **2022**;36(9):1497–1498. doi:10.1111/jdv.18137
107. Napolitano M, Maffei M, Patruno C, et al. Dupilumab effectiveness for the treatment of patients with concomitant atopic dermatitis and chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyposis. *Dermatol Ther.* **2021**;e15120. doi:10.1111/dth.15120
108. Patruno C, Potestio L, Scalvenzi M, et al. Dupilumab for the treatment of adult atopic dermatitis in special populations. *J Dermatolog Treat.* **2022**;1–6. doi:10.1080/09546634.2022.2102121
109. Wang H, Wang S, Li L, et al. Involvement of the cytokine TWEAK in the pathogenesis of psoriasis vulgaris, pustular psoriasis, and erythrodermic psoriasis. *Cytokine.* **2021**;138:155391. doi:10.1016/j.cyto.2020.155391
110. Camela E, Potestio L, Fabbrocini G, Ruggiero A, Megna M. New frontiers in personalized medicine in psoriasis. *Expert Opin Biol Ther.* **2022**;1–3. doi:10.1080/14712598.2022.2113872
111. Megna M, Potestio L, Battista T, et al. Immune response to Covid-19 mRNA vaccination in psoriasis patients undergoing treatment with biologics. *Clin Exp Dermatol.* **2022**. doi:10.1111/ced.15395
112. Potestio L, Villani A, Fabbrocini G, Martora F. Cutaneous reactions following booster dose of COVID-19 mRNA vaccination: what we should know? *J Cosmet Dermatol.* **2022**. doi:10.1111/jocd.15331
113. De Lucia M, Potestio L, Costanzo L, Fabbrocini G, Gallo L. Scabies outbreak during COVID-19: an Italian experience. *Int J Dermatol.* **2021**;60(10):1307–1308. doi:10.1111/ijd.15809
114. Marasca C, Annunziata MC, Camela E, et al. Tele dermatology and Inflammatory Skin Conditions during COVID-19 Era: new Perspectives and Applications. *J Clin Med.* **2022**;11:6. doi:10.3390/jcm11061511
115. Martora F, Villani A, Marasca C, Fabbrocini G, Potestio L. Skin reaction after SARS-CoV-2 vaccines Reply to “cutaneous adverse reactions following SARS-CoV-2 vaccine booster dose: a real-life multicentre experience”. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol.* **2023**;37(1):e43–e44. doi:10.1111/jdv.18531
116. Stinco G, Errichetti E. Erythrodermic psoriasis: current and future role of biologics. *BioDrugs.* **2015**;29(2):91–101. doi:10.1007/s40259-015-0119-4

Clinical, Cosmetic and Investigational Dermatology

Dovepress

Publish your work in this journal

Clinical, Cosmetic and Investigational Dermatology is an international, peer-reviewed, open access, online journal that focuses on the latest clinical and experimental research in all aspects of skin disease and cosmetic interventions. This journal is indexed on CAS. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/clinical-cosmetic-and-investigational-dermatology-journal>