ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Impact of Carbapenem Heteroresistance Among Multidrug-Resistant ESBL/AmpC-Producing Klebsiella pneumoniae Clinical Isolates on Antibiotic Treatment in Experimentally Infected Mice

Yilin Xiong^{1,*} Yuqiao Han 1.* Zinan Zhao^{1,*} Wenting Gao² Yong Ma² Shiyu Jiang Mengyao Wang¹ Qingqing Zhang³ Yun Zhou⁴ Yang Chen D

¹Department of Biotechnology, College of Basic Medical Sciences, Dalian Medical University, Dalian, People's Republic of China; ²Institute of Genome Engineered Animal Models for Human Disease, Dalian Medical University, Dalian, People's Republic of China; ³Laboratory of Pathogenic Biology, College of Basic Medical Sciences, Dalian Medical University, Dalian, People's Republic of China; ⁴Department of Clinical Nutrition, Second Affiliated Hospital of Dalian Medical University, Dalian, People's Republic of China

*These authors contributed equally to this work

Correspondence: Yang Chen Department of Biotechnology, College of Basic Medical Sciences, Dalian Medical University, 9 West Section of Lvshun South Road, Dalian, 116044, People's Republic of China Tel/Fax +86 411 86110350 Email yangchen@dmu.edu.cn

Yun Zhou

Department of Clinical Nutrition, Second Affiliated Hospital of Dalian Medical University, 467 Zhongshan Road, Dalian, 116023, People's Republic of China Tel +86 411 84690722 Fax +86 411 84672130 Email dmu_yunzhou@yeah.net

Purpose: Antibiotic resistance is a growing health crisis that is further complicated by treatment failures caused by bacteria that exhibit heterogeneous susceptibility to antibiotics. The aim of this study was to describe imipenem (IPM)-heteroresistant strains among multidrug-resistant (MDR) ESBL/AmpC-producing Klebsiella pneumoniae clinical isolates, investigate their molecular phenotypic characteristics, and elucidate the outcome of antibiotic treatment in mice infected with the heteroresistant isolates.

Materials and Methods: Antimicrobial susceptibility of K. pneumoniae isolates was determined by the disk diffusion and E-test methods. Heteroresistance to IPM was confirmed by population analysis profile (PAP) assays. PCR and sequencing were employed to detect MDR determinants. Molecular differences between the susceptible and resistant subpopulations were evaluated by sequencing and quantitative real-time reverse transcription PCR (qRT-PCR) analysis. The effect of the carbapenem-heteroresistant strains on antibiotic treatment was assessed using a mouse model of peritonitis with heteroresistant K. pneumoniae and subsequent treatment with IPM.

Results: In total, 37 MDR ESBL/AmpC-producing clinical isolates of K. pneumoniae were identified between September 2018 and December 2019. These strains were notably resistant to conventional antimicrobials other than carbapenems. Among the isolates, three strains exhibited heteroresistance to IPM and carried several ESBL and/or AmpC genes. Mice infected with a lethal dose of any of the three heteroresistant isolates were unable to survive in the presence of IPM treatment, as the percentage of the IPM-resistant subpopulation of each strain was increased in the peritoneum of these mice at 24 h after infection. The resistant subpopulation of the strains presented pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) profiles that were identical to those of the susceptible subpopulation, but ompK36 porin showed a reduction in gene expression (0.09- to 0.50-fold) in the resistant subpopulation.

Conclusion: Carbapenem-heteroresistant strains were present among the MDR K. pneumoniae isolates producing ESBL/AmpC β-lactamases, and these heteroresistant strains failed IPM therapy in experimentally infected mice.

Keywords: Enterobacteriaceae, imipenem, heterogeneous susceptibility, treatment failure, OmpK porin, in vivo

Introduction

Antibiotic resistance is a major public health threat, and it is predicted to cause 10 million annual deaths worldwide by 2050.¹ Klebsiella pneumoniae is widely

Infection and Drug Resistance 2021:14 5639-5650 by and incorporate the Creative Commons Attribution – Non Commercial (unported, v3.0) License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/). By accessing the work you hereby accept the Terms. Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted without any further permission from Dove Medical Press Limited, provided the work is properly attributed. For permission for commercial use of this work, please see paragraphs 4.2 and 5 of our Terms (https://www.dovepress.com/terms.php). distributed in the environment and is increasingly reported as a cause of nosocomial infections in immunocompromised individuals, including urinary tract, bloodstream, and soft tissue infections.^{2,3} *K. pneumoniae* infections have become progressively more difficult to treat due to development of multi-resistance to antibiotics, including β -lactam antibiotics. The most common cause of *K. pneumoniae* resistance to broad-spectrum β -lactam antibiotics is the production of extended-spectrum β lactamases (ESBLs) and AmpC β -lactamase.⁴ Infections caused by multidrug-resistant (MDR) *Enterobacteriaceae*, including *K. pneumoniae*, are associated with increased morbidity, mortality, long hospital stays, and high healthcare costs.^{5,6}

Carbapenems, a class of antibiotics that include imipenem (IPM) and meropenem (MEM), are used widely for the treatment of serious infections involving MDR bacteria.⁷ Carbapenems are effective against *K. pneumoniae*, including strains that produce ESBLs or AmpC cephalosporinases. However, carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* (CRE), such as KPC or NDM-1 β -lactamase-producing *K. pneumoniae*, has emerged in various parts of the world in the last decade.^{8–10} The rate of carbapenem resistance among *K. pneumoniae* in China increased from below 5% in 1998–2012 to 34.9% in 2013–2017.¹¹

In *K. pneumoniae*, the predominant mechanism responsible for carbapenem resistance is the production of carbapenem-hydrolysing β -lactamases such as KPC (*Klebsiella pneumoniae* carbapenemase) (Ambler class A), MBLs (Metallo- β -Lactamases) (Ambler class B), and OXA-48-like (Ambler class D). In addition, nonspecific multidrug-resistance mechanisms, such as the production of an ESBL and/or plasmid-borne AmpC β -lactamases associated with a decrease in permeability of the outer membrane (especially through alteration of OmpK35 and OmpK36 porins) and overexpression of efflux pumps, can result in carbapenem resistance.^{12–14}

The emergence of carbapenem-resistant strains limits treatment options for infections. Moreover, the treatment of some bacterial infections is further complicated by the failure of antibiotic therapy in strains that are classified as heteroresistant.^{15,16} The concept of heteroresistance describes the heterogeneous susceptibility of a microorganism population to an antimicrobial drug, meaning that some subpopulations may be resistant to the antimicrobial, while others are susceptible.¹⁷ Previous studies have shown that heteroresistant *Enterobacteriaceae* misclassified as colistin-susceptible by current diagnostic tests, may cause in vivo colistin treatment failure.^{18,19} Carbapenem-heteroresistant strains of *K. pneumoniae* have been reported in clinical isolates, but their effect on the outcome of infection and on in vivo antibiotic treatment has yet to be elucidated.²⁰ This study describes the identification of three MDR ESBL/AmpC-producing isolates of *K. pneumoniae* that exhibit IPM heteroresistance. The outcome of antibiotic treatment in a mouse model infected with these heteroresistant isolates was also determined.

Materials and Methods

Bacterial Strains and Phenotypic Assays

K. pneumoniae clinical isolates were obtained from blood samples of different patients in the university-affiliated hospital in Dalian, a 2350-bed tertiary-care hospital with approximately 200,000 patient visits per year, from September 2018 to December 2019. MicroScan WalkAway 96 Plus (Siemens AG, Munich, Germany) was used to confirm bacterial identification and initial susceptibility testing. MDR K. pneumoniae isolates (non-susceptibility to at least one agent in three or more antibiotic categories)²¹ and those susceptible to carbapenems (IPM, MEM and ertapenem [ETP]) were included. Phenotypic detection of ESBLs was performed by the double disk synergy test, as guided by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI).²² Isolates showing a negative-ESBL phenotype were assayed production by three-dimensional test.²³ for AmpC Escherichia coli ATCC25922 and K. pneumoniae ATCC13883 (American Culture Collection, Type Manassas, VA, USA) were used as reference strains.

Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing

Isolates of K. pneumoniae showing suspected MDR in the MicroScan system and exhibiting ESBL and/or AmpC production were tested further by the Kirby-Bauer disk diffusion method. The tests were performed according to the CLSI guidelines for the following antimicrobials (Oxoid, Hampshire, England):²² cefotaxime (CTX, 30 μg), ceftazidime (CAZ, 30 μg), cefepime (FEP, 30 μg), cefoxitin (FOX, 30 µg), aztreonam (ATM, 30 µg), IPM (10 µg), MEM (10 µg), ETP (10 µg), gentamicin (GEN, 5 μg), levofloxacin (LEV, 5 μg), ciprofloxacin (CIP, 5 μg) and amikacin (AMK, 30 µg). The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) against carbapenems (IPM, MEM, and ETP) was determined using E-test (bioMérieux, Marcy l'Etoile, France) or the standard microdilution broth method. E. coli ATCC25922 served as the control strain for susceptibility testing.

Molecular Detection of ESBL, AmpC Genes, and Other Resistance Determinants

Total DNA preparations were obtained by thermolysis of the isolates.²⁴ Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was performed with previously published primers to amplify the resistance determinants including ESBL genes (blaTEM, bla_{SHV} , bla_{CTX-M} , and bla_{OXA}),²⁵ AmpC β -lactamase genes (bla_{DHA} , bla_{CIT} [bla_{CMY}], bla_{ACC} , bla_{EBC} and $bla_{\rm FOX}$),²⁴ and carbapenemases genes ($bla_{\rm KPC}$, $bla_{\rm VIM}$, bla_{IMP}, bla_{NDM}, and bla_{OXA-48}. Primers used are listed in Supplementary Table 1. In addition, 16S-RMTaseencoding genes involved in aminoglycoside resistance (armA, rmtB, and rmtC) and plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance (PMQR) genes (qnrA, qnrB, qnrS, qepA, and aac(6')-Ib-cr) were investigated.^{26,27} PCR products were analyzed by electrophoresis in 1.5% agarose gels. Positive and negative controls were included in all PCR assays. Positive amplicons were sequenced and aligned with subtypes of β-lactamase genes by BLAST (http://blast.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov).

Multilocus Sequence Typing (MLST)

MLST was performed on all MDR *K. pneumoniae* isolates by amplifying seven standard housekeeping loci (*gapA*, *infB*, *mdh*, *pgi*, *phoE*, *rpoB*, and *tonB*) according to the protocol described on the *K. pneumoniae* MLST database website (<u>http://www.pasteur.fr/recherche/genopole/PF8/</u> <u>mlst/Kpneumoniae.html</u>). Sequence types (STs) were assigned using the online database of the Pasteur Institute MLST website (<u>http://bigsdb.pasteur.fr/kleb</u> <u>siella/klebsiella.html</u>).

Population Analysis Profile (PAP)

Heteroresistance was determined by population analysis profile (PAP) for strains displaying small colonies growing within the zone of inhibition surrounding the highest concentrations in the carbapenem E-test. Briefly, cultures were grown overnight in Luria-Bertani (LB) broth and serially diluted in saline. Aliquots (100 μ L) of each dilution were spread on freshly prepared LB plates containing IPM in a range of concentrations from 0.25 to 32 μ g/mL. The plates were incubated overnight at 37°C and the number of growing colonies was counted. The frequency of the heteroresistant subpopulation was calculated by dividing the number of colonies grown on antibiotic-containing plates by the colony counts from the same bacterial

inoculum plated onto antibiotic-free media. Data were presented on a semi-logarithmic graph with relative resistant population frequencies on the vertical axis and drug concentration on the horizontal axis. Isolates that presented heteroresistant colonies were tested in the subsequent methodologies.

Virulence Factor in Heteroresistant Isolates

The presence of virulence factor (VF) genes in the heteroresistant isolates was detected by PCR using specific primers as described previously.^{28,29} These virulence-associated genes included those encoding regulators of exopolysaccharide synthesis (*rmpA*), fimbrial adhesins (*mrkD*), the ferric iron uptake system (*entB*, *ybtS*, *iutA*, and *kfu*), allantoin metabolism (*allS*) and the virulent capsular serotype (K1, K2, K5, K20, K54 and K57), that enable bacteria to overcome host defenses.

Mice, Experimental Design, and Heteroresistant K. pneumoniae Infections

A mouse model of peritonitis was used to assess the effect of antibiotic treatment on the heteroresistant isolates.¹⁸ C57/BL6J male and female mice, aged 9–11 weeks and weighing 18–22 g, were obtained from the Laboratory Animal Centre of Dalian Medical University. Experimental groups were matched by age and sex and housed at the Institute of Genome Engineered Animal Models for Human Disease, Dalian, China. All animals were housed in pathogen-free conditions with 12-h light– dark cycles and unlimited access to food and water.

Eighty separately housed mice were allocated to eight experimental groups (Supplementary Figure 1). A lethal dose $(3 \times 10^8 \text{ colony-forming units [CFU]})$ of either of the IPM-heteroresistant K. pneumoniae isolates or susceptible K. pneumoniae ATCC13883 was inoculated intraperitoneally to each group (n = 10 per group). Imipenem/cilastatin sodium (Zhuhai United Laboratories Co., Ltd, Zhuhai, China) or PBS (as a control) was given intraperitoneally as a treatment at the recommended dosage of 160 mg/kg of body weight at 12 h and 18 h after infection, and then five mice in each group were sacrificed at 24 h after the infection. Peritoneal lavage fluid was collected and plated onto drug-free medium or medium containing 8 µg/mL IPM to assess the percentages of IPMsusceptible and -resistant subpopulations. The remaining five mice in each group were monitored for survival and

weight loss until 72 h, and were euthanized if their weight decreased to less than 80% of their starting weight. All animal care and use protocols in this study were performed in accordance with the Regulations for the Administration of Affairs Concerning Experimental Animals approved by the State Council of the People's Republic of China. All animal experimental procedures were approved by the Animal Experimental Ethical Inspection Form of Dalian Medical University (protocol number: AEE 18001).

Quantitative Reverse Transcription PCR (qRT-PCR) Analysis of Molecular Differences Between the IPM-Susceptible and -Resistant Subpopulations of

K. pneumoniae

To identify the phenotypic differences between the IPMresistant and -susceptible subpopulations, of K. pneumoniae, cultures with a majority resistant or susceptible subpopulations were isolated in MH broth with or without IPM as indicated in Figure 1C. The coding sequences of ompK35 and ompK36 porin genes in the original population and the resistant or susceptible subpopulations were amplified and sequenced. The resulting sequences were compared with those of ompK35 and ompK36 available in the NCBI database (GenBank accession number NC 016845) using an updated version of the BLAST program.

Subsequently, the expression levels of ompK35 and ompK36 genes, efflux pump gene *acrA*, and regulator *ramA*, were determined by real-time quantitative reverse transcription PCR (qRT-PCR). The primers used for PCR and qRT-PCR are listed in <u>Supplementary Table 1</u>. Reactions were performed in triplicate using an Mx3005P qPCR System (Stratagene Agilent, CA, USA) with the TB Green[®] Premix Ex TaqTM II Kit (TaKaRa, Dalian, China) as we previously reported.²⁵ Relative mRNA expression levels were determined by comparison with expression levels in the reference strain *K. pneumoniae* ATCC13883.

Pulsed-Field Gel Electrophoresis (PFGE)

Clonality among the IPM-resistant or -susceptible subpopulations of the heteroresistant *K. pneumoniae* isolates was demonstrated by comparison of their *Xba*I-digested genomic DNA patterns obtained by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) as described previously.³⁰

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 8.0.1 (GraphPad Software, Ca, USA). Percentages of the resistant subpopulation of the heteroresistant strains in the peritoneal lavage fluid were compared using a Mann–Whitney test. Survival curves were compared using a Gehan-Breslow-Wilcoxon test. Differences in gene expression between the resistant and susceptible subpopulations were compared using Student's *t*-test. Two-tailed *P*-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Ethical Clearance

The collection of *K. pneumoniae* in this study was part of the routine hospital laboratory procedure. This study was performed using samples for secondary use, free of the need for informed consent and ethics committee approval.

Results

Antimicrobial Susceptibility

Thirty-seven MDR isolates of *K. pneumoniae* were confirmed to produce ESBL and/or AmpC β -lactamase and exhibited have high resistance rates to cephalosporins other than FOX (CAZ: 97.30%; CTX: 94.59%; FEP: 75.68%; and FOX: 29.73%). These isolates also showed different degrees of resistance to other antibiotics including ATM (86.49%), GEN (51.35%), AMK (91.89%), LEV (89.19%), and CIP (97.30%). All isolates were susceptible to carbapenems, but three isolates of which (*Kp19, Kp25*, and *Kp34*) had small colonies that grew within the IPM zone of inhibition (Figure 1A). Antibiotic resistance patterns of the MDR *K. pneumoniae* isolates are shown in Table 1.

Antibiotic Resistance Determinants

The distribution of the antibiotic resistance determinants among the 37 MDR ESBL/AmpC-producing K. pneumoniae isolates is presented in Table 1. The most frequently detected ESBL genes were bla_{CTX-M-15} (51.35%) followed by *bla*_{CTX-M-22} (10.81%), *bla*_{TEM-53} (8.11%), and $bla_{\text{CTX-M-14}}$ (8.11%). Regarding β -lactamases other than ESBLs, the *bla*_{SHV-11} and *bla*_{TEM-1} alleles predominated (data not shown). Seventeen of the isolates were designated AmpC producers, and the most frequently detected genes were bla_{DHA-1} (45.95%) and bla_{CMY-2} (10.81%). Carbapenemase genes (*bla*_{KPC}, *bla*_{VIM}, *bla*_{IMP}, *bla*_{NDM}, and bla_{OXA-48}) were not detected in any of the studied isolates. For the PMQR genes, 22 isolates carried *qnrB*, while 28



Figure 1 Characteristics of IPM heteroresistance among the Kp19, Kp25 and Kp34 multidrug-resistant K. *pneumoniae* isolates. (**A**) Satellite colonies in the IPM MIC gradient strips (black arrows) for three K. *pneumoniae* isolates; (**B**) population analysis profiles of the three multidrug-resistant K. *pneumoniae* and control strain; (**C**) workflow for subculture of IPM-susceptible and -resistant subpopulations. Cultures of Kp19, Kp25 and Kp34 were grown for 18 h in medium containing 8 μ g/mL imipenem or drug-free medium, respectively; (**D**) PFGE results of the imipenem-resistant (RS) and -susceptible subpopulations (SS) of heteroresistant strains. IPM, imipenem; ATCC13883, K. *pneumoniae* ATCC13883.

Isolate	Antibiotic Resistance Profile	MLST	ESBLs/ AmpC	Other Resistance Genes
КрІ	CAZ, CTX, FEP, ATM, AMK, LEV, CIP	STII	bla _{CTX-M-15}	qnrS, rmtB
Кр2	CAZ, CTX, FEP, ATM, GEN, AMK, LEV, CIP	ST304	bla _{SHV-27}	qnrB, qnrS, armA
КрЗ	CAZ, CTX, FOX, ATM, AMK, LEV	ST2231	bla _{DHA-1} , bla _{CMY-2}	qnrS
Кр4	CAZ, CTX, FEP, ATM, AMK, LEV, CIP	ST304	bla _{CTX-M-15} , bla _{OXA-10}	qnrB, qnrS, armA
Кр5	CAZ, CTX, FEP, ATM, GEN, AMK, LEV, CIP	ST304	bla _{CTX-M-15}	qnrB, qnrS, armA
Кр6	CAZ, CTX, FEP, FOX, GEN, AMK, LEV, CIP	ST304	bla _{DHA-1} , bla _{CMY-2}	qnrB, qnrS, armA
Кр7	CAZ, CTX, FOX, ATM, GEN, LEV, CIP	ST304	bla _{DHA-1}	qnrA, qnrB, qnrS, armA
Кр8	CAZ, CTX, FEP, ATM, AMK, LEV, CIP	ST37	bla _{CTX-M-15}	qnrB, armA
Кр9	CTX, FEP, GEN, AMK, CIP	ST716	bla _{SHV-27}	qnrS
КрІО	CAZ, ATM, GEN, AMK, LEV, CIP	ST828	bla _{CTX-M-22}	ND
КрІІ	CAZ, CTX, GEN, CIP	ST268	bla _{CTX-M-15}	qnrB, qnrS, armA
Кр12	CAZ, ATM, AMK, LEV, CIP	ST65	bla _{CTX-M-15}	ND
КрІЗ	CAZ, CTX, FOX, ATM, GEN, AMK, LEV, CIP	ST37	bla _{DHA-1}	qnrB, armA
КрІ4	CAZ, CTX, FEP, ATM, AMK, LEV, CIP	ST304	bla _{CTX-M-15}	qnrB, qnrS, armA
Кр15	CAZ, CTX, FEP, ATM, AMK, LEV, CIP	ST304	bla _{TEM-53} , bla _{CTX-M-15} , bla _{DHA-1}	qnrS, armA
Кр16	CAZ, CTX, FEP, FOX, ATM, GEN, AMK, LEV, CIP	ST304	bla _{CTX-M-15} , bla _{DHA-1}	qnrB, qnrS
КрІ7	CAZ, CTX, FEP, ATM, GEN, LEV, CIP	ST304	bla _{CTX-M-15}	qnrB, qnrS
Кр18	CAZ, CTX, FEP, ATM, AMK, LEV, CIP	ST37	bla _{CTX-M-15} , bla _{DHA-1}	qnrB, armA
Kp19ª	CAZ, CTX, FEP, ATM, MEM, ERP, AMK, LEV, CIP	ST2232	bla _{CTX-M-15}	rmtB
Кр20	CAZ, CTX, FEP, AMK, LEV, CIP	ST716	bla _{CTX-M-22}	qnrS
Кр2 І	CAZ, CTX, FOX, ATM, GEN, AMK, CIP	ST304	bla _{DHA-1}	qnrS
Кр22	CAZ, CTX, FEP, GEN, AMK, LEV, CIP	ST716	bla _{CTX-M-22} , bla _{DHA-1}	qnrS
Кр23	CAZ, CTX, FEP, ATM, GEN, AMK, LEV, CIP	ST304	bla _{CTX-M-15}	qnrB, qnrS, armA
Кр24	CAZ, CTX, ATM, AMK, LEV, CIP	ST304	bla _{CTX-M-22}	qnrB, qnrS
Kp25ª	CAZ, CTX, FEP, ATM, ERP, AMK, LEV, CIP	ST304	bla _{CTX-M-14} , bla _{DHA-1}	qnrB, qnrS, armA
Кр26	CAZ, CTX, FEP, ATM, AMK, LEV, CIP	ST304	bla _{TEM-53} , bla _{CTX-M-15}	qnrS, armA
Кр27	CAZ, CTX, FEP, ATM, AMK, LEV, CIP	ST304	bla _{CTX-M-15} , bla _{DHA-1}	qnrS
Кр28	CAZ, CTX, FEP, ATM, AMK, LEV, CIP	ST15	bla _{SHV-28} , bla _{DHA-1}	qnrB
Кр29	CAZ, CTX, FEP, ATM, AMK, CIP	ST1049	bla _{CTX-M-14}	qnrB
Кр30	CAZ, CTX, FEP, ATM, GEN, AMK, LEV, CIP	ST15	bla _{SHV-28}	qnrB
КрЗ І	CAZ, CTX, FEP, ATM, AMK, LEV, CIP	ST16	bla _{TEM-53}	qnrS, armA
Кр32	CAZ, CTX, FEP, FOX, ATM, GEN, AMK, LEV, CIP	ST17	bla _{CTX-M-15} , bla _{DHA-1}	qnrS
Кр33	CAZ, CTX, FEP, FOX, ATM, GEN, AMK, LEV, CIP	ST18	bla _{CTX-M-15} , bla _{DHA-1} , bla _{CMY-2}	qnrB, qnrS, armA
Кр34ª	CAZ, CTX, FEP, FOX, ATM, GEN, AMK, LEV, CIP	ST19	bla _{CTX-M-15} , bla _{DHA-1} , bla _{CMY-2}	qnrB, qnrS
Кр35	CAZ, CTX, FEP, FOX, ATM, GEN, AMK, LEV, CIP	ST660	bla _{CTX-M-15} , bla _{DHA-1}	qnrB, qnrS, armA
Кр36	CAZ, CTX, FOX, ATM, GEN, AMK, LEV, CIP	ST14	bla _{DHA-1}	qnrB, qnrS, armA
Кр37	CAZ, CTX, FEP, ATM, AMK, LEV, CIP	ST15	bla _{CTX-M-14}	qnrS

Table I	Phenotypic and	Molecular C	haracterisation	of 37	Multidrug-Resistant K	bneumoniae	Clinical	Isolates
i abic i	i nenocypie und	i loicealai e	inal accel isacion	0.07	i fulliar ag i teolotaille i t	pricamoniac	Chinean	10014000

 $\ensuremath{\textbf{Note}}\xspace:\ensuremath{\,^a\text{Had}}\xspace$ small colonies in the imipenem MIC gradient strips.

Abbreviations: CAZ, ceftazidime; CTX, cefotaxime; FEP, cefepime; FOX, cefoxitin; ATM, aztreonam; GEN, gentamicin; AMK, amikacin; LEV, levofloxacin; CIP, ciprofloxacin; MLST, multilocus sequence typing; ESBL, extended-spectrum β-lactamase; ST, sequence type; ND, not determined.

isolates contained *qnrS* or other variants such as *qnrA*. The resistance genes for other antibiotics included *armA* in 18 isolates and *rmtB* in two isolates.

Verification of Carbapenem Heteroresistance

Colonies that grew within the zone of inhibition in the IPM E-test were observed in three MDR strains (Kp19, Kp25, and Kp34) (Figure 1A). Heteroresistance in these three

K. pneumoniae isolates was confirmed by PAP assay. IPMresistant subpopulations were found in these three strains, and they grew in concentrations as high as 16 μ g/mL, 16 μ g/mL and 8 μ g/mL of IPM, respectively (Table 2 and Figure 1B).

Effect of Heteroresistant Isolates on IPM Treatment

Mice were infected with a lethal dose of either IPMheteroresistant or -susceptible *K. pneumoniae*, treated with (

Isolate	Original C	Carbapenems MI	C (µg/mL)		Original		Population Analysis Profile		Resistant	
					Populatio	n Porin			Subpopul	utions
					Sequenci	вu			Porin Seq	uencing
	MdI	μdi	МЕМ	ЕТР	ompK35	omþK36	Highest IPM Concn Grown	Frequency of Heteroresistant	omþK35	ompK36
	E-Test	Microdilution	E-Test	E-Test			(µg/mL)	Subpopulation		
Kp19	0.38	0.5	0.047	0.067	WT	WT	16	4.0×10 ⁻⁶	WΤ	WΤ
Kp25	0.25	_	0.047	0.047	۲۷	Υ	16	2.7×10 ⁻⁶	WΤ	WT
Kp34	0.25	0.5	0.064	0.067	Υ	Υ	8	3.3×10 ⁻⁷	WΤ	WΤ
Abbreviati	ions: IPM, imipe	snem; MEM, meropen	iem; ETP, ertaper	nem; WT, wild t	ype.				_	

PBS or IPM after 12 h and 18 h, and then euthanized at 24 h and the peritoneal lavage fluid was collected. The total bacterial load in the peritoneal lavage fluid of mice infected with the susceptible strain (K. pneumoniae ATCC13883) was significantly reduced by IPM treatment (t = 3.495, P <0.01). In contrast, the heteroresistant strains were refractory to treatment with IPM, with the bacterial levels remaining unchanged between the IPM-treated and PBS-treated groups (Figure 2A). There was a robust increase in the frequency of the resistant subpopulation isolated during in vivo infection with the three heteroresistant isolate (5.08- to 19.35-fold). Interestingly, in the absence of IPM treatment, there was also a significant increase in the frequency of the resistant subpopulation of bacteria isolated from the peritoneum (Kp19: pre-infection vs PBS-treated group, Z = -2.402, P = 0.016; pre-infection vs IPM-treated group, Z = -2.611, P < 0.01; *Kp25*: pre-infection vs PBS-treated group, Z = -2.611, P < 0.01; pre-infection vs IPM-treated group, Z = -2.619, P < 0.01; Kp34: pre-infection vs PBS-treated group, Z = -2.611, P < 0.01; pre-infection vs IPM-treated group, Z = -2.611, P <0.01. Figure 2B).

In the survival experiment, both the susceptible and heteroresistant strains led to lethal infections in the absence of IPM (Figure 2C–F). In the presence of IPM, only mice infected with the susceptible strain were rescued ($\chi 2 = 10.000$, P = 0.0016, Figure 2C), whereas those infected with the heteroresistant strains of *K. pneumoniae* still succumbed to infection within 72 h (*Kp19:* $\chi 2 = 0.148$, P = 0.700; *Kp25:* $\chi 2 = 0.012$, P = 0.914; *Kp34:* $\chi 2 = 0.012$, P = 0.914, respectively; Figure 2D–F). These data demonstrate the impact of an antibiotic-resistant subpopulation in mediating a lethal infection during antibiotic treatment.

Virulence Factor Detection

PCR analysis for virulence factors demonstrated that *mrkD* genes encoding type 3 fimbrial adhesins were present in all three heteroresistant isolates. The genes *entB*, *ybtS*, *iutA*, and *kfu*, encoding components of the ferric iron uptake system, were detected in three, two, two and two isolates, respectively (Figure 2D–F).

Molecular Differences Between the IPM-Susceptible and -Resistant Subpopulations

The IPM-resistant or -susceptible subpopulations of heteroresistant isolates of *K. pneumoniae* exhibited identical PFGE profiles (Figure 1D). The sequences of *ompK35* and *ompK36*



Figure 2 Heteroresistant K. pneumoniae isolates lead to IPM treatment failure in vivo. Mice were infected with the IPM-susceptible K. pneumoniae ATCC13883 or the IPMheteroresistant isolates, treated with imipenem/cilastatin sodium or PBS at 12 h and 18 h after infection, and then euthanized at 24 h and the peritoneal lavage fluid was collected. (A) Numbers of CFU were quantified at 24 h in the peritoneal lavage fluid; (B) increase in the frequency of the IPM-resistant subpopulation in the peritoneal lavage fluid; (C) survival of mice infected with the K. pneumoniae ATCC13883 and then treated with imipenem or PBS; (D) survival of mice infected with the IPM-heteroresistant Kp19 and then treated with imipenem or PBS; (E) survival of mice infected with the IPM-heteroresistant Kp25 and then treated with imipenem or PBS; (F) survival of mice infected with the IPM-heteroresistant Kp34 and then treated with IPM or PBS. Surviving mice were monitored until day 3 (n = 5), Error bars represent SEM (Mann–Whitney test). VF, virulence factor; ATCC13883, K. pneumoniae ATCC13883; *P values are significant (P < 0.05).

in the original heteroresistant *K. pneumoniae* strains, and in resistant or susceptible subpopulations, were identical compared to those available in the GenBank database (Table 2). In addition, real-time qRT-PCR revealed mRNA expression levels of *ompK36* were significantly reduced (0.09- to 0.50-fold) in the IPM-resistant subpopulations compared with the IPM-susceptible subpopulation of each strain (*Kp19: t* = 12.093, P < 0.01; *Kp25: t* = 12.616, P < 0.01; *Kp34: t* = 3.07, P = 0.037, respectively), while the differential expression of *ompK35*, efflux pump gene *acrA* and the regulator gene *ramA* between IPM-resistant and -susceptible subpopulations were not statistically significant (Figure 3A, C and D).

MLST

MLST analysis identified 13 different sequence types (STs) among the 37 isolates, as shown in Table 1. Fifteen isolates (*Kp2, Kp4, Kp5, Kp6, Kp7, Kp14, Kp15, Kp16, Kp17, Kp21, Kp23, Kp24, Kp25, Kp26,* and *Kp27*) belonged to ST304, which was the predominant group. Seven isolates (*Kp31, Kp32, Kp33, Kp34, Kp37, Kp28,* and *Kp30*) belonged to ST15, three isolates (*Kp9, Kp20,* and *Kp22*) belonged to ST716, and three isolates (*Kp8, Kp13,* and *Kp18*) belonged to ST37. In addition, one strain

of each of ST65, ST11, ST2232, ST828, ST660, ST2231, ST1049, ST268, and ST14 was identified.

Discussion

MDR *K. pneumoniae* infections have become progressively difficult to treat due to the emergence of isolates that are resistant or heteroresistant to carbapenem antibiotics. Carbapenem-resistant *K. pneumoniae* (CRKP), as one of the CRE, is one of the most urgent antibiotic resistance threats according to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and the World Health Organization (WHO).^{31,32} In the current study, three IPM-heteroresistant strains were identified among a group of clinical isolates of ESBL/AmpC-producing *K. pneumoniae* and the role of a minor IPM-resistant subpopulation of these heteroresistant strains was highlighted in the promotion of antibiotic treatment failure in vivo.

Heteroresistance to carbapenems occurs in several species of Gram-negative bacterial species, including *K. pneumoniae*.^{33,34} Heterogeneous susceptibility to this antibiotic class among bacterial populations can be attributed to genetic and epigenetic mechanisms.³⁵ Genetic mechanisms may be due to mutations or duplications of key resistance genes or regulatory systems.^{17,36} Epigenetic variation across



Figure 3 Relative fold gene expressions in the IPM-resistant and -susceptible subpopulations (untreated) of heteroresistant K. pneumoniae isolates. (A) Relative expression of ompK35 gene; (B) relative expression of ompK36 gene; (C) relative expression of acrA gene; (D) relative expression of ramA gene. *P values are significant (P < 0.05).

the bacterial population can also occur. Differential expression in transcriptional levels of one or more genes, whose products are involved in resistance to antibiotics, may also underlie heteroresistance to carbapenems.^{34,37,38}

By molecular analysis, all three heteroresistant strains identified in the current study were found to carry ESBL and/or AmpC genes and exhibit reduced expression of ompK36 outer membrane porin gene in the IPM-resistant subpopulation. K. pneumoniae expresses two major outer membrane porins (OMPs), OmpK35 and OmpK36, which play an important role in the penetration of antimicrobials into the cell. A decrease in ompK36 expression was previously shown to contribute to resistance to a wide spectrum of antibiotics, such as CTX, FOX, and carbapenems, which penetrate the outer membrane through the OmpK36 porin.^{39,40} However, OmpK35 may be one of the factors contributing to antimicrobial resistance in K. pneumoniae and may favor the selection of additional mechanisms of resistance, including loss of OmpK36 and active efflux.⁴¹ Reduced expression of OmpK36 in the current study correlated with increasing IPM MIC, especially when K. pneumoniae also expresses AmpC cephalosporinases or ESBLs, and this was consistent with observations reported by Landman et al and Hamzaoui et al.42-44

Several important virulence factors, including *mrkD*, *entB*, *ybtS*, *iutA*, and *kfu*, which protect *K*. *pneumoniae* from lethal serum factors and phagocytosis, were found in the three IPM-heteroresistant isolates. However, *rmpA* and genes encoding K1 and K2, which are highly associated with the hypervirulent (hypermucoviscous) variant of *K*. *pneumoniae* (hvKP), were not detected,⁴⁵ suggesting that the heteroresistant strains in this study did not present molecular characteristics of hvKP.

It was unclear whether the minor IPM-resistant subpopulations present in the three heteroresistant isolates would have an effect on the outcome of IPM treatment during an in vivo infection. There were few previous studies on exploring the treatment outcome of heteroresistant strains in vivo. In 2016, researchers discovered that colistin heteroresistance can lead to treatment failure in a mouse model.¹⁹ Similarly, it is reported that carbapenemresistant *K. pneumoniae* exhibiting clinically undetected colistin heteroresistance leads to treatment failure in a murine model of infection.¹⁸ Except for these mouse and rat studies, vancomycin treatment failure associated with two vancomycin-intermediate *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates were also found in a rabbit endocarditis model.⁴⁶

In order to assess the effect of antibiotic therapy on IPM-heteroresistant K. pneumoniae strains, we used a mouse model of peritonitis to simulate infection and subsequent treatment upon clinical presentation. We found it was unable to reduce the number of colonies in mice peritoneal lavage fluid of these mice at 24 h after infection and lead to treatment failure when bacteria showed heteroresistant phenotype. Interestingly, even in the absence of IPM, the frequency of the resistant subpopulations of heteroresistant isolates increased following 24 h of in vivo infection compared to the frequency produced by the inoculum. It has been previously shown that the resistant subpopulations could be enriched and the presence of a switch of heteroresistance to homogeneous resistance during antibiotic exposure.^{19,47} Our findings suggest that the process of infection as leading to a significant increase in the frequency of an antibioticresistant subpopulation of bacteria. This may be due to cross-resistance of these cells to host innate immune antimicrobials, such as antimicrobial peptides and reacoxygen species, as has previously tive been demonstrated.¹⁹ Both the susceptible and heteroresistant strains culminated in lethal infections in mice in the absence of IPM. In the presence of IPM, only mice infected with the susceptible strain were rescued, whereas those infected with the heteroresistant strains were unable to survive. These data demonstrate the impact of an antibiotic-resistant subpopulation in mediating a lethal infection during antibiotic treatment.

There were some limitations to this study in that the role of specific host innate immune components during infection was not evaluated, and the relevance of IPM heteroresistance on the outcome of therapy in human infection was not determined. These points should be addressed in future work.

Conclusion

Previously, limited data were available regarding the effect of carbapenem-heteroresistant clinical isolates on antibiotic treatment of such infections in vivo. This retrospective study is the first report showing that the carbapenemheteroresistant strains among MDR ESBL- and/or AmpCproducing *K. pneumoniae* clinical isolates fails IPM therapy in a mouse model of peritonitis. Therefore, clinical laboratories should consider testing for carbapenemheteroresistance if this last-line antibiotic class is required for treatment.

Funding

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant number 81401697), the Natural Science Foundation of Liaoning Province (grant number 2019-MS-092), Scientific Research Project of the Education Department of Liaoning Province (grant number LZ2020052); and the Program for High-Level Entrepreneurial and Innovative Talents of Dalian, China (2017RQ120). This work was also supported by the Liaoning Provincial Program for Top Discipline of Basic Medical Sciences.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- O'Neill J. Tackling drug-resistant infections globally: final report and recommendations. The Review on Antimicrobial Resistance London, United Kingdom; 2016.
- Martelius T, Jalava J, Karki T, et al. Nosocomial bloodstream infections caused by Escherichia coli and Klebsiella pneumoniae resistant to third-generation cephalosporins, Finland, 1999–2013: trends, patient characteristics and mortality. *Infect Dis.* 2016;48 (3):229–234. doi:10.3109/23744235.2015.1109135
- Gorrie CL, Mirceta M, Wick RR, et al. Gastrointestinal carriage is a major reservoir of Klebsiella pneumoniae infection in intensive care patients. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2017;65(2):208–215. doi:10.1093/cid/ cix270
- 4. Livermore DM. Beta-lactamases- the threat renews. Curr Protein Pept Sci. 2009;10(5):397–400. doi:10.2174/138920309789351994
- Bush K, Courvalin P, Dantas G, et al. Tackling antibiotic resistance. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2011;9(12):894–896. doi:10.1038/nrmicro2693
- Girometti N, Lewis RE, Giannella M, et al. Klebsiella pneumoniae bloodstream infection: epidemiology and impact of inappropriate empirical therapy. *Medicine*. 2014;93(17):298–309. doi:10.1097/ MD.00000000000111
- Karaiskos I, Giamarellou H. Multidrug-resistant and extensively drug-resistant gram-negative pathogens: current and emerging therapeutic approaches. *Expert Opin Pharmacother*. 2014;15 (10):1351–1370. doi:10.1517/14656566.2014.914172
- Moellering RC Jr. NDM-1 a cause for worldwide concern. N Engl J Med. 2010;363(25):2377–2379. doi:10.1056/NEJMp1011715
- Nordmann P, Cuzon G, Naas T. The real threat of Klebsiella pneumoniae carbapenemase-producing bacteria. *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2009;9 (4):228–236. doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(09)70054-4
- Grundmann H, Glasner C, Albiger B, et al. Occurrence of carbapenemase-producing Klebsiella pneumoniae and Escherichia coli in the European survey of carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae (EuSCAPE): a prospective, multinational study. *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2017;17(2):153–163. doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(16) 30257-2
- Tian L, Zhang Z, Sun Z. Antimicrobial resistance trends in bloodstream infections at a large teaching hospital in China: a 20-year surveillance study (1998–2017). *Antimicrob Resist Infect Control*. 2019;8(1):86. doi:10.1186/s13756-019-0545-z
- Wong JLC, Romano M, Kerry LE, et al. OmpK36-mediated Carbapenem resistance attenuates ST258 Klebsiella pneumoniae in vivo. *Nat Commun.* 2019;10(1):3957. doi:10.1038/s41467-019-11756-y

- Queenan AM, Bush K. Carbapenemases: the versatile beta-lactamases. *Clin Microbiol Rev.* 2007;20(3):440–458. doi:10.1128/CMR.00001-07
- Suay-Garcia B, Perez-Gracia MT. Present and future of Carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae (CRE) infections. *Antibiotics*. 2019;8(3). doi:10.3390/antibiotics8030122
- Kuper KM, Boles DM, Mohr JF, Wanger A. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing: a primer for clinicians. *Pharmacotherapy*. 2009;29 (11):1326–1343. doi:10.1592/phco.29.11.1326
- Band VI, Weiss DS. Heteroresistance: a cause of unexplained antibiotic treatment failure? *PLoS Pathog*. 2019;15(6):e1007726. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1007726
- Andersson DI, Nicoloff H, Hjort K. Mechanisms and clinical relevance of bacterial heteroresistance. *Nat Rev Microbiol.* 2019;17 (8):479–496. doi:10.1038/s41579-019-0218-1
- Band VI, Satola SW, Burd EM, Farley MM, Jacob JT, Weiss DS. Carbapenem-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae exhibiting clinically undetected colistin heteroresistance leads to treatment failure in a murine model of infection. *mBio*. 2018;9(2). doi:10.1128/ mBio.02448-17
- Band VI, Crispell EK, Napier BA, et al. Antibiotic failure mediated by a resistant subpopulation in Enterobacter cloacae. *Nat Microbiol.* 2016;1(6):16053. doi:10.1038/nmicrobiol.2016.53
- Tan K, Nguyen J, Nguyen K, Huse HK, Nieberg PH, Wong-Beringer A. Prevalence of the carbapenem-heteroresistant phenotype among ESBL-producing Escherichia coli and Klebsiella pneumoniae clinical isolates. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2020;75(6):1506–1512. doi:10.1093/jac/dkaa048
- 21. Magiorakos AP, Srinivasan A, Carey RB, et al. Multidrug-resistant, extensively drug-resistant and pandrug-resistant bacteria: an international expert proposal for interim standard definitions for acquired resistance. *Clin Microbiol Infect.* 2012;18(3):268–281. doi:10.1111/ j.1469-0691.2011.03570.x
- 22. CLSI. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing. 27th ed. CLSI Supplement M100. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2017
- 23. Gude MJ, Seral C, Saenz Y, Gonzalez-Dominguez M, Torres C, Castillo FJ. Evaluation of four phenotypic methods to detect plasmid-mediated AmpC beta-lactamases in clinical isolates. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis.* 2012;31(8):2037–2043. doi:10.1007/ s10096-011-1537-y
- 24. Perez-Perez FJ, Hanson ND. Detection of plasmid-mediated AmpC beta-lactamase genes in clinical isolates by using multiplex PCR. J Clin Microbiol. 2002;40(6):2153–2162. doi:10.1128/ JCM.40.6.2153-2162.2002
- 25. Xu H, Huo C, Sun Y, et al. Emergence and molecular characterization of multidrug-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae isolates harboring bla CTX-M-15 extended-spectrum beta-lactamases causing ventilator-associated pneumonia in China. *Infect Drug Resist.* 2019;12:33–43. doi:10.2147/IDR.S189494
- 26. Kim HB, Park CH, Kim CJ, Kim EC, Jacoby GA, Hooper DC. Prevalence of plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance determinants over a 9-year period. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2009;53 (2):639–645. doi:10.1128/AAC.01051-08
- 27. Luo Y, Yang J, Zhang Y, Ye L, Wang L, Guo L. Prevalence of beta-lactamases and 16S rRNA methylase genes amongst clinical Klebsiella pneumoniae isolates carrying plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance determinants. *Int J Antimicrob Agents*. 2011;37(4):352–355.
- Compain F, Babosan A, Brisse S, et al. Multiplex PCR for detection of seven virulence factors and K1/K2 capsular serotypes of Klebsiella pneumoniae. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2014;52(12):4377–4380. doi:10.1128/ JCM.02316-14
- 29. Turton JF, Perry C, Elgohari S, Hampton CV. PCR characterization and typing of Klebsiella pneumoniae using capsular type-specific, variable number tandem repeat and virulence gene targets. J Med Microbiol. 2010;59(Pt 5):541–547. doi:10.1099/jmm.0.015198-0

- 30. He J, Jia X, Yang S, et al. Heteroresistance to carbapenems in invasive Pseudomonas aeruginosa infections. *Int J Antimicrob Agents*. 2018;51(3):413–421. doi:10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2017.10.014
- 31. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *Antibiotic Resistance Threats in the United States.* Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2013.
- 32. World Health Organization. *WHO Publishes List of Bacteria for Which New Antibiotics are Urgently Needed*. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2017.
- 33. Tato M, Morosini M, Garcia L, Alberti S, Coque MT, Canton R. Carbapenem Heteroresistance in VIM-1-producing Klebsiella pneumoniae isolates belonging to the same clone: consequences for routine susceptibility testing. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2010;48(11):4089–4093. doi:10.1128/JCM.01130-10
- 34. Pournaras S, Kristo I, Vrioni G, et al. Characteristics of meropenem heteroresistance in Klebsiella pneumoniae carbapenemase (KPC)-producing clinical isolates of K. pneumoniae. J Clin Microbiol. 2010;48(7):2601–2604. doi:10.1128/JCM.02134-09
- 35. El-Halfawy OM, Valvano MA. Antimicrobial heteroresistance: an emerging field in need of clarity. *Clin Microbiol Rev.* 2015;28 (1):191–207. doi:10.1128/CMR.00058-14
- 36. Nicoloff H, Hjort K, Levin BR, Andersson DI. The high prevalence of antibiotic heteroresistance in pathogenic bacteria is mainly caused by gene amplification. *Nat Microbiol.* 2019;4(3):504–514. doi:10.1038/s41564-018-0342-0
- 37. Ikonomidis A, Tsakris A, Kantzanou M, Spanakis N, Maniatis AN, Pournaras S. Efflux system overexpression and decreased OprD contribute to the carbapenem heterogeneity in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *FEMS Microbiol Lett.* 2008;279(1):36–39. doi:10.1111/j.1574-6968.2007.00997.x
- 38. Lee HY, Chen CL, Wang SB, et al. Imipenem heteroresistance induced by imipenem in multidrug-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii: mechanism and clinical implications. *Int J Antimicrob Agents*. 2011;37(4):302–308. doi:10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2010.12.015
- 39. Netikul T, Kiratisin P. Genetic characterization of carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae and the spread of carbapenem-resistant Klebsiella pneumonia ST340 at a University Hospital in Thailand. *PLoS One.* 2015;10(9):e0139116. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0139116

- Nikaido H. Proteins forming large channels from bacterial and mitochondrial outer membranes: porins and phage lambda receptor protein. *Methods Enzymol.* 1983;97:85–100.
- Martinez-Martinez L, Pascual A, Conejo Mdel C, et al. Energydependent accumulation of norfloxacin and porin expression in clinical isolates of Klebsiella pneumoniae and relationship to extended-spectrum beta-lactamase production. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 2002;46(12):3926–3932. doi:10.1128/AAC.46.12.3926-3932.2002
- 42. Yang D, Guo Y, Zhang Z. Combined porin loss and extended spectrum beta-lactamase production is associated with an increasing imipenem minimal inhibitory concentration in clinical Klebsiella pneumoniae strains. *Curr Microbiol.* 2009;58(4):366–370. doi:10.1007/s00284-009-9364-4
- Landman D, Bratu S, Quale J. Contribution of OmpK36 to carbapenem susceptibility in KPC-producing Klebsiella pneumoniae. J Med Microbiol. 2009;58(Pt 10):1303–1308. doi:10.1099/jmm.0.012575-0
- 44. Hamzaoui Z, Ocampo-Sosa A, Fernandez Martinez M, et al. Role of association of OmpK35 and OmpK36 alteration and blaESBL and/or blaAmpC genes in conferring carbapenem resistance among non-carbapenemase-producing Klebsiella pneumoniae. *Int J Antimicrob Agents.* 2018;52(6):898–905. doi:10.1016/j. ijantimicag.2018.03.020
- 45. Shon AS, Bajwa RP, Russo TA. Hypervirulent (hypermucoviscous) Klebsiella pneumoniae: a new and dangerous breed. *Virulence*. 2013;4(2):107–118. doi:10.4161/viru.22718
- 46. Moore MR, Perdreau-Remington F, Chambers HF. Vancomycin treatment failure associated with heterogeneous vancomycin-intermediate Staphylococcus aureus in a patient with endocarditis and in the rabbit model of endocarditis. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 2003;47 (4):1262–1266. doi:10.1128/AAC.47.4.1262-1266.2003
- 47. Zhang F, Ding M, Yan X, et al. Carbapenem-resistant K. pneumoniae exhibiting clinically undetected amikacin and meropenem heteroresistance leads to treatment failure in a murine model of infection. *Microb Pathog.* 2021;160:105162. doi:10.1016/j.micpath.2021.105162

Infection and Drug Resistance

Dovepress

Publish your work in this journal

Infection and Drug Resistance is an international, peer-reviewed openaccess journal that focuses on the optimal treatment of infection (bacterial, fungal and viral) and the development and institution of preventive strategies to minimize the development and spread of resistance. The journal is specifically concerned with the epidemiology of

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/infection-and-drug-resistance-journal

f 🄰 in 🕨 DovePress

5650

antibiotic resistance and the mechanisms of resistance development and diffusion in both hospitals and the community. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peerreview system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.