a Open Access Full Text Article

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Distinct prognostic values of alcohol dehydrogenase mRNA expression in pancreatic adenocarcinoma

Xiwen Liao^{1,*} Rui Huang^{2,*} Xiaoguang Liu^{1,3} Chuangye Han¹ Long Yu^{1,4} Shijun Wang⁵ Na Sun² Bopei Li⁶ Xin Ning⁷ Tao Peng¹

Department of Hepatobiliary Surgery, ²Department of Hematology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Guangxi Medical University, Nanning, Guangxi, ³Department of Hepatobiliary Surgery, Affiliated Hospital of Guangdong Medical University, Zhanjiang, Guangdong, ⁴Department of Hepatobiliary and Pancreatic Surgery, ⁵Department of Colorectal and Anal Surgery, The First Affiliated Hospital of Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, Henan, ⁶Department of Gastrointestinal Surgery, ⁷Department of Urology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Guangxi Medical University, Nanning, Guangxi, China

*These authors contributed equally to this work

Correspondence: Tao Peng Department of Hepatobiliary Surgery, The First Affiliated Hospital of Guangxi Medical University, 6 Shuang Yong Road, Nanning 530021, Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region, China Tel +86 771 535 0190 Fax +86 771 535 0031 Email pengtaogmu@163.com



Background: Alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH) isoenzymes have been reported as a potential diagnostic marker for pancreatic cancer, but their prognostic value in pancreatic cancer remains unclear. The aim of this investigation was to identify the prognostic value of ADH genes in human patients with pancreatic adenocarcinoma (PAAD).

Materials and methods: An RNA sequencing dataset and corresponding survival profiles of PAAD were obtained from The Cancer Genome Atlas. Survival analysis and gene set enrichment analysis were used to investigate the prediction value and potential mechanism of ADH genes in PAAD prognosis.

Results: Survival analysis of ADH genes suggests that a high expression of ADH1A (adjusted P=0.037, adjusted hazard ratio [HR] =0.627, 95% CI =0.404-0.972) and ADH6 (adjusted P=0.018, adjusted HR =0.588, 95% CI =0.378-0.914) were associated with a significantly decreased risk of death, while a high expression of ADH5 was associated with a significantly increased risk of death (adjusted P=0.043, adjusted HR =1.564, 95% CI =1.013-2.414). Joint effects analysis of three ADH gene prognostic markers suggests that the prognosis difference for any marker combination was more significant than that for any individual marker. The potential mechanism of ADH1A and ADH6 in PAAD prognosis was that a high expression of ADH1A and ADH6 was involved in the P450 pathway and biological processes, while high ADH5 expression was involved in transforming growth factor β regulation-related pathways and biological processes, Wnt, the cell cycle, ErbB, and mitogen-activated protein kinase signaling pathways.

Conclusion: Our data suggest that ADH1A, ADH5, and ADH6 expression may be potential prognostic markers of PAAD and in combination have a strong interaction and better predictive value for PAAD prognosis.

Keywords: prognostic, alcohol dehydrogenase, pancreatic adenocarcinoma, TCGA, GSEA

Introduction

Pancreatic cancer presents as highly lethal malignant tumors, for which mortality closely parallels incidence, with an estimated 330,400 deaths occurring worldwide in 2012.^{1,2} It is estimated that about 79,400 Chinese will die from pancreatic cancer in 2015, and it is predicted that there will be about 90,100 newly diagnosed pancreatic cancer cases in China in 2015.³ The age-standardized mortality rates of pancreatic cancer in the Chinese male population have been shown to have an upward trend.³ Pancreatic cancer patients always have a relatively poor prognosis in China with an age-standardized 5-year relative survival rate of 11.7%.4 Therefore, it is very important to find markers and prognostic predictive indicators that detect malignant cell transformation at an early stage.

3719

OncoTargets and Therapy 2017:10 3719-3732 Control of the second sec Studies have demonstrated that alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH) is present in the pancreatic tissue and plays an important role in multiple biological processes of the pancreas.^{5,6} A study by Jelski et al reported that class III ADH activity was markedly higher in pancreatic cancer tissue than in healthy tissue.⁷ ADH isoenzymes have also been reported as a potential diagnostic marker for pancreatic cancer, and the combination of circulating ADH and macrophage inhibitory cytokine to carbohydrate antigen 19–9 can improve the overall quality of diagnosis for this lethal disease.^{8,9} Although the diagnostic value of ADH in pancreatic cancer remains unclear. The aim of this investigation was to identify the prognostic value of ADH gene expression in pancreatic cancer patients.

The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) has generated comprehensive, multidimensional maps of the key genomic changes in 33 types of cancer including pancreatic adenocarcinoma (PAAD), which is available as open access. In the present study, we utilized the TCGA database to investigate the prognostic prediction value and potential mechanism of ADH genes in patients with PAAD.

Materials and methods RNA sequencing dataset

An RNA sequencing dataset including 177 PAAD patient transcriptome and corresponding survival profiles was obtained from the TCGA web server (https://portal.gdc.cancer.gov/, accessed March 20, 2017). RNA sequencing datasets of 177 tumor tissues and four adjacent normal tissues were downloaded from the TCGA database; information on overall survival (OS), as well as the status of events, was available for all of these patients. Normalization of the PAAD RNA sequencing dataset was performed using DESeq, an *R* package for transcriptome profiling, according to the user guide.¹⁰ Genes that were 0 in >10% of all subjects were eliminated.

Association analysis

The comparison of ADH gene expression between pancreatic tumor tissue and adjacent normal tissues was done by an analysis using Metabolic gEne RApid Visualizer¹¹ (<u>http://merav.wi.mit.edu/</u>) and TCGA dataset, respectively. Pearson correlation coefficient was used to evaluate correlations among genes in coexpression analysis.

Survival analysis

All patients were divided into two groups according to gene expression levels in tumor tissue for survival analysis.

The high-expression group consisted of patients in which gene expression levels were above the median value, and a low-expression group comprised the remaining patients. We also stratified the analysis on the basis of associations between gene expression and clinical features in OS. Alcohol history and tumor stage were adjusted in multivariate Cox proportion hazard regression analysis. On the basis of the results of coexpression analysis, we also investigated the joint effects of significant prognostic-related ADH genes in PAAD.

Gene set enrichment analysis

Differences of pathways and biological process in transcriptome levels between high and low ADH genes expression were analyzed using gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) v2–2.2.3,^{12,13} with reference to gene sets from the Molecular Signatures Database (MSigDB) of c2 (KEGG gene sets: c2.cp.kegg.v5.2.symbols.gmt) and c5 (GO gene sets: c5.bp. v5.2.symbols.gmt, c5.cc.v5.2.symbols.gmt, and c5.mf. v5.2.symbols.gmt), respectively. The number of permutations was set at 1,000. Enrichment results satisfying a nominal *P*-value <0.05 and a false discovery rate (FDR) <0.25 were considered statistically significant.

Statistical analysis

The mRNA expression of ADH genes in tumor and adjacent nontumor tissue was analyzed using an independent sample t-test. The Pearson correlation coefficient was used to assess the coexpression correlation at the mRNA level, and the coexpression heat map was constructed by the corrplot package in the R platform. Survival analysis was carried out using the Kaplan-Meier method with the log-rank test to compare clinical factors and gene expression groups. Cox proportional hazards regression analysis was used to calculate the crude or adjusted hazard ratio (HR) and 95% CI in uni- and multivariate analyses. The FDR in GSEA was adjusted for multiple testing with the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure to control FDR.14,15 Kaplan-Meier survival curves were plotted using GraphPad Prism 6.0. A value of P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Data were analyzed with the help of SPSS v.20.0 software (IBM, Chicago, IL, USA).

Results Data processing

Seven ADH genes were available in the TCGA PAAD mRNA expression dataset, *ADH1A*, *ADH1B*, *ADH1C*, *ADH4*, *ADH5*, *ADH6*, and *ADH7*. After normalization of the RNA sequencing data, we found that the expression level

Distinct prognostic values of ADH in PAAD

of *ADH7* was very low in PAAD tumor tissue. Therefore, *ADH7* data were excluded from the present study. After normalization by DESeq, 177 pancreatic tumor tissues and 4 adjacent normal tissues expression data with six ADH genes were used for further analysis.

Association analysis

Distribution of ADH gene expression between pancreatic tumor tissue and adjacent normal tissues using MERAV (Figure 1A–F) showed that *ADH1A* and *ADH1B* were markedly downregulated in pancreatic tumor tissue (Figure 1A and B), whereas *ADH5* was significantly upregulated in tumor tissue (Figure 1E). Similar results were also observed in *ADH1B* and *ADH5* of patients with TCGA PAAD (Figure 2A), but the difference did not reach statistical significance among these genes. Coexpression analysis of ADH

genes indicated that ADH1A (r=0.581, P<0.001, Figure 2B), ADH1C (r=0.371, P<0.001, Figure 2B), and ADH6 (r=0.502, P<0.001, Figure 2B) had a significantly positive correlation with ADH4 in PAAD tumor tissue, while ADH1A (r=0.761, P<0.001, Figure 2B) and ADH5 (r=0.176, P=0.019, Figure 2B) had a significantly positive correlation with ADH6.

Survival analysis

The clinical characteristics of PAAD are summarized in Table 1. Information only with regard to age, sex, alcohol history, tumor stage, and clinical outcomes of the PAAD can be obtained from the TCGA website. OS stratified by the clinical characteristics indicate that advanced tumor stage was associated with a significantly increased risk of death in PAAD patients. Survival analysis of ADH genes are showed in Figure 3A–F, and suggested that a high expression



Figure 1 Distribution of ADH genes expression between pancreatic tumor tissue and adjacent normal tissues using the MERAV web server.

Notes: The order in (A–F) shows the distribution of ADHIA, ADHIB, ADHIC, ADH4, ADH5, and ADH6 gene mRNA expression between pancreatic tumor tissue and adjacent normal tissues, respectively.

Abbreviations: ADH, alcohol dehydrogenase; MERAV, metabolic gEne RApid visualizer.



Figure 2 Gene expression distribution and coexpression heat map of ADH genes using the TCGA dataset. Notes: (A) Distribution of ADH genes expression between the tumor tissue and adjacent normal tissues; (B) coexpression heat map of ADH genes in PAAD tumor tissue.

Abbreviations: ADH, alcohol dehydrogenase; TCGA, The Cancer Genome Atlas; PAAD, pancreatic adenocarcinoma.

of *ADH1A* (adjusted *P*=0.037, adjusted HR =0.627, 95% CI =0.404–0.972; Table 2) and *ADH6* (adjusted *P*=0.018, adjusted HR =0.588, 95% CI =0.378–0.914; Table 2) was significantly associated with a decreased risk of death and a long median survival time (MST; 545 vs 913 days for low *ADH1A* vs high *ADH1A*, log-rank *P*=0.073, Figure 3A; 592 vs 691 days for low *ADH6* vs high *ADH6*, log-rank *P*=0.03, Figure 3C; respectively) in PAAD patients, after adjusting for alcohol history and tumor stage. In contrast, a high expression of *ADH5* was significantly associated with a poor clinical outcome (MST: 702 vs 511 days for low *ADH5*, log-rank *P*=0.0079, Figure 3B)

Table I	Clinical	characteristics	of PAAD	patients
---------	----------	-----------------	---------	----------

Variables	Events/total	MST	Crude HR	Log-rank
		(days)	(95% CI)	P -value
Age (years)	1			
≤60	25/54	684	I	
>60	67/123	598	1.421 (0.897–2.251)	0.132
Sex				
Male	46/97	661	I	
Female	46/80	568	1.219 (0.809–1.836)	0.343
Alcohol hist	ory ^b			
No	32/64	607	I	
Yes	52/101	603	1.126 (0.724–1.749)	0.599
Tumor stag	ec			
I	7/21	NA	1	
II	82/146	596	2.333 (1.069–5.089)	0.033
III+IV	3/7	545	1.715 (0.438–6.719)	0.439

Notes: ^aAge at initial pathologic diagnosis; ^binformation of alcohol history was unavailable in 12 patients; ^cinformation of tumor stage was unavailable in 3 patients. **Abbreviations:** HR, hazard ratio; MST, median survival time; PAAD, pancreatic adenocarcinoma; NA, not available.

and an increased risk of death (adjusted P=0.043, adjusted HR =1.564, 95% CI =1.013–2.414; Table 2). The associations between other ADH genes and PAAD OS did not show statistical significance.

Stratification analysis

Results of the stratified analysis of *ADH1A*, *ADH5*, and *ADH6* with OS in different strata of clinical characteristics are shown in Table 3. A high expression of *ADH1A* (adjusted *P*=0.017, adjusted HR =0.468, 95% CI =0.251–0.873) and *ADH6* (adjusted *P*=0.026, adjusted HR =0.498, 95% CI=0.270–0.918) has a protective effect in male PAAD patients, while high *ADH5* expression significantly increases the risk of death (adjusted *P*=0.028, adjusted HR =1.977, 95% CI=1.075–3.636). Similar protective effects can also be found with age >60 years (adjusted *P*=0.006, adjusted HR =0.488, 95% CI=0.293–0.811) and tumor stage II (adjusted *P*=0.049, adjusted HR =0.627, 95% CI=0.394–0.997) in patients with high *ADH6* expression. However, high *ADH5* expression also increased the risk of death in patients without a history of alcohol (adjusted *P*=0.014, adjusted HR =2.574, 95% CI =1.213–5.464).

Joint effects analysis

Coexpression analysis indicates that *ADH1A* and *ADH5* were positively correlated with *ADH6* at the mRNA expression level. We further investigated the joint effects of these genes in the prediction of PAAD prognosis. The combination of *ADH1A* and *ADH5* was divided into three groups (Table S1) for assessing the prognostic value in PAAD according to the associations between the genes and OS. Similar

Gene	Events/total	MST	Crude HR	Crude	Adjusted HR	Adjusted	
expression		(days)	(95% CI)	P -value	(95% CI)	, P-value ^a	
ADHIA							
Low	54/89	545	I		I		
High	38/88	913	0.685 (0.452-1.039)	0.075	0.627 (0.404-0.972)	0.037	
ADHĪB							
Low	51/89	598	I		I		
High	41/88	634	0.816 (0.540-1.232)	0.333	0.858 (0.552-1.334)	0.497	
ADHIC							
Low	44/89	634	I		I		
High	48/88	592	1.265 (0.838–1.909)	0.263	1.038 (0.656–1.641)	0.874	
ADH4							
Low	47/89	598	I		I		
High	45/88	652	0.981 (0.651-1.478)	0.926	0.917 (0.592-1.421)	0.698	
ADH5							
Low	40/89	702	I		I		
High	52/88	511	1.760 (1.153-2.685)	0.009	1.564 (1.013-2.414)	0.043	
ADH6							
Low	53/89	592	I		I		
High	39/88	691	0.634 (0.419–0.961)	0.032	0.588 (0.378–0.914)	0.018	

Table 2 Associations between ADH genes and OS in PAAD patients

Note: "Adjusted for alcohol history and tumor stage.

Abbreviations: ADH, alcohol dehydrogenase; HR, hazard ratio; MST, median survival time; OS, overall survival; PAAD, pancreatic adenocarcinoma.

classification methods were also used for the combinations of *ADH1A+ADH6*, *ADH5+ADH6*, and *ADH1A+ADH5+ADH6*, and the detailed grouping information is shown in <u>Table S1</u>. Joint effects analysis in the combination of *ADH1A* and

ADH5 demonstrated that group 2 (adjusted P=0.011, adjusted HR =0.525, 95% CI =0.320–0.862, Table 4; Figure 4A) and group 3 (adjusted P=0.003, adjusted HR =0.379, 95% CI =0.198–0.723, Table 4; Figure 4A) were associated with



Figure 3 (Continued)



Figure 3 The prognostic value of ADH genes expression in PAAD patients.

Notes: (A) OS stratified by ADH1A; (B) OS stratified by ADH1B; (C) OS stratified by ADH1C; (D) OS stratified by ADH4; (E) OS stratified by ADH5; (F) OS stratified by ADH6. Abbreviations: ADH, alcohol dehydrogenase; PAAD, pancreatic adenocarcinoma; OS, overall survival.

a significantly decreased risk of death in PAAD, compared to group 1. In Table 4, a protective effect can also be observed in the combination of ADH1A+ADH6 (group ii vs group i, adjusted P=0.029, adjusted HR =0.576, 95% CI =0.351-0.946; group iii vs group i, adjusted P=0.003, adjusted HR =0.408, 95% CI =0.225-0.741, Figure 4B), ADH5+ADH6 (group C vs group A, adjusted P=0.004, adjusted HR =0.378, 95% CI =0.196-0.727, Figure 4C) and ADH1A+ADH5+ADH6(group III vs group I, adjusted P=0.014, adjusted HR =0.475, 95% CI =0.262-0.861; group IV vs group I, adjusted P=0.003, adjusted HR =0.221, 95% CI =0.082-0.597, Figure 4D), respectively. Our joint effects analysis of the three ADH gene prognostic markers suggests that the prognosis difference for any marker combination was more significant than that for any individual marker.

Gene set enrichment analysis

To further explore the potential mechanism of ADH genes in PAAD prognosis, we used the PAAD genome-wide RNA sequencing dataset for GSEA. GSEA results of the c5 reference gene set suggest that high *ADH1A* expression was involved in the epoxygenase P450 pathway and cell differentiation regulation biological processes (Figure 5A and B; <u>Table S2</u>), while the enrichment of c2 indicates that high *ADH1A* is also involved in drug metabolism, cytochrome P450, fatty acid metabolism, and peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor signaling pathway (Figure 5C–F; <u>Table S3</u>). GSEA results reveal that the high expression of *ADH5* was correlated to the transforming growth factor β (TGF- β) regulation-related biological process (Figure 6A–E; <u>Table S4</u>), whereas the c2 enrichments suggest that high *ADH5* expression is involved in TGF- β , Wnt, cell cycle, ErbB, mitogen-activated protein

Table 3 Stratified ana	lysis of ADH genes and	OS in PAAD patients
------------------------	------------------------	---------------------

Variables	ADHIA				ADH5			ADH6				
	Low	High	Adjusted HR (95% Cl)	Adjusted <i>P</i> -value ^a	Low	High	Adjusted HR (95% Cl)	Adjusted <i>P</i> -value ^a	Low	High	Adjusted HR (95% Cl)	Adjusted P-value ^a
Age (years)) ^b											
≤60	23	31	0.474 (0.194–1.155)	0.100	25	29	1.521 (0.628-3.683)	0.352	31	23	0.744 (0.292-1.896)	0.535
>60	66	57	0.787 (0.470-1.317)	0.362	64	59	1.638 (0.989-2.713)	0.055	58	65	0.488 (0.293-0.811)	0.006
Sex												
Male	46	51	0.468 (0.251-0.873)	0.017	54	43	1.977 (1.075–3.636)	0.028	45	52	0.498 (0.270-0.918)	0.026
Female	43	37	0.919 (0.489–1.727)	0.792	35	45	1.039 (0.549–1.968)	0.906	44	36	0.806 (0.422-1.539)	0.514
Alcohol his	tory											
No	34	30	0.677 (0.327-1.403)	0.295	30	34	2.574 (1.213-5.464)	0.014	28	36	0.617 (0.302-1.259)	0.184
Yes	49	52	0.598 (0.343-1.043)	0.070	53	48	1.147 (0.663–1.984)	0.624	53	48	0.587 (0.333-1.034)	0.065
Tumor stag	ged											
I.	13	8	0.248 (0.030-2.074)	0.198	П	10	1.588 (0.352-7.160)	0.547	10	11	0.444 (0.086-2.296)	0.333
Ш	71	75	0.680 (0.428-1.079)	0.101	74	72	1.583 (0.998-2.511)	0.051	76	70	0.627 (0.394-0.997)	0.049
III+IV	3	4	0.331 (0.021-5.355)	0.437	2	5	1.0 (0.084–11.894)	1.0	2	5	0.331 (0.021–5.355)	0.437

Notes: *Adjusted for alcohol history and tumor stage; ^bage at initial pathologic diagnosis; ^cinformation of alcohol history was unavailable in 12 patients; ^dinformation of tumor stage was unavailable in 3 patients.

Abbreviations: ADH, alcohol dehydrogenase; HR, hazard ratio; MST, median survival time; OS, overall survival; PAAD, pancreatic adenocarcinoma.

Groups	Events/total	MST (days)	Crude HR	Crude	Adjusted HR	Adjusted	
-			(95% CI)	P-value	(95% CI)	P-value ^a	
ADHIA+ADH5							
Group I	30/45	470	I		I		
Group 2	46/87	607	0.556 (0.349–0.887)	0.014	0.525 (0.320-0.862)	0.011	
Group 3	16/45	1,502	0.378 (0.205-0.697)	0.002	0.379 (0.198-0.723)	0.003	
ADHIA+ADH6							
Group i	34/50	498	I		I		
Group ii	39/78	661	0.592 (0.371-0.944)	0.028	0.576 (0.351-0.946)	0.029	
Group iii	19/49	1,059	0.473 (0.269–0.832)	0.009	0.408 (0.225-0.741)	0.003	
ADH5+ADH6							
Group A	27/47	592	I		I		
Group B	51/83	518	0.936 (0.585-1.496)	0.782	0.904 (0.552-1.478)	0.687	
Group C	14/47	NA	0.339 (0.176-0.654)	0.001	0.378 (0.196-0.727)	0.004	
ADHIA+ADH5+	ADH6						
Group I	19/29	460	I		I		
Group II	34/55	517	0.761 (0.433-1.336)	0.342	0.726 (0.403-1.308)	0.286	
Group III	34/69	691	0.503 (0.284–0.890)	0.018	0.475 (0.262-0.861)	0.014	
Group IV	5/24	NA	0.212 (0.079-0.569)	0.002	0.221 (0.082-0.597)	0.003	

Table 4 Joint effects analysis of ADH genes and OS in PAAD patients

Notes: Group I: low ADH1A+high ADH5; Group 2: low ADH1A+low ADH5 or high ADH1A+high ADH5; Group 3: high ADH1A+low ADH5; Group 1: low ADH1A+low ADH6; Group 1: low ADH1A+high ADH6; Group 3: high ADH1A+high ADH6; Group 4: high ADH1A+high ADH6; Group 4: high ADH1A+high ADH6; Group B: low ADH5+low ADH6 or high ADH5+high ADH6; Group C: low ADH5+high ADH6; Group I: low ADH1A+high ADH5+low ADH6; Group II: low ADH1A+high ADH6; Group II: low ADH1A+high ADH6; Group II: low ADH1A+high ADH5+high ADH6 or high ADH1A+high ADH5+high ADH6; Group II: low ADH1A+high ADH5+high ADH6 or high ADH1A+high ADH5+high ADH6; Group III: low ADH1A+high ADH5+high ADH6 or high ADH1A+high ADH5+high ADH6; Group III: low ADH1A+high ADH5+high ADH6 or high ADH1A+high ADH5+high ADH6; Group III: low ADH1A+high ADH5+high ADH6 or high ADH1A+high ADH5+high ADH6; Group III: low ADH1A+high ADH5+high ADH6 or high ADH1A+high ADH5+high ADH6; Group III: low ADH1A+high ADH5+high ADH6 or high ADH1A+high ADH5+high ADH6; Group III: low ADH1A+high ADH5+high ADH6 or high ADH1A+high ADH5+high ADH6; Group III: low ADH1A+high ADH5+high ADH6 or high ADH1A+high ADH5+high ADH6; Group III: low ADH5+high ADH6 or high ADH1A+high ADH5+high ADH6; Group III: low ADH5+high ADH6 or high ADH1A+high ADH5+high ADH6 or high ADH1A+high ADH5+high ADH6; Group IV: high ADH1A+high ADH5+high ADH6; adjusted for alcohol history and tumor stage.

Abbreviations: ADH, alcohol dehydrogenase; HR, hazard ratio; MST, median survival time; OS, overall survival; PAAD, pancreatic adenocarcinoma; NA, not available.



Figure 4 Kaplan-Meier survival curve for joint effects analysis among ADHIA, ADH5, and ADH6 in PAAD patients.

Notes: (A) Joint effects analysis of ADH1A and ADH5; (B) joint effects analysis of ADH1A and ADH6; (C) joint effects analysis of ADH5 and ADH6; (D) joint effects analysis of ADH1A, ADH5, and ADH6.

Abbreviations: ADH, alcohol dehydrogenase; PAAD, pancreatic adenocarcinoma.



Figure 5 GSEA results of ADHIA expressed in PAAD patients.

Notes: (A and B) GSEA results of c5 reference gene sets for high ADHIA expression groups; (C-F) GSEA results of c2 reference gene sets for high ADHIA expression groups.

Abbreviations: ADH, alcohol dehydrogenase; ES, enrichment score; FDR, false discovery rate; GSEA, gene set enrichment analysis; PAAD, pancreatic adenocarcinoma.

kinase (MAPK), and the pancreatic cancer signaling pathway (Figure 6F–L; <u>Table S5</u>). We also investigated the potential mechanism in *ADH6* and demonstrated that high *ADH6* expression was related to primary alcohol metabolic processes (Figure 7A; <u>Table S6</u>), fatty acid and retinol metabolism, and drug metabolism cytochrome P450 (Figure 7B–F; <u>Table S7</u>).

Discussion

Ethanol is first metabolized by ADH isoenzymes and then the resulting product is further metabolized by aldehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH) isoenzymes into acetic acid.¹⁶ The disproportion between ADH and ALDH may lead to an increased ability for ethanol oxidation and less capability to remove acetaldehyde, resulting in deleterious alcohol metabolites accumulating in vivo, which may subsequently cause oncogenesis.¹⁷ ADH isoenzymes are divided into several classes on the basis of differences in biological characteristics.¹⁸ Isoenzymes of class I ADH are encoded by ADH1A, ADH1B, and ADH1C, whereas class II, III, IV, and class V ADH are encoded by ADH4, ADH5, ADH6, and ADH7, respectively.¹⁹ Numerous studies have shown that the serum levels of class I ADH are a potential diagnostic marker in multiple cancers, including renal cell,²⁰ brain,²¹ colorectal,²² endometrial,²³ and cervical cancers.²⁴ Similar diagnostic values can also be observed in class III ADH for pancreatic cancer,8 and class IV ADH for esophageal25 and gastric cancers.²⁶ The diagnostic values of ADH isoenzymes in other cancers have not yet been reported, but the difference of ADH isoenzymes between cancer patients and healthy subjects has already been observed. Both the serum and tissue expression level of class I and total ADH were significantly increased in liver,^{27,28} colorectal,^{29,30} and brain cancers,^{31,32} while ALDH levels were not statistically significant different between cancer patients and healthy subjects. The upregulation trend of class I ADH between



Figure 6 GSEA results of ADH5 expressed in PAAD patients.

Notes: (A–E) GSEA results of c5 reference gene sets for high ADH5 expression groups; (F–L) GSEA results of c2 reference gene sets for high ADH5 expression groups. Abbreviations: ADH, alcohol dehydrogenase; ES, enrichment score; FDR, false discovery rate; GSEA, gene set enrichment analysis; PAAD, pancreatic adenocarcinoma.



Figure 7 GSEA results of ADH6 expressed in PAAD patients.

Notes: (A) GSEA results of c5 reference gene sets for high ADH6 expression groups; (B–F) GSEA results of c2 reference gene sets for high ADH6 expression groups. Abbreviations: ADH, alcohol dehydrogenase; ES, enrichment score; FDR, false discovery rate; GSEA, gene set enrichment analysis; PAAD, pancreatic adenocarcinoma.

cancer and healthy tissue can also be found in cervical,³³ ovarian,³⁴ endometrial,³⁵ and renal cell cancers,³⁶ whereas the upregulation trend of class I ADH for cancer serum has been reported in bladder and esophageal cancers.^{37,38} However, the expression of class I ADH in breast cancer was significantly decreased compared to the tissue of healthy subjects, and the expression of ALDH was unchanged.³⁹ An upregulation trend of class III and class IV ADH was also shown in pancreatic and esophageal tumor tissue, respectively.^{7,40} Besides the use of the differences of ADH isoenzymes expression for cancer diagnosis, the genetic variant of ADH can also change the susceptibility of cancer. Polymorphisms of ADH1C are significantly associated with the risk of colorectal cancer,⁴¹ oral squamous cell carcinoma,^{42,43} bladder cancer,⁴⁴ upper aerodigestive tract cancers,45,46 head and neck squamous cell carcinoma,47 and gastric cancer.48,49

Despite numerous reports of ADH isoenzyme diagnosis values and cancer susceptibility, the prognostic value of ADH genes has rarely been investigated. A previous study by Wei et al indicated that ADH4 may act as a potential

prognostic marker for hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), and lower expression of ADH4 may be associated with a worse survival.⁵⁰ Our previous study on hepatitis B virus (HBV)related HCC also demonstrates that the upregulation of ADH1A, ADH1C, and ADH6 in HCC tumor tissues was associated with favorable prognosis, whereas high ADH1C and ADH5 reduced the risk of tumor recurrence in HBV-related HCC, respectively.⁵¹ In the current study, our results indicate that a high expression of ADH1A and ADH6 has a protective effect in PAAD prognosis, while a high expression of ADH5 may increase the risk of death. In addition, the combination of these three ADH genes has prediction values for PAAD prognosis, and the prognosis difference between different combination groups was significant. Our findings imply that individuals of these three ADH genes may serve as a PAAD prognostic marker; however, their combination showed a strong interaction and better predictive value for PAAD prognosis. Once validated, ADH genes may be valuable biomarkers in PAAD diagnosis and prognostic prediction, and later these biomarkers may be used in combination with

other clinical diagnosis and prognostic factors for decisionmaking in PAAD management.

To investigate the potential mechanism of ADH genes in PAAD prognosis, we used a genome-wide RNA sequencing dataset in GSEA and substantiated that both ADH1A and ADH6 were involved in drug metabolism cytochrome P450 and fatty acid metabolism pathways. Human cytochrome P450 (CYP) enzymes are mainly distributed in the smooth endoplasmic reticulum and involved in detoxification through the metabolism of toxic fat-soluble substances to water-soluble substances, which are then excreted.⁵² P450 enzymes play a key role in cancer formation and cancer treatment, and mediate the metabolic activation of anticancer drugs and precarcinogens, as well as anticancer drug inactivation.53 An in vitro study has substantiated that the expression of CYP2B1 enzymes (retrovirus-mediated transduction) leads to an increased susceptibility to ifosfamide in pancreatic cancer.54 Studies suggest that P450 enzymes have the potential to be used as distinguishing markers in pancreatic pathology and targets of pancreatic cancer gene therapy.55,56 On the basis of GSEA results, we deduced that both ADH1A and a high expression of ADH6 were involved in the P450-related pathway and biological processes that are associated with the progress and treatment of pancreatic cancer, and may play a role in OS of PAAD via P450.

TGF-β regulation-related pathways and biological processes, Wnt, the cell cycle, ErbB, and the MAPK signaling pathway were significantly enriched in the ADH5 highexpression group. TGF- β family members participate in multiple cellular functions such as proliferation, apoptosis, differentiation, and migration. Research by Friess et al substantiated that an enhanced expression of TGF-B isoforms in pancreatic cancer was correlated with a worse survival,⁵⁷ while a study by Glazer et al demonstrated that patients with early-stage pancreatic cancer have longer median survival with TGFB1 overexpression.⁵⁸ In addition, studies have reported that the TGF- β pathway may serve as a potential target for targeted therapy^{59,60} and the inhibition of the TGF pathway can decrease PAAD growth and invasiveness.⁶¹ These findings suggest that high ADH5 expression may influence TGF-B regulation-related pathways and biological processes, and, therefore, may play a role in PAAD prognosis.

The Wnt signaling pathway plays an important role in physiological and pathological processes including the occurrence and development of tumors. Activation of the Wnt/ β -catenin signaling pathway may enhance pancreatic cancer development⁶² and increase pancreatic cancer tumorigenicity via miR-744.63 Moreover, Jiang et al indicated that Wnt2 expression in pancreatic cancer tissues was significantly associated with tumor development by activation of the Wnt pathways and serves as a potential candidate for targeted therapy of pancreatic cancer.⁶⁴ The ErbB signaling pathway functions through ErbB family members (including ErbB-1, ErbB-2, ErbB-3, and ErbB-4) and the MAPK pathway is a common downstream target of all ErbB receptors. Previous studies indicate that ErbB-1 and ErbB-3 play an important role in pancreatic cancer and may serve as a potential candidate for targeted therapy of pancreatic cancer.^{65–67} Furthermore, Koizumi et al revealed that it is necessary for p38 MAPK signaling activation in gemcitabine-induced cell death in pancreatic cancer, and conclude that p38 MAPK signaling pathways could serve as a novel target for gemcitabine-based therapy.⁶⁸ In summary, the potential mechanism of high ADH5 expression in PAAD prognosis is probably because it is involved in multiple biological processes and signaling pathways that are related to pancreatic cancer development, the cell cycle, targeted

There were some limitations to our study that need to be recognized. First, the clinical information from the TCGA database was not comprehensive, and the information for PAAD patients from the TCGA, such as tumor size, histology, lymphatic invasion, venous invasion, and treatment, was not available on the TCGA website. Therefore, our study evaluated the association between ADH gene expression and OS on the basis of multivariate survival analysis that was only adjusted for alcohol history and tumor stage in a Cox proportional hazards regression model. Second, only four PAAD adjacent normal tissues expression data are available in TCGA, and this resulted in a test with low power. Therefore, further investigations of ADH gene distribution between tumors and adjacent normal tissues are needed. Third, our current study based on the TCGA database to analyze the prognosis prediction of the mRNA expression level of ADH isozymes lacks verification at protein level. Therefore, future research is still needed to address these issues.

therapy, and survival.

Despite these limitations, ours is the first study to investigate the association between individual ADH gene expression and OS in PAAD patients, as well as the joint effects of prognostic values among three ADH genes. We also investigated the potential mechanism of ADH genes in PAAD prognostics using the GSEA approach. These findings provide insight into ADH genes in cancer clinical outcomes and may have clinical utility for prognosis prediction and decision-making in PAAD management.

Conclusion

Our data suggest that *ADH1A*, *ADH5*, and *ADH6* may be potential prognostic biomarkers of PAAD, and their combination showed a strong interaction and better predictive value for PAAD prognosis. The potential mechanism of *ADH1A* and *ADH6* in PAAD prognosis was that a high expression of *ADH1A* and *ADH6* was involved in the P450-related pathway and biological processes, while high *ADH5* expression was involved in the TGF- β regulationrelated pathway and biological processes, Wnt, the cell cycle, ErbB, and the MAPK signaling pathway. Functional experiments will be needed for the further validation of these findings in a future study. Due to the small sample size and incomplete clinical information in the current study, further well-designed and larger sample size studies are necessary to validate our results.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by the National Nature Science Foundation of China (No: 81560535, 81072321, 30760243, 30460143 and 30560133), 2009 Program for New Century Excellent Talents in University (NCET), Guangxi Nature Sciences Foundation (No: GuiKeGong 1104003A-7), and Guangxi Health Ministry Medicine Grant (Key-Scientific Research-Grant Z201018). Self-raised Scientific Research Fund of the Health and Family Planning Commission of Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region (Z2016318). The authors thank Dr Ketuan Huang, Tingdong Yu, Wei Qin, Chengkun Yang, Guangzhi Zhu, Hao Su, Xiangkun Wang, Zhengtao Liu, and Prof Legun Li, Xue Qin, Liming Shang, Xinping Ye, Bin Chen, Kaiyin Xiao, Minhao Peng, Zhen Liu, and Sicong Lu for their contribution on manuscript revision. Thanks also go to the contributors of the Cancer Genome Atlas for sharing the PAAD RNA sequencing dataset on open access. In addition, we also would like to acknowledge the helpful comments that our reviewers provided to this paper.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- Kamisawa T, Wood LD, Itoi T, Takaori K. Pancreatic cancer. *Lancet*. 2016;388(10039):73–85.
- Torre LA, Bray F, Siegel RL, Ferlay J, Lortet-Tieulent J, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics, 2012. CA Cancer J Clin. 2015;65(2):87–108.
- Chen W, Zheng R, Baade PD, et al. Cancer statistics in China, 2015. CA Cancer J Clin. 2016;66(2):115–132.
- Zeng H, Zheng R, Guo Y, et al. Cancer survival in China, 2003–2005: a population-based study. *Int J Cancer*. 2015;136(8):1921–1930.
- Haber PS, Apte MV, Applegate TL, et al. Metabolism of ethanol by rat pancreatic acinar cells. *J Lab Clin Med.* 1998;132(4):294–302.

- Chrostek L, Jelski W, Szmitkowski M, Puchalski Z. Alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH) isoenzymes and aldehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH) activity in the human pancreas. *Dig Dis Sci.* 2003;48(7):1230–1233.
- Jelski W, Chrostek L, Szmitkowski M. The activity of class I, II, III, and IV of alcohol dehydrogenase isoenzymes and aldehyde dehydrogenase in pancreatic cancer. *Pancreas*. 2007;35(2):142–146.
- Jelski W, Kutylowska E, Laniewska-Dunaj M, Szmitkowski M. Alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH) and aldehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH) as candidates for tumor markers in patients with pancreatic cancer. *J Gastrointestin Liver Dis.* 2011;20(3):255–259.
- Mohamed AA, Soliman H, Ismail M, et al. Evaluation of circulating ADH and MIC-1 as diagnostic markers in Egyptian patients with pancreatic cancer. *Pancreatology*. 2015;15(1):34–39.
- Anders S, Huber W. Differential expression analysis for sequence count data. *Genome Biol.* 2010;11(10):R106.
- Shaul YD, Yuan B, Thiru P, et al. MERAV: a tool for comparing gene expression across human tissues and cell types. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2016; 44(D1):D560–D566.
- Subramanian A, Tamayo P, Mootha VK, et al. Gene set enrichment analysis: a knowledge-based approach for interpreting genomewide expression profiles. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2005;102(43): 15545–15550.
- Mootha VK, Lindgren CM, Eriksson KF, et al. PGC-1alpha-responsive genes involved in oxidative phosphorylation is coordinately downregulated in human diabetes. *Nat Genet*. 2003;34(3):267–273.
- Reiner A, Yekutieli D, Benjamini Y. Identifying differentially expressed genes using false discovery rate controlling procedures. *Bioinformatics*. 2003;19(3):368–375.
- 15. Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. *J R Stat Soc Series B Stat Methodol*. 1995;57(1):289–300.
- Klyosov AA. Kinetics and specificity of human liver aldehyde dehydrogenases toward aliphatic, aromatic, and fused polycyclic aldehydes. *Biochemistry*. 1996;35(14):4457–4467.
- Orywal K, Szmitkowski M. Alcohol dehydrogenase and aldehyde dehydrogenase in malignant neoplasms. *Clin Exp Med.* 2017;17(2): 131–139.
- Holmes RS. Alcohol dehydrogenases: a family of isozymes with differential functions. *Alcohol Alcohol Suppl.* 1994;2:127–130.
- Jelski W, Szmitkowski M. Alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH) and aldehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH) in the cancer diseases. *Clin Chim Acta*. 2008;395(1–2):1–5.
- Orywal K, Jelski W, Werel T, Szmitkowski M. The diagnostic significance of serum alcohol dehydrogenase isoenzymes and aldehyde dehydrogenase activity in renal cell cancer patients. *Exp Mol Pathol.* 2016;100(3):416–420.
- Jelski W, Laniewska-Dunaj M, Orywal K, Kochanowicz J, Rutkowski R, Szmitkowski M. The diagnostic value of alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH) isoenzymes and aldehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH) measurement in the sera of patients with brain tumor. *Arch Med Sci.* 2017; 13(2):346–352.
- Jelski W, Mroczko B, Szmitkowski M. The diagnostic value of alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH) isoenzymes and aldehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH) measurement in the sera of colorectal cancer patients. *Dig Dis Sci.* 2010;55(10):2953–2957.
- Orywal K, Jelski W, Zdrodowski M, Szmitkowski M. The diagnostic value of alcohol dehydrogenase isoenzymes and aldehyde dehydrogenase measurement in the sera of patients with endometrial cancer. *Anticancer Res.* 2013;33(9):3725–3730.
- Orywal K, Jelski W, Zdrodowski M, Szmitkowski M. The diagnostic value of alcohol dehydrogenase isoenzymes and aldehyde dehydrogenase measurement sera of cervical cancer patients. *Anticancer Res.* 2016;36(5):2265–2269.
- Jelski W, Laniewska-Dunaj M, Niklinski J, Kozlowski M, Laudanski J, Szmitkowski M. The alcohol dehydrogenase isoenzyme (ADH IV) as a candidate tumour marker of esophageal cancer. *Acta Biochim Polonica*. 2013;60(3):489–493.

- Jelski W, Orywal K, Laniewska M, Szmitkowski M. The diagnostic value of alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH) isoenzymes and aldehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH) measurement in the sera of gastric cancer patients. *Clin Exp Med.* 2010;10(4):215–219.
- Jelski W, Zalewski B, Szmitkowski M. Alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH) isoenzymes and aldehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH) activity in the sera of patients with liver cancer. J Clin Lab Anal. 2008;22(3):204–209.
- Jelski W, Zalewski B, Szmitkowski M. The activity of class I, II, III, and IV alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH) isoenzymes and aldehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH) in liver cancer. *Dig Dis Sci.* 2008;53(9):2550–2555.
- Jelski W, Zalewski B, Chrostek L, Szmitkowski M. Alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH) isoenzymes and aldehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH) activity in the sera of patients with colorectal cancer. *Clin Expl Med*. 2007;7(4):154–157.
- Jelski W, Zalewski B, Chrostek L, Szmitkowski M. The activity of class I, II, III, and IV alcohol dehydrogenase isoenzymes and aldehyde dehydrogenase in colorectal cancer. *Dig Dis Sci.* 2004;49(6):977–981.
- 31. Jelski W, Laniewska-Dunaj M, Orywal K, Kochanowicz J, Rutkowski R, Szmitkowski M. The activity of alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH) isoenzymes and aldehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH) in the sera of patients with brain cancer. *Neurochem Res.* 2014;39(12):2313–2318.
- 32. Laniewska-Dunaj M, Jelski W, Orywal K, Kochanowicz J, Rutkowski R, Szmitkowski M. The activity of class I, II, III and IV of alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH) isoenzymes and aldehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH) in brain cancer. *Neurochem Res.* 2013;38(7):1517–1521.
- Orywal K, Jelski W, Zdrodowski M, Szmitkowski M. The activity of class I, II, III and IV alcohol dehydrogenase isoenzymes and aldehyde dehydrogenase in cervical cancer. *Clin Biochem*. 2011;44(14–15): 1231–1234.
- Orywal K, Jelski W, Zdrodowski M, Szmitkowski M. The activity of class I, II, III and IV alcohol dehydrogenase isoenzymes and aldehyde dehydrogenase in ovarian cancer and ovarian cysts. *Adv Med Sci.* 2013; 58(2):216–220.
- Orywal K, Jelski W, Zdrodowski M, Szmitkowski M. The activity of class I, II, III, and IV alcohol dehydrogenase isoenzymes and aldehyde dehydrogenase in endometrial cancer. *J Clin Lab Anal.* 2010;24(5): 334–339.
- Orywal K, Jelski W, Werel T, Szmitkowski M. The activity of class I, II, III and IV alcohol dehydrogenase isoenzymes and aldehyde dehydrogenase in renal cell carcinoma. *Exp Mol Pathol.* 2015;98(3): 403–406.
- Orywal K, Jelski W, Werel T, Szmitkowski M. The activity of class I, II, III and IV alcohol dehydrogenase isoenzymes and aldehyde dehydrogenase in the sera of bladder cancer patients. *Acta Biochim Polonica*. 2017;64(1):81–84.
- Jelski W, Kozlowski M, Laudanski J, Niklinski J, Szmitkowski M. Alcohol dehydrogenase isoenzymes and aldehyde dehydrogenase activity in the sera of patients with esophageal cancer. *Clin Exp Med.* 2009;9(2):131–137.
- Jelski W, Chrostek L, Szmitkowski M, Markiewicz W. The activity of class I, II, III and IV alcohol dehydrogenase isoenzymes and aldehyde dehydrogenase in breast cancer. *Clin Exp Med.* 2006;6(2): 89–93.
- Jelski W, Kozlowski M, Laudanski J, Niklinski J, Szmitkowski M. The activity of class I, II, III, and IV alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH) isoenzymes and aldehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH) in esophageal cancer. *Dig Dis Sci.* 2009;54(4):725–730.
- Bongaerts BW, de Goeij AF, Wouters KA, et al. Alcohol consumption, alcohol dehydrogenase 1C (ADH1C) genotype, and risk of colorectal cancer in the Netherlands Cohort Study on diet and cancer. *Alcohol.* 2011;45(3):217–225.
- Brocic M, Supic G, Zeljic K, et al. Genetic polymorphisms of ADH1C and CYP2E1 and risk of oral squamous cell carcinoma. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* 2011;145(4):586–593.
- Solomon PR, Selvam GS, Shanmugam G. Polymorphism in ADH and MTHFR genes in oral squamous cell carcinoma of Indians. *Oral Dis.* 2008;14(7):633–639.

- 44. van Dijk B, van Houwelingen KP, Witjes JA, Schalken JA, Kiemeney LA. Alcohol dehydrogenase type 3 (ADH3) and the risk of bladder cancer. *Eur Urol*. 2001;40(5):509–514.
- McKay JD, Truong T, Gaborieau V, et al. A genome-wide association study of upper aerodigestive tract cancers conducted within the INHANCE consortium. *PLoS Genet.* 2011;7(3):e1001333.
- Oze I, Matsuo K, Suzuki T, et al. Impact of multiple alcohol dehydrogenase gene polymorphisms on risk of upper aerodigestive tract cancers in a Japanese population. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2009;18(11):3097–3102.
- 47. Ji YB, Lee SH, Kim KR, et al. Association between ADH1B and ADH1C polymorphisms and the risk of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Tumour Biol.* 2015;36(6):4387–4396.
- Terry MB, Gammon MD, Zhang FF, et al. Alcohol dehydrogenase 3 and risk of esophageal and gastric adenocarcinomas. *Cancer Causes Control.* 2007;18(9):1039–1046.
- 49. Hidaka A, Sasazuki S, Matsuo K, et al; JPHC Study Group. Genetic polymorphisms of ADH1B, ADH1C and ALDH2, alcohol consumption, and the risk of gastric cancer: the Japan Public Health Center-based prospective study. *Carcinogenesis*. 2015;36(2):223–231.
- 50. Wei RR, Zhang MY, Rao HL, Pu HY, Zhang HZ, Wang HY. Identification of ADH4 as a novel and potential prognostic marker in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Med Oncol*. 2012;29(4):2737–2743.
- Shang L, Zhu G, Su H, et al. Identification of alcohol dehydrogenase as a potential prognostic marker in HBV-related hepatocellular carcinoma. *Int J Clin Exp Med*. 2017;10(3):4457–4472.
- 52. Nebert DW, Russell DW. Clinical importance of the cytochromes P450. *Lancet*. 2002;360(9340):1155–1162.
- Rodriguez-Antona C, Ingelman-Sundberg M. Cytochrome P450 pharmacogenetics and cancer. *Oncogene*. 2006;25(11):1679–1691.
- Hlavaty J, Petznek H, Holzmuller H, et al. Evaluation of a gene-directed enzyme-product therapy (GDEPT) in human pancreatic tumor cells and their use as in vivo models for pancreatic cancer. *PLoS One*. 2012;7(7): e40611.
- Liu SX, Xia ZS, Zhong YQ. Gene therapy in pancreatic cancer. World journal of gastroenterology. 2014;20(37):13343–13368.
- 56. Gandhi AV, Saxena S, Relles D, et al. Differential expression of cytochrome P450 omega-hydroxylase isoforms and their association with clinicopathological features in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. *Ann Surg Oncol.* 2013;20 (Suppl 3):S636–S643.
- Friess H, Yamanaka Y, Buchler M, et al. Enhanced expression of transforming growth factor beta isoforms in pancreatic cancer correlates with decreased survival. *Gastroenterology*. 1993;105(6): 1846–1856.
- Glazer ES, Welsh E, Pimiento JM, Teer JK, Malafa MP. TGFbeta1 overexpression is associated with improved survival and low tumor cell proliferation in patients with early-stage pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. *Oncotarget*. 2017;8(1):999–1006.
- Craven KE, Gore J, Wilson JL, Korc M. Angiogenic gene signature in human pancreatic cancer correlates with TGF-beta and inflammatory transcriptomes. *Oncotarget*. 2016;7(1):323–341.
- Javle M, Li Y, Tan D, et al. Biomarkers of TGF-beta signaling pathway and prognosis of pancreatic cancer. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(1): e85942.
- 61. Gaspar NJ, Li L, Kapoun AM, et al. Inhibition of transforming growth factor beta signaling reduces pancreatic adenocarcinoma growth and invasiveness. *Mol Pharmacol.* 2007;72(1):152–161.
- Sano M, Driscoll DR, DeJesus-Monge WE, et al. Activation of WNT/ beta-catenin signaling enhances pancreatic cancer development and the malignant potential via up-regulation of Cyr61. *Neoplasia*. 2016; 18(12):785–794.
- Zhou W, Li Y, Gou S, et al. MiR-744 increases tumorigenicity of pancreatic cancer by activating Wnt/beta-catenin pathway. *Oncotarget*. 2015;6(35):37557–37569.
- 64. Jiang H, Li Q, He C, et al. Activation of the Wnt pathway through Wnt2 promotes metastasis in pancreatic cancer. *Am J Cancer Res.* 2014;4(5): 537–544.

- 65. Thomas G, Chardes T, Gaborit N, et al. HER3 as biomarker and therapeutic target in pancreatic cancer: new insights in pertuzumab therapy in preclinical models. *Oncotarget*. 2014;5(16):7138–7148.
- Troiani T, Martinelli E, Capasso A, et al. Targeting EGFR in pancreatic cancer treatment. *Curr Drug Targets*. 2012;13(6):802–810.
- 67. Miyabayashi K, Ijichi H, Mohri D, et al. Erlotinib prolongs survival in pancreatic cancer by blocking gemcitabine-induced MAPK signals. *Cancer Res.* 2013;73(7):2221–2234.
- Koizumi K, Tanno S, Nakano Y, et al. Activation of p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase is necessary for gemcitabine-induced cytotoxicity in human pancreatic cancer cells. *Anticancer Res.* 2005;25(5):3347–3353.

OncoTargets and Therapy

Publish your work in this journal

OncoTargets and Therapy is an international, peer-reviewed, open access journal focusing on the pathological basis of all cancers, potential targets for therapy and treatment protocols employed to improve the management of cancer patients. The journal also focuses on the impact of management programs and new therapeutic agents and protocols on

Submit your manuscript here: http://www.dovepress.com/oncotargets-and-therapy-journal

Dovepress

patient perspectives such as quality of life, adherence and satisfaction.

The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from

published authors.