ORIGINAL RESEARCH

# A feasibility study to investigate the use of a bupivacaine-collagen implant (XaraColl) for postoperative analgesia following laparoscopic surgery

# Lisa Hemsen<sup>1</sup> Susan L Cusack<sup>2</sup> Harold S Minkowitz<sup>3</sup> Michael E Kuss<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Innocoll Technologies, Athlone, Ireland; <sup>2</sup>Cusack Pharmaceutical Consulting, Burlington, NJ, USA; <sup>3</sup>Memorial Hermann Memorial City Medical Center, Houston, TX, USA; <sup>4</sup>Premier Research Group, Austin, TX, USA **Background:** XaraColl, a collagen-based implant that delivers bupivacaine to sites of surgical trauma, has been shown to reduce postoperative pain and use of opioid analgesia in patients undergoing open surgery. We therefore designed and conducted a preliminary feasibility study to investigate its application and ease of use for laparoscopic surgery.

**Methods:** We implanted four XaraColl implants each containing 50 mg of bupivacaine hydrochloride (200 mg total dose) in ten men undergoing laparoscopic inguinal or umbilical hernioplasty. Postoperative pain intensity and use of opioid analgesia were recorded through 72 hours for comparison with previously reported data from efficacy studies performed in men undergoing open inguinal hernioplasty. Safety was assessed for 30 days.

**Results:** XaraColl was easily and safely implanted via a laparoscope. The summed pain intensity and total use of opioid analgesia through the first 24 hours were similar to the values observed in previously reported studies for XaraColl-treated patients after open surgery, but were lower through 48 and 72 hours.

**Conclusion:** XaraColl is suitable for use in laparoscopic surgery and may provide postoperative analgesia in laparoscopic patients who often experience considerable postoperative pain in the first 24–48 hours following hospital discharge. Randomized controlled trials specifically to evaluate its efficacy in this application are warranted.

Keywords: laparoscopic, hernioplasty, hernia repair

#### Introduction

It is widely accepted that patients typically experience less postoperative pain with minimally invasive (laparoscopic) surgery, which in turn contributes to faster patient recovery, reduced hospital stay, and lower hospital costs compared to the corresponding open procedure. These advantages mean that laparoscopic techniques are being developed and applied for an increasing variety of surgical procedures, and ling progressively more operations to be conducted on an ambulatory basis. However, there remains little doubt that many patients undergoing ambulatory surgery still suffer significant postoperative pain, with 30% reporting moderate to severe pain after 24 hours. Indeed, despite the need for smaller incisions compared to open procedures, the degree of visceral trauma is similar or even more extensive with laparoscopic access. Therefore, the management of early postoperative pain (ie, for at least the first 24–48 hours) is arguably just as important for patients who are promptly discharged after ambulatory surgery as for those who remain under hospital care for longer with potent analgesics readily at hand if needed.

Correspondence: Lisa Hemsen Innocoll Technologies, Dublin Road, Athlone, Westmeath, Ireland Tel +353 90 6486834 Email Ihemsen@innocollinc.com

http://dx.doi.org/10.2147/JPR.S40158

XaraColl (Innocoll Technologies, Athlone, Ireland) is a biodegradable and fully resorbable collagen matrix impregnated with the local anesthetic bupivacaine, which is under development for postoperative analgesia (Figure 1). The product is implanted during surgery and releases bupivacaine for local, sustained action at the site(s) of surgical trauma, while maintaining low systemic levels well below the drug's neurotoxicity and cardiotoxicity thresholds.8 Recently reported multicenter randomized controlled trials have suggested that XaraColl is safe and effective for reducing postoperative pain and/or patient need of opioid analgesics for up to 72 hours following a laparotomy procedure such as open hernioplasty<sup>9</sup> or total abdominal hysterectomy.<sup>10</sup> The primary aim of this study was to determine whether it was possible to implant and appropriately position XaraColl laparoscopically. We are aware of no other studies where a purpose-designed, intraoperative anesthetic-delivery system has been evaluated for use in laparoscopic surgery.

## Materials and methods

We conducted a feasibility study to investigate the use of XaraColl in ten men undergoing laparoscopic inguinal or umbilical hernia repair (NCT 01224145). The study was performed at Kirby Surgical Center (Houston, TX, USA) in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and Good Clinical Practice guidelines following approval by an institutional review board.

Eligible patients included men at least 18 years of age who were generally healthy and scheduled for either a unilateral laparoscopic inguinal hernioplasty by the transabdominal preperitoneal (TAPP) or totally extraperitoneal (TEP) technique, or for a laparoscopic umbilical hernioplasty. We excluded patients who were scheduled for a bilateral inguinal



Figure I XaraColl (Innocoll Technologies, Athlone, Ireland).

hernia repair, had already undergone the repair at the same site of the scheduled surgery, or who had any concomitant illness that would significantly increase their surgical risk or make it difficult to complete the required assessments. Patients who were being treated with agents that could affect their analgesic response, such as central alpha agents, neuroleptic agents, and other antipsychotic agents, monoamine oxidase inhibitors, or systemic corticosteroids, were also excluded. Patients who were considered suitable and provided written informed consent then underwent additional screening procedures, including a physical examination and routine laboratory tests, up to 42 days before surgery.

On the day of surgery, patients underwent confirmatory safety assessments, and those who continued to meet all study entry criteria were allocated to receive the study drug. Surgery was conducted under general anesthesia, allowing use of short-acting agents such as propofol, midazolam, and fentanyl. The use of epidural anesthesia or local anesthetic infiltrations was prohibited. All patients received a total of four XaraColl implants, each containing 50 mg of bupivacaine hydrochloride (ie, 200 mg total dose), which were placed to achieve optimal coverage of the traumatized tissues and thereby target maximum postoperative analgesia. Time 0 was defined as the time of implantation of the first XaraColl implant.

For the laparoscopic inguinal hernia repair, the implants were positioned over the abdominal wall repair (the repair mesh was then placed on top) and along the area that was dissected through the laparoscope to get to the repair site. For the laparoscopic umbilical hernia repair, the implants were placed under the mesh or ventral patch prior to the mesh or patch being secured around the site of the repair. For both procedures, one of the four implants, or a portion of the implant, was also placed under the subcutaneous tissue on top of the closed fascia. The implants were allowed to be cut as needed to best cover the sites of hernia repair and fascial closure. After surgery, the surgeon completed a questionnaire to record the method and ease of implantation.

Patients were observed and evaluated by hospital staff for a minimum of 4 hours after their surgery. Immediate postoperative pain was treated with intravenous morphine at incremental doses of 1–2 mg, as needed to achieve pain control. Once patients could tolerate oral medication, they were provided with immediate-release oral morphine tablets and instructed to take only if necessary for breakthrough pain. Patients could be discharged following the observation period, but were required to return to the study site 72 hours after surgery for their final assessments and follow-up visit.

Study staff contacted patients by telephone approximately 1 week and 1 month after surgery to inquire about their surgical wound, use of concomitant medications, any adverse events, and their general well-being.

## Efficacy assessments

Patients assessed their pain intensity after aggravated movement (defined as cough) by using a visual analog scale with the left anchor (0 mm) labeled "No pain" and the right anchor (100 mm) labeled "Worst pain imaginable". These pain assessments were scheduled at approximately 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 24, 48, and 72 hours after time 0 (provided the patient was awake). The summed pain intensity (SPI) was defined and calculated as the trapezoidal area under the visual analog scale curve from 1 hour through to 24, 48, or 72 hours after time 0. Missing assessments were imputed according to the following prospective rules:

- Any missing pain-intensity assessments before the first observed assessment were imputed with the patient's worst observation (ie, their highest recorded visual analog scale score).
- All other missing pain-intensity assessments were imputed using the patient's last observation (ie, the observation prior to that missing).

In addition, the total use of opioid analgesia (TOpA) administered from time 0 through 72 hours was recorded and converted to intravenous morphine equivalent using a published conversion table.<sup>11</sup>

## Safety assessments

We collected safety data, including physical findings, vital signs, and laboratory assessments, at scheduled intervals and recorded all adverse events and serious adverse events throughout the study duration. An adverse event was defined as any clinically unfavorable and unintended sign (including abnormal laboratory findings), symptom, or disease, whether or not it was causally related to the treatment. A serious adverse event was defined as any adverse event that resulted in death, was life-threatening, required inpatient hospitalization or prolongation of existing hospitalization, resulted in permanent disability/incapacity, or was an important medical event. We designated each adverse event based on clinical severity, defined as either "mild" (causes no limitation of usual activities), "moderate" (causes some limitation of usual activities), or "severe" (prevents or severely limits usual activities). We also assessed its expectedness and its relationship to treatment as either "definitely related," "probably related," "unlikely related,"

or "not related." Finally, the outcome of each adverse event at study completion was assessed and reported as either "recovered," "resolved with sequelae," "ongoing," "death," "other," or "unknown."

## **Results**

We enrolled ten patients between March 2011 and May 2011, who all completed the study. Five patients had a laparoscopic inguinal hernia repair using the TAPP technique, and five had a laparoscopic umbilical hernia repair. Patient age ranged from 31 to 63 years (mean 48 years). All patients were white or Caucasian, four of whom were of Hispanic or Latino ethnicity.

# Feasibility of implantation

In all cases, the surgeon reported that the four XaraColl implants were easily implanted and properly positioned. No difficulties were encountered passing the implants through the laparoscope ports or with the implantation procedure itself. In most cases (nine out of ten patients), the implants were cut before implantation and positioned according to the type of hernia repair performed to cover all disrupted tissue. In eight out of ten patients, implants were affixed to the mesh either using a vicryl suture or by being threaded through the mesh tags.

# **Efficacy**

The pain-intensity scores recorded at each assessment time are summarized in Table 1, and the calculated SPI and TOpA results are presented in Table 2. Since this was not a controlled study, we compared values of TOpA and SPI with previously reported pooled data from two studies of men undergoing open inguinal hernioplasty who also had the

Table I Pain intensity over time

Hours	Visual analog scale score (mm)*					
post-time 0	Mean	SD	Median	Range		
I hour	48. I	19.0	48	17–80		
2 hours	45.6	23.3	42	11–87		
4 hours	34.0	25.6	29	4-94		
6 hours	31.2	21.7	29	0-83		
8 hours	26.9	18.5	27	0-59		
10 hours	36.7	14.2	40.5	10-63		
12 hours	40.7	13.3	40	24-71		
24 hours	28.6	14.8	28	6-59		
48 hours	20.2	11.3	18	7-43		
72 hours	14.4	14.5	9	0-48		

 $\label{Note: Pain intensity assessed using a 0-100 mm visual analog scale after aggravated movement (defined as cough).}$ 

Abbreviation: SD, standard deviation.

Table 2 Results for SPI and TOpA through 24, 48, and 72 hours

Time period	Mean SPI (mm•hour)			Mean TOpA (mg IV morphine eq)		
	Mean	SD	Range	Mean	SD	Range
24 hours	805	261	354–1233	19.3	15.4	2.0–58.0
48 hours	1390	503	519–2242	24.6	21.8	2.0-80.5
72 hours post-time 0	1749	764	615–3124	26.6	23.2	2.0-85.5

**Abbreviations:** IV, intravenous; eq, equivalents; SPI, summed pain intensity; TOpA, total use of opioid analgesia.

benefit of receiving XaraColl for postoperative analgesia.<sup>9</sup> After 24 hours, the mean TOpA for this laparoscopic study (19.3 mg) was very similar to the value from the pooled open hernioplasty studies (19.5 mg). The same was true for the mean SPI (805 mm·hour versus 883 mm·hour for the laparoscopic and open procedures, respectively). However, after 48 and 72 hours, XaraColl-treated patients in this laparoscopic study had taken less opioid analgesia and recorded lower pain intensity than the XaraColl-treated patients in the open studies. The mean TOpA was 26% and 38% lower in this laparoscopic study after 48 and 72 hours, respectively, and the corresponding SPI values were 17% and 25% lower.

# Safety

A total of 18 adverse events were reported by eight of the ten patients (Table 3). The most common events were itching, nausea, headache, and hypoxemia, with each being reported by two patients. All other adverse events were reported by only one patient. All adverse events were mild to moderate in severity, and all were assigned as either "unlikely related" or "not related" to study medication, as determined by the investigator. Only one serious adverse event was reported (sleep apnea), where the patient remained in the hospital overnight for monitoring. This condition was previously undiagnosed, but was not considered related to the study medication and resolved with sequelae. No deaths or discontinuations due to adverse events were reported.

#### Discussion

Hernia repair is the most common general surgical procedure performed in the United States, and it has recently been estimated that 42% of males will develop an inguinal hernia in their lifetime.<sup>12</sup> From studies comparing laparoscopic versus open repair of inguinal hernias, it is generally reported that laparoscopy offers the advantages of less postoperative pain and faster patient recovery, but at the

Table 3 All reported adverse events

Adverse events (mapped to MedDRA system organ class)	Incidence n (%)	
All adverse events	8 (80)	
Gastrointestinal disorders	4 (40)	
Nausea	2 (20)	
Constipation	I (I0)	
Diarrhea	I (I0)	
Vomiting	I (I0)	
General disorders and administration-site conditions	I (I0)	
Postoperative tremors	I (I0)	
Effusion at hernia site	I (I0)	
Nervous system disorders	3 (30)	
Headache	2 (20)	
Paresthesia	I (I0)	
Psychiatric disorders	I (I0)	
Anxiety	I (I0)	
Reproductive system and breast disorders	I (I0)	
Scrotal swelling	I (I0)	
Respiratory, thoracic, and mediastinal disorders	3 (30)	
Sleep apnea	I (I0)	
Hypoxemia	2 (20)	
Oropharyngeal pain	I (20)	
Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders	2 (20)	
Itching	2 (20)	

Abbreviation: MedDRA, Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities.

expense of a more complex and longer operation, and perhaps with increased risk of serious complications. However, other authors have argued that laparoscopic repair can be performed efficiently without major complications. Laparoscopic repair of umbilical and ventral hernias have demonstrated similar benefits over open surgery.

Although laparoscopic procedures are widely considered less painful than the corresponding open procedure, well-controlled studies have shown this difference to be relatively modest. For example, in a large randomized trial that compared laparoscopic versus open inguinal hernia repair involving more than 2000 patients at 14 centers, the difference in mean pain intensity at rest using a 150 mm visual analog scale was only 10.2 mm on the day of surgery and 6.2 mm after 2 weeks.<sup>21</sup> Similarly small differences were also reported during normal activities and patient perception of their worst pain.<sup>21</sup> In another prospective study comparing laparoscopic and open repair of ventral hernias, patients undergoing laparoscopic surgery had significantly lower pain scores at 72 hours postoperatively, but not at 24 or 48 hours.<sup>19</sup>

Since early hospital discharge is a primary goal of laparoscopic surgery, the importance of controlling immediate postoperative pain has been recognized<sup>22</sup> and multimodal analgesia recommended.<sup>23,24</sup> Infiltration of abdominal wounds and/or instillation of the extraperitoneal space with

bupivacaine have been investigated as possible methods for reducing postoperative pain following laparoscopic inguinal hernia repair in a number of randomized controlled trials. However, the results are contradictory, with some researchers reporting a significant benefit<sup>22,25</sup> and others concluding the opposite.<sup>26–28</sup> Similarly mixed results have been reported with use of intraperitoneal bupivacaine in laparoscopic cholecystectomy, with some<sup>29–32</sup> but by no means all<sup>33–35</sup> studies demonstrating an analgesic effect. Another study concluded a detectable, albeit subtle and transient benefit.<sup>36</sup> A recent systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials acknowledged this high level of clinical heterogeneity, but still concluded there was evidence to support intraperitoneal administration of local anesthetics in laparoscopic cholecystectomy.<sup>37</sup>

The characteristics of pain following laparoscopy are thought to differ considerably from those after laparotomy,<sup>33</sup> with visceral pain predominating after laparoscopic cholecystectomy.33,38 Nevertheless, the reason for the inconsistent analgesic outcome with use of intraperitoneal bupivacaine following laparoscopic surgery is not obvious. One possible explanation is differences related to administration technique, since studies in patients undergoing laparoscopic inguinal hernia repair have shown that the timing of the instillation can influence its effectiveness.31,32 In another authoritative review of surgical wound infiltration, the importance of using controlled and meticulous techniques has been strongly emphasized.<sup>39</sup> Other studies have suggested that a surgical hemostat comprised of oxidized regenerated cellulose and soaked with bupivacaine can control the visceral pain when placed in the gallbladder bed after laparoscopic cholecystectomy.38,40

We have recently reported on the safety and efficacy of XaraColl, a bupivacaine-collagen implant, for postoperative analgesia in patients undergoing open inguinal hernioplasty and open gynecological surgery. From the results obtained, we concluded that XaraColl implanted intraoperatively was able to target and control visceral pain more efficiently than continuous perfusion of the surgical wound with bupivacaine for 72 hours postoperatively. Hence, XaraColl may be of particular benefit for reducing the postoperative pain normally experienced by patients undergoing laparoscopic surgery.

The purpose of this study was to first determine whether it is feasible and potentially effective to treat laparoscopic patients with XaraColl as a precursor to conducting any randomized controlled trial. We considered ten patients to be adequate for this initial feasibility investigation and decided to include two types of laparoscopic hernia repair, inguinal and umbilical. For the inguinal repair, we allowed both TAPP and TEP approaches, which are considered comparable. 41,42 Surgeons reported that XaraColl was straightforward to implant in both the inguinal and umbilical repair procedures, thereby enabling the targeted release of bupivacaine at the major areas of tissue disruption and trauma.

Although our study was neither controlled nor powered to evaluate efficacy, we collected and analyzed pain scores and recorded patient use of opioid analgesia to compare with corresponding data obtained from earlier double-blind, randomized controlled trials that had demonstrated the efficacy of XaraColl for postoperative analgesia in men undergoing open hernioplasty. Our findings suggest that laparoscopic patients experience similar levels of postoperative pain to open surgical patients over the first 24 hours, but probably somewhat less pain thereafter through 48 and 72 hours. This finding is not unexpected based on the literature<sup>19</sup> and the extent of deep-tissue trauma caused by laparoscopic hernia repair. Most importantly, however, it supports the view that immediate postoperative pain control is particularly important for ambulatory patients undergoing laparoscopic surgery who are scheduled for early hospital discharge.

When considered alongside the previous efficacy studies and the published literature in general, our study suggests that XaraColl may provide postoperative analgesia in patients undergoing laparoscopic surgery. However, randomized controlled trials are needed to confirm this hypothesis.

## **Conclusion**

XaraColl, a bupivacaine-collagen implant under development for postoperative analgesia, can be safely implanted and appropriately positioned adjacent to the areas of tissue trauma in patients undergoing laparoscopic surgery. These patients tend to be discharged from the hospital on the day of surgery and so have particular need of postoperative pain control, especially in the first 24–48 hours. Randomized controlled trials are needed to further evaluate the efficacy of XaraColl for this application.

# Acknowledgment

We thank the staff at Kirby Surgical Center who enrolled patients in this study.

#### **Disclosure**

This study was funded in whole by Innocoll Technologies Ltd, and coordinated by Premier Research Group Ltd, who recruited and monitored the sites. HSM received research

Journal of Pain Research 2013:6 submit your manuscript | www.dovepress.com 83

funds from Innocoll Technologies. MK was involved in protocol development. SLC is a paid consultant for Innocoll Technologies. The authors report no other conflicts of interest in this work.

## References

- Roumm AR, Pizzi L, Goldfard NI, Cohn H. Minimally invasive: minimally reimbursed? An examination of six laparoscopic surgical procedures. Surg Innov. 2005;12(3):261–287. Erratum in Surg Innov. 2006;13(1):16.
- 2. Harrell AG, Heniford BT. Minimally invasive abdominal surgery: lux et veritas past, present, and future. *Am J Surg*. 2005;190(2):239–243.
- 3. Martel G, Boushey RP. Laparoscopic colon surgery: past, present and future. *Surg Clin North Am.* 2006;86(4):867–897.
- 4. Cullen KA, Hall J, Golosinskiy A. Ambulatory surgery in the United States, 2006. *Natl Health Stat Report*. 2009;11:1–28.
- Rawal N, Hylander J, Nydahl PA, Olofsson I, Gupta A. Survery of postoperative analgesia following ambulatory surgery. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand*. 1997;41(8):1017–1022.
- Beauregard L, Pomp A, Choinière M. Severity and impact of pain after day-surgery. Can J Anaesth. 1998;45(4):304–311.
- McGrath B, Elgendy H, Chung F, Kamming D, Curti B, King S. Thirty percent of patients have moderate to severe pain 24 hr after ambulatory surgery: a survey of 5,703 patients. *Can J Anaesth*. 2004;51(9): 886–891
- 8. Cusack S, Reginald P, Hemsen L, Umerah E. The pharmacokinetics and safety of an intraoperative bupivacaine-collagen implant (XaraColl\*) for postoperative analgesia in women following total abdominal hysterectomy. *J Pain Res.* In press 2013.
- Cusack S, Jaros M, Kuss M, Minkowitz H, Winkle P, Hemsen L. Clinical evaluation of a bupivacaine-collagen implant (XaraColl®) for postoperative analgesia from two multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled pilot studies. J Pain Res. 2012;5: 217–225.
- Cusack S, Jaros M, Kuss M, Minkowitz H, Hemsen L. A randomized, multicenter, pilot study comparing the efficacy and safety of a bupivacaine-collagen implant (XaraColl®) with the ON-Q PainBuster® post-op relief system following open gynecological surgery. J Pain Res. 2012;5:1–9.
- Gordon DB, Stevenson KK, Griffie J, Muchka S, Rapp C, Ford-Roberts K. Opioid equianalgesic calculations. J Palliat Med. 1999;2(2):209–218.
- Treadwell J, Tipton K, Oyesanmi O, Sun F, Schoelles K. Surgical options for inguinal hernia: comparative effectiveness review. Comparative Effectiveness Reviews, 2012;70.
- Johansson B, Hallerbäck B, Glise H, Anesten B, Smedberg S, Román J. Laparoscopic mesh versus open preperitoneal mesh versus conventional technique for inguinal hernia repair: a randomized multicenter trial (SCUR Hernia Repair Study). *Ann Surg.* 1999;230(2):225–231.
- McCormack K, Scott NW, Go PM, Ross S, Grant AM; EU Hernia Trialists Collaboration. Laparoscopic techniques versus open techniques for inguinal hernia repair. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2003;1: CD001785.
- Grant AM; EU Hernia Trialists Collaboration. Laparoscopic versus open groin hernia repair: meta-analysis of randomised trials based on individual patient data. *Hernia*. 2002;6(1):2–10.
- Winslow ER, Quasebarth M, Brunt LM. Perioperative outcomes and complications of open vs laparoscopic extraperitoneal inguinal hernia repair in a mature surgical practice. Surg Endosc. 2004;18(2): 221–227
- 17. Gonzalez R, Mason E, Duncan T, Wilson R, Ramshaw BJ. Laparoscopic versus open umbilical hernia repair. *JSLS*. 2003;7(4):323–328.
- Lau H, Patil NG. Umbilical hernia in adults. Surg Endosc. 2003;17(12): 2016–2020.
- Lomanto D, Iyer SG, Shabbir A, Cheah WK. Laparoscopic versus open ventral hernia mesh repair: a prospective study. *Surg Endosc*. 2006;20(7):1030–1035.

- Wright BE, Beckerman J, Cohen M, Cumming JK, Rodriguez JL. Is laparoscopic umbilical hernia repair with mesh a reasonable alternative to conventional repair? *Am J Surg.* 2002;184(6):505–508; discussion 508–509.
- Neumayer L, Giobbie-Hurder A, Jonasson O, et al. Open mesh versus laparoscopic mesh repair of inguinal hernia. N Engl J Med. 2004;29: 350(18):1819–1827.
- Bar-Dayan A, Natour M, Bar-Zakai B, Zmora O, et al. Preperitoneal bupivacaine attenuates pain following laparoscopic inguinal hernia repair. Surg Endosc. 2004;18(7):1079–1081.
- Chauvin M. State of the art of pain treatment following ambulatory surgery. Eur J Anaesthesiol Suppl. 2003;28:3–6.
- Elvir-Lazo OL, White PF. Postoperative pain management after ambulatory surgery: role of multimodal analgesia. *Anesthesiol Clin*. 2012;28(2):217–224.
- Hon SF, Poon CM, Leong HT, Tang YC. Pre-emptive infiltration of bupivacaine in laparoscopic total extraperitoneal hernioplasty: a randomized controlled trial. *Hernia*. 2009;13(1):53–56.
- Suvikapakornkul R, Valaivarangkul P, Noiwan P, Phansukphon T. A randomized controlled trial of preperitoneal bupivacaine instillation for reducing pain following laparoscopic inguinal herniorrhaphy. *Surg Innov*. 2009;16(2):117–1123.
- Saff GN, Marks RA, Kuroda M, Rozan JP, Hertz R. Analgesic effect of bupivacaine on extraperitoneal laparoscopic hernia repair. *Anesth Analg.* 1998;87(2):377–381.
- Abbas MH, Hamade A, Choudhry MN, Hamza N, Nadeem R, Ammori BJ. Infiltration of wounds and extraperitoneal space with local anesthetic in patients undergoing laparoscopic totally extraperitoneal repair of unilateral inguinal hernias: a randomized double-blind placebo-controlled trial. Scand J Surg. 2010;99(1):18–23.
- Enes H, Semir I, Sefik H, Husnija M, Goran I. Postoperative pain in open vs laparoscopic cholecystectomy with and without local application of anaesthetic. *Med Glas Ljek komore Zenicko-doboj* kantona. 2011;8(2):243–248.
- Mraović B, Jurisić T, Kogler-Majeric V, Sustic A. Intraperitoneal bupivacaine for analgesia after laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand*. 1997;41(2):193–196.
- Pasqualucci A, de Angelis V, Contardo R, et al. Preemptive analgesia: intraperitoneal local anesthetic in laparoscopic cholecystectomy. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *Anesthesiology*. 1996;85(1):11–20.
- Barczyński M, Konturek A, Herman RM. Superiority of preemptive analgesia with intraperitoneal instillation of bupivacaine before rather than after the creation of pneumoperitoneum for laparoscopic cholecystectomy: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. Surg Endosc. 2006;20(7):1088–1093.
- 33. Joris J, Thiry E, Paris P, Weerts J, Lamy M. Pain after laparoscopic cholecystectomy: characteristics and effect of intraperitoneal bupivacaine. *Anesth Analg.* 1995;81(2):379–384.
- Jiranantarat V, Rushatamukayanunt W, Lert-akyamanee N, et al. Analgesic effect of intraperitoneal instillation of bupivacaine for postoperative laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *J Med Assoc Thai*. 2002; 2085 Suppl 3:S897–S903.
- Zmora O, Stolik-Dollberg O, Bar-Zakai B, et al. Intraperitoneal bupivacaine does not attenuate pain following laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *JSLS*. 2000;4(4):301–304.
- Szem JW, Hydo L, Barie PS. A double-blinded evaluation of intraperitoneal bupivacaine vs saline for the reduction of postoperative pain and nausea after laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Surg Endosc. 1996;10(1):44–48.
- Kahokehr A, Sammour T, Soop M, Hill AG. Intraperitoneal use of local anesthetic in laparoscopic cholecystectomy: systematic review and metaanalysis of randomized controlled trials. *J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Sci.* 2010;17(5):637–656.
- Verma GR, Lyngdoh TS, Kaman L, Bala I. Placement of 0.5% bupivacaine-soaked Surgicel in the gallbladder bed is effective for pain after laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Surg Endosc. 2006;20(10): 1560–1564.

- Scott, NB. Wound infiltration for surgery. Anaesthesia. 2010;65 Suppl 1: 67–75
- Feroci F, Kröning KC, Scatizzi M. Effectiveness for pain after laparoscopic cholecystectomy of 0.5% bupivacaine-soaked Tabotamp placed in the gallbladder bed: a prospective, randomized, clinical trial. Surg Endosc. 2009;23(10):2214–2220.
- 41. Cohen RV, Alvarez G, Roll S, et al. Transabdominal or totally extraperitoneal laparoscopic hernia repair? *Surg Laparosc Endosc*. 1998;8(4):264–268.
- McCormack K, Wake, BL, Fraser C, Vale L, Perez J, Grant A. Transabdominal pre-peritoneal (TAPP) versus totally extraperitoneal (TEP) laparoscopic techniques for inguinal hernia repair: a systematic review. *Hernia*. 2005;9(2):109–114.

## Journal of Pain Research

## Publish your work in this journal

The Journal of Pain Research is an international, peer-reviewed, open access, online journal that welcomes laboratory and clinical findings in the fields of pain research and the prevention and management of pain. Original research, reviews, symposium reports, hypothesis formation and commentaries are all considered for publication.

Submit your manuscript here: http://www.dovepress.com/journal-of-pain-research-journal

**Dovepress** 

The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.