∂ Open Access Full Text Article

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Ultrasmall superparamagnetic iron oxide (USPIO)-based liposomes as magnetic resonance imaging probes

Daniela Frascione¹ Clemens Diwoky² Gunter Almer^{1,3} Peter Opriessnig² Caroline Vonach¹ Kerstin Gradauer¹ Gerd Leitinger⁴ Harald Mangge³ Rudolf Stollberger² Ruth Prassl^{1,5}

¹Institute of Biophysics and Nanosystems Research, Austrian Academy of Sciences, Graz, Austria; ²Institute of Medical Engineering, University of Technology, Graz, Austria; ³Clinical Institute for Medical and Chemical Laboratory Diagnosis (CIMCL), Medical University, Graz, Austria; ⁴Institute of Cell Biology, Histology and Embryology, Medical University, Graz, Austria; ⁵Ludwig Boltzmann Institute for Lung Vascular Research, Graz, Austria

Correspondence: Ruth Prassl Institute of Biophysics and Nanosystems Research, Austrian Academy of Sciences, Schmiedlstrasse 6, A-8042 Graz-Messendorf, Austria Tel +43 316 4120 305 Fax +43 316 4120 390 Email ruth.prassl@oeaw.ac.at **Background:** Magnetic liposomes (MLs) are phospholipid vesicles that encapsulate magnetic and/or paramagnetic nanoparticles. They are applied as contrast agents for magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). MLs have an advantage over free magnetic nanocores, in that various functional groups can be attached to the surface of liposomes for ligand-specific targeting. We have synthesized PEG-coated sterically-stabilized magnetic liposomes (sMLs) containing ultrasmall superparamagnetic iron oxides (USPIOs) with the aim of generating stable liposomal carriers equipped with a high payload of USPIOs for enhanced MRI contrast.

Methods: Regarding iron oxide nanoparticles, we have applied two different commercially available surface-coated USPIOs; sMLs synthesized and loaded with USPIOs were compared in terms of magnetization and colloidal stability. The average diameter size, morphology, phospholipid membrane fluidity, and the iron content of the sMLs were determined by dynamic light scattering (DLS), transmission electron microscopy (TEM), fluorescence polarization, and absorption spectroscopy, respectively. A colorimetric assay using potassium thiocyanate (KSCN) was performed to evaluate the encapsulation efficiency (EE%) to express the amount of iron enclosed into a liposome. Subsequently, MRI measurements were carried out in vitro in agarose gel phantoms to evaluate the signal enhancement on T1- and T2-weighted sequences of sMLs. To monitor the biodistribution and the clearance of the particles over time in vivo, sMLs were injected in wild type mice.

Results: DLS revealed a mean particle diameter of sMLs in the range between 100 and 200 nm, as confirmed by TEM. An effective iron oxide loading was achieved just for one type of USPIO, with an EE% between 74% and 92%, depending on the initial Fe concentration (being higher for lower amounts of Fe). MRI measurements demonstrated the applicability of these nano-structures as MRI probes.

Conclusion: Our results show that the development of sMLs is strictly dependent on the physicochemical characteristics of the nanocores. Once established, sMLs can be further modified to enable noninvasive targeted molecular imaging.

Keywords: magnetic liposomes, fluorescence polarization, biodistribution, MRI contrast agent

Introduction

Liposomes are vesicular systems that are formed when phospholipids are dispersed in an aqueous solution and self-assemble into one (unilamellar) or more (oligolamellar, multilamellar) concentric bilayers surrounding an aqueous core. For several years, liposomes have occupied the center of interest because of their multiple advantages.^{1,2} One is their capability of encapsulating polar drugs or contrast agents in their aqueous inner core. In particular, their biocompatibility, which is defined as the quality of

submit your manuscript | www.dovepress.com Dovepress http://dx.doi.org/10.2147/IJN.S30617 International Journal of Nanomedicine 2012:7 2349–2359

© 2012 Frascione et al, publisher and licensee Dove Medical Press Ltd. This is an Open Access article which permits unrestricted noncommercial use, provided the original work is properly cited.

having no toxic or injurious effects on biological systems, enables them to be utilized as carriers, either for therapeutics or diagnostics in vivo.³⁻⁶

In this study, the synthesis of liposomes that offer the opportunity for targeted, noninvasive diagnosis by magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) will be described. For this purpose, iron oxide nanoparticles can be encapsulated into phospholipid vesicles to develop liposomal contrast agents (ie, magnetic liposomes [MLs]).7-11 Here, we have focused our attention on ultrasmall superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles (USPIOs), which have a hydrodynamic diameter of less than 50 nm. In contrast to superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles (SPIOs; diameters between 70 and 150 nm), which are used as T2 contrast agents, USPIOs additionally show a T1 contrast when applied in moderate concentrations.¹²⁻¹⁴ More precisely, USPIOs affect the relaxivity of nearby water protons by decreasing their T1 and T2 relaxation times at nanomolar concentrations. This can be seen as increased signal intensity (hyperintense T1 effect) when applying a T1-weighted sequence, and as decreased signal intensity (hypointense T2 effect) when using T2-weighted sequences.^{15–17} USPIOs consist of a magnetic core that is usually coated by various materials (eg, citrate, dextran, or oleic acid) to improve the solubility and the stability of the nanoparticles in colloidal suspension.^{18,19} However, the coating is not always strongly retained by the core, and due to gravitational forces, USPIOs dispersed in an aqueous solution tend to aggregate and precipitate, resulting in a destabilization of the suspension.²⁰ Moreover, the lack of an efficient coating of the magnetic cores increases their susceptibility to aging effects - which can affect the surfaces via oxidation of magnetite (Fe₃O₄) and maghemite $(-Fe_2O_2)$ – resulting in a particle with completely different physicochemical and magnetic properties than expected.²¹ To overcome these problems, USPIOs can be captured into liposomes to protect them from both aggregation and oxidation phenomena. Even more importantly, if a high payload of iron is packed into a liposome, the resulting magnetic dipole moment will be markedly enhanced, and this will lead to a stronger MRI signal, relative to a single magnetic core.12,22 This particular feature makes MLs suitable MRI contrast agents for several biomedical applications.¹⁶ However, for in vivo applications, the circulation time of MLs in the bloodstream should be long enough to ameliorate target-ligand interaction. This goal can be achieved by steric stabilization of the liposomes (eg, by adding a poly-ethylene glycol [PEG]-based phospholipid to the lipid formulation). Lipid-anchored PEG stabilizes the liposome and prevents the rapid recognition and consequent uptake of MLs via the mononuclear phagocyte system.²³⁻²⁵ In addition,

functionalized derivatives of PEG-lipids can be used for the convenient coupling of targeting sequences to the surface of the liposomes to achieve specific cellular recognition.

Here, we have synthesized PEG-coated stealth magnetic liposomes (sMLs) by evaluating two different types of commercially available USPIOs. We have analyzed the chemical and physical characteristics of the sMLs, including iron oxide loading capacity, encapsulation efficiency, particle size, morphology, zeta potential, and the influence of the magnetic cores on phospholipid membrane fluidity. In addition, the magnetic properties of sMLs were investigated in vitro in agarose gel phantoms and in vivo in wild type mice, following the biodistribution and the clearance of the particles over time. Accordingly, the effects of the liposomal contrast agents on both negative and positive MRI signal enhancement were evaluated with the goal of establishing an optimal formulation for the synthesis of targeted, multifunctional nanoconstructs.

Material and methods Materials

The following lipids were used for the synthesis of sMLs: 1-Palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (POPC); 1,2-distearoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine-N-(methoxy [polyethylene glycol]-2000) (DSPE-Peg-2000); and cholesterol (CH) were purchased from Avanti Polar Lipids Inc (Alabaster, AL).

Iron oxides (Fe₂O₄) were used for encapsulation in sMLs; magnetite grains coated with a polar surfactant (EMG 1500) were purchased from FerroTec Corp (Tokyo, Japan), and dextran-coated USPIOs (Molday Ion, catalog no CL-30Q02-2) were acquired from BioPal, Biophysics Assay Laboratory Inc (Worcester, MA). A phospholipids assay kit was purchased from Rolf Greiner BioChemica GmbH (Flacht, Germany); potassium thiocyanate (KSCN) \geq 99%, per analysis was acquired from Carl Roth GmbH and Co (Karlsruhe, Germany); 1-(4-trimethylammoniumphenyl)-6phenyl-1,3,5-hexatriene p-toluenesulfonate (TMA-DPH) was purchased from from Invitrogen LifeTech (Carlsbad, CA); Agar-Agar (Kobe I) was obtained from Carl Roth GmbH and Co KG; and isofluorane was obtained from Abbott GmbH (Vienna, Austria). All other chemicals were of an analytical grade or of the best grade available.

Methods

Synthesis of magnetic liposomes

sMLs were prepared using a thin lipid film rehydration method. Lipids were dissolved in organic solvent (chloroform:methanol = 2:1 v/v) and mixed in a molar ratio of 3:2:0.15 = POPC:CH:DSPE-Peg-2000 in a round-bottom flask. The solution was evaporated until the point of dryness under a stream of nitrogen, and the dry lipid film was left in a vacuum chamber overnight. For iron oxide nanoparticles, we used either an aqueous colloidal suspension of dextran-coated USPIOs (Molday Ion from BioPal; hydrodynamic diameter of 30 nm; concentration of 10 mg Fe/mL in water) or dry polar-coated magnetite grains (EMG 1500 from FerroTec Corp; nominal particle diameter of 10 nm; no specifications for the coating material were provided by the manufacturer). As the latter particles were not water soluble, we prepared stock solutions containing 4.3 mg of Fe/mL in butanol.

In the case of Molday-Ion USPIOs, sMLs were prepared by rehydrating the lipid films (10 mg of phospholipids) with 1 mL of a HEPES buffer (20 mM of HEPES, 150 mM of NaCl; pH 7.4) containing different amounts of USPIOs, which corresponded to iron concentrations of 0.5, 1.0, 2.0, and 3.0 mg Fe/mL. During rehydration, the particles were held for 1 hour at 40°C and vortexed intermittently to resuspend the lipid film completely. Subsequently, five cycles of freeze and thaw treatment were performed to improve the encapsulation of the magnetic cores into liposomes. The size of the sMLs was adjusted by extrusion through 200 nm polycarbonate membrane filters (Millipore, Billerica, MA) using a Liposo-Fast pneumatic extruder (Avestin Inc, Ottawa, Canada). In the case of EMG-1500 USPIOs, the butanolic solution was added to the lipid film, dried under a stream of nitrogen, and resuspended with a HEPES buffer, as described above. Since EMG-1500 USPIOs stuck to the filter membrane, the extrusion process did not work correctly, and we had to apply a sonication technique to increase homogeneity. We used an AA Sonics Vibra-Cell Ultrasonicator (Sonics and Materials Inc, Newtown, CT), which we operated for 30 minutes at 130 Watts (30% amplitude with a pulse sequence of 30 seconds on and 2 seconds off). Control liposomes were prepared as described above, but hydration was performed with 1 mL of HEPESbuffered saline in the absence of magnetite.

Purification of stealth magnetic liposomes

Nonentrapped Molday-Ion USPIOs were separated by ultrafiltration. A volume of about 1 mL of sMLs was applied in a Vivaspin 6 tube (MWCO 1000kD, polyethersulfone membrane; Sartorius Stedim Biotech, Göttingen, Germany) and centrifuged at 4°C for 40 minutes at 6000 × g with a fixangle rotor, using a table centrifuge (Sigma 3 K18; Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO). Washing was performed with a HEPES buffer; EMG-1500-loaded sMLs were purified by centrifugation for 15 minutes at $1000 \times g$, and the supernatant was collected.

Chemical characterization of stealth magnetic liposomes Assay of magnetite

The amounts of iron were determined photometrically using KSCN as follows: Aliquots of 20 µL of liposomal solutions before and after purification, as well as from the filtrate containing the unloaded iron, were mixed with 5 µL of Triton X-100 [1% (v/v) in the final solution] to break the liposomes and release the USPIOs. A volume of 0.225 mL of concentrated HCl (37%) was then added to the samples to ionize the iron oxide crystal core and liberate the iron in its ferric state. The samples were incubated for a few minutes with 0.250 mL of a 40 mM KSCN aqueous solution. The product of the reaction between the anion (SCN) and the Fe³⁺ was a red colored complex – pentaaqua(thiocyanate-N) Fe(III), $[Fe(NCS)(H_2O)_5]^{2+}$ – whose absorbance (ABS) at 480 nm was read using a Hitachi model V-2000 double-beam UV-vis spectrophotometer (Tokyo, Japan). An aqueous solution of Fe₂O₄ in HCl:HEPES = 1:1 (v/v) was used to record a calibration curve.

Assay of phospholipids

The amount of POPC in sMLs was determined by an enzymatic colorimetric test, as described by the manufacturer (DiaSys Diagnostic Systems GmbH, Holzheim, Germany). Samples (10 μ L) – before and after centrifugation – were mixed with Triton X-100 and incubated with enzymatic reagents for 5 minutes at 37°C, and the ABS was read at 570 nm. The fractions containing the free USPIOs after purification were also analyzed to verify the absence of lipids in these specimens. The calibration curve was performed by measuring the ABS value of a phospholipid standard contained in the kit under the same conditions.

Determination of encapsulation efficiency (EE%)

This value designates the percentage of iron loaded into a liposome, and is given by the ratio between the iron concentration in magnetic liposomes before and after purification (EE% is expressed as g Fe/mol POPC \times 100).

Physical characterization of stealth magnetic liposomes

Particle size and zeta potential

The particle sizes of free USPIOs (EMG 1500 and Molday Ion), control liposomes, and sMLs were analyzed by DLS using a Zetasizer 3000HS (Malvern Instruments, Herrenburg, Germany). To check the stability of Molday-Ion sMLs, we also measured the sizes of the liposomes 1 week after sample preparation. The zeta potential of Molday-Ion USPIOs and Molday-Ion sMLs was measured in a 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid (HEPES) buffer using a Zetasizer Nano ZS (Malvern Instruments).

Particle visualization

Liposomes and USPIOs were visualized by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) using a Zeiss EM 902 transmission electron microscope (Oberkochen, Germany) at 80 kV acceleration voltage. Digital images were recovered with a Proscan slow scan charge-coupled device camera (IR1K, Moorenweis, Germany) at 1000 K × 1000 K resolution. To mark the liposomes, a single droplet negative staining technique was performed as follows: a drop of each specimen (10 μ L) was placed on a Pioloform-coated carbon evaporated copper grid (Plano GmbH, Wetzlar, Germany). After 1 minute, the excess sample was removed with filter paper and replaced by 10 μ L of staining solution consisting of 2% ammonium molybdate and 1% trehalose as a preservative (pH 7.4). Finally, the samples were allowed to air dry for TEM visualization with a magnification of ×30000.

Fluorescence polarization (FP)

TMA-DPH is a diphenylhexatriene (DPH) derivative containing a cationic trimethylammonium substituent. This fluorescent probe has the special property of being able to intercalate into the liposomal membrane, allowing the assessment of specific alterations in the fluidity of lipid assemblies.²⁶⁻²⁸

Here, we have compared sMLs to empty liposomes and empty liposomes just incubated with USPIOs, respectively. Additionally, we have investigated the impact of CH or PEG lipids on fluorescence polarization, using either POPC/DSPE-Peg-2000 = 3/0.15 (mol/mol) or POPC/CH = 3/2 (mol/mol)formulations (10 mg/mL phospholipids), both loaded and unloaded with magnetite. TMA-DPH was dissolved in ethanol (10 mM) and further diluted with a HEPES buffer to give a concentration of 200 µM. TMA-DPH stock was added to the prediluted liposomal solutions (600 μ M) to achieve a final fluorophore concentration in the quartz cuvette of $2 \,\mu$ M. The samples were then enveloped in aluminum foil, incubated at room temperature for 30 minutes, and accurately vortexed in the absence of direct light. Fluorescent measurements were carried out at 25°C using a Fluoromax-3 spectrofluorometer (Jobin Yvon, Horiba, Germany) at excitation/emission

wavelengths set to 340/425 nm and 1.4 nm excitation and emission slit widths. The equation applied to calculate the anisotropy (<r>) of the system, which is a measure of molecular mobility, was as follows:

$$< r > = I_{VV} - I_{VH}/I_{VV} + 2I_{VH}$$

where I_{VV} is the vertically polarized excitation component and indicates the position of the excitation polarizer, while I_{VH} corresponds to the vertical and horizontal emission components and denotes the emission polarizer location. The intensity data were corrected by subtracting the contribution given by the scattering of blank samples without a fluorophore in the bilayer.

Magnetic resonance imaging in vitro measurements

MRI was performed with a Magnetom Tim Trio 3T machine (Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) using an 8-CH multipurpose coil (NORAS MRI products GmbH, Höchberg, Germany).

The saturation magnetizations of sMLs (0.8 mg POPC/mL) and free USPIOs – applied at the same iron concentrations as the sMLs – were measured using a susceptometry method, as described by Bowen et al.²⁹

For representative T1- and T2-weighted images, freshly prepared Molday-Ion sMLs and EMG-1500 sMLs were embedded in uniform gel suspensions (1% w/w agarose, 1.2 mg POPC/mL) and placed into a spherical gel phantom in order to avoid interference produced by macroscopic B0 inhomogeneities.

With the strong T2 and restrictions and the shortest possible echo time in mind, we used an inversion recovery Rapid Acquisition with Relaxation Enhancement to show the T1 effect. T1-weighted images were acquired with an inversion time of TI = 50 ms, FOV = 70×100 mm, matrix = 180×256 , slice thickness = 5 mm, TR = 5000 ms, TE = 11 ms, TF = 5, BW/pixel: 349 Hz, NSA = 1. T2-weighted images were acquired with a CPMG pulse sequence with echo times of TE = 13/26/39/52/65 ms, FOV = 81×100 , matrix = 166×256 , slice thickness = 5 mm, TR = 2000 ms, BW/pixel: 425 Hz, NSA = 1. In order to better visualize the differences in T2 enhancement and to avoid complete signal loss, the first echo was chosen.

Animal model and ethics

All animal experiments were carried out in accordance with the approvals of the Ministry of Science and Research, Austria. Nine wild type mice of random sex (Medical University of Vienna, Austria) that weighed between 29.3 g and 33.7 g

were used in the biodistribution studies: three sham mice were used as control, while the other six were separated into groups of three, either for the injection of Molday-Ion sMLs (between 170 µL and 190 µL, corresponding to 50 µmol Fe/ kg body weight) or free USPIOs (equivalent in iron content to the sMLs). Before injection into the retro-orbital sinus with a 27 gauge needle, the mice were placed in an induction chamber and narcotized with 5% (v/v) isofluorane (ISO), 1.5 bar/oxygen till gasping. Eight days after injection, mice were sacrificed via an ISO overdose; then, about 250 µL of total blood was collected by cardiac puncture, and the circulation was immediately rinsed with phosphate buffer saline PBS (pH 7.4) for 15 minutes by heart perfusion. The organs (liver and kidneys) were accurately removed, fixed for 30 minutes in 4% (v/v) paraformaldehyde in PBS (pH 7.4), rinsed with PBS, shock-frozen, and stored at -80°C for further analysis.

Inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry

Blood samples and weighed portions of liver and kidneys underwent microwave-assisted digestion in an acidic mixture (3 mL of HNO₂, 1 mL of HCl, 2 mL of water) until complete decomposition, using an Anton Paar Multiwave 3000 system (Anton Paar GmbH, Graz, Austria). The iron content was subsequently determined via inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES) with a Spectro Ciros Vision Optical emission spectrometer instrument (Spectro GmbH, Kleve, Germany) at 1400 W RF power; 12.5 L/min Argon (Ar) outer gas flow; 0.6 L/min Ar intermediate gas flow; 0.86 L/min nebulizer gas flow; scot type spray chamber; and cross-flow nebulizer. The instrument was calibrated with iron solutions ranging from 0 mg Fe/L to 5.0 mg Fe/L, and Scandium (Sc) was employed as an internal standard measurement criterion for four replicates at 24 s integration; Fe (II) 238,204 nm was used.

Magnetic resonance imaging in vivo analysis

For the distribution study, the relative changes in the relaxation times of muscle, liver, and kidneys were quantified by quantitative relaxation time analysis after injection of either Molday-Ion sMLs (n = 3) or free Molday-Ion USPIOs (n = 3) at a dose of 50 µmol Fe/kg body weight. Measurements were acquired at different time points (0 minutes, 5 minutes, 5 hours, 12 hours, 24 hours, and 7 days post injection).

In vivo T1 and T2* relaxation maps were acquired on the same system that was applied for the in vitro phantom studies, using the 8-CH multipurpose coil for high signal-to-noise

ratio-whole body coverage of the mice. For the T1 measurement, an inversion-recovery turbo-spin-echo pulse sequence was used: FOV = 40×80 mm, matrix = 64×128 , slice thickness = 1.5 mm, TR = 5000 ms, TE = 9.5 ms, TF = 7, BW/pixel: 349 Hz, TI = 50/200/800/2000 ms, NSA = 3.72^* was acquired with a 2D multi-echo gradient-echo sequence of 4 echoes, with the following parameters: echo spacing of 5.5 ms, first echo = 3.9 ms, FOV = 40×80 , matrix = 96×192 , slice thickness = 1.5 mm, TR = 261 ms, BW/pixel: 491 Hz, NSA = 20.

Statistical analysis

Values were expressed as mean \pm standard deviation (SD). Student's *t*-test was performed to identify significant differences between samples, and *P* values ≤ 0.01 were assumed to be statistically significant. All tests were performed using the statistical and process management software MINITAB (v13.0; State College, PA).

Results and discussion Stealth magnetic liposome purification and encapsulation efficiency

Immediately after extrusion/sonication, nonenclosed Molday-Ion and EMG-1500 USPIOs were removed by ultrafiltration or centrifugation, respectively. Figure 1A illustrates the aggregation and sedimentation behavior observed for EMG-1500 USPIOs in colloidal suspensions of sMLs. In contrast, Molday-Ion USPIOs were perfectly dispersed in an aqueous solution without showing any aggregation or separation from the liposomal phase after incorporation. A brownish homogenous dispersion of purified Molday-Ion sMLs was obtained (Figure 1B).

Once sMLs were recovered and free of contaminated external magnetite, their iron content was determined by a colorimetric test using KSCN, and encapsulation efficiency



Figure I Pictures of (A) sonicated liposomes loaded with polar-coated EMG-1500 USPIOs and (B) extruded sMLs encapsulating dextran-coated Molday-Ion USPIOs. Note: Photographs were taken a few hours after purification, and samples were kept at room temperature.

Abbreviation: USPIOs, ultrasmall superparamagnetic iron oxides.

Initial iron concentration for sML synthesis (mg Fe/mL)	Iron concentration of sMLs before purification (g Fe/mol POPC)	Iron concentration of sMLs after purification (g Fe/mol POPC)	Encapsulation efficiency* (%)	
0.5	57.4 ± 2.6	53.I ± 3.8	92 ± 3.3	
1.0	119.3 ± 8.9	89.6 ± 4.6	75 ± 1.8	
2.0	247.4 ± 19.6	$\textbf{205.7} \pm \textbf{22.1}$	83 ± 10.4	
3.0	343.1 ± 46.0	252.6 ± 38.4	74 ± 4.9	

Notes: *Percentage of encapsulation efficiency was calculated from the ratio of the iron concentration in sMLs before and after purification (EE% expressed as g Fe/mol POPC \times 100). Values (±SD) are the mean of three experimental evaluations.

Abbreviations: EE%, encapsulation efficiency; POPC, 1-Palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine; sMLs, stealth magnetic liposomes.

was calculated. The corresponding data are summarized in Table 1.

For Molday-Ion sMLs, we found that the EE% decreased with increasing quantities of USPIOs that were used for loading. Additionally, we observed the highest encapsulation efficiency of 92% for the sample with the lowest initial iron concentration of 0.5 mg Fe/mL. This is in agreement with the results obtained by Sabaté et al,¹⁰ who also showed that the EE% is inversely proportional to the initially applied iron content. However, even for the sample with the highest initial iron concentration (3 mg Fe/mL), an EE% above 70% was achieved. Regardless of this fact, the absolute amount of iron that could be incorporated into liposomes was higher using higher initial amounts of USPIOs. This finding is in accordance with results reported by Skouras et al.³⁰ Further increases in iron concentration (above 3 mg Fe/mL) did not improve the absolute yield (data not shown).

Additionally, we tested the stability of Molday-Ion USPIO sMLs 1 week after preparing and measuring the EE%. We found EE% values of 42%, 72%, 63%, and 65% for samples having initial iron concentrations of 0.5, 1.0, 2.0, and 3.0, respectively, indicating that the USPIOs become released over time.

Effective encapsulation was also confirmed by zeta potential measurements. Free Molday-Ion USPIOs showed a surface charge potential of $-6.84 \text{ mV} \pm 0.007 \text{ mV}$, which changed to $-4.41 \text{ mV} \pm 0.007 \text{ mV}$ for Molday-Ion sMLs. This value was very similar to that of empty liposomes, which had a potential of $-4.12 \text{ mV} \pm 1.10 \text{ mV}$.

Varying results were obtained for EMG-1500 sMLs, depending on the amount of nonentrapped iron after centrifugation. This value was extremely high, and only very low encapsulation efficiencies of 3% and 18% were accomplished using initial iron concentrations of 3.0 and 0.5 mg Fe/mL, respectively. Nevertheless, the highest EE% was again achieved for the lowest initial quantity of iron used.

The deviating behavior of the two commercially available USPIOs, with respect to encapsulation, could be due to

differences in the structure of the crystal bulk. Different methods of synthesis, reaction conditions, or a different Fe²⁺:Fe³⁺ ratio can generate variable magnetic cores of various sizes that contain one or more iron oxides.³¹ Moreover, the type, arrangement, and efficiency of the coating material on the iron oxide nanocores could modulate not only the magnetic properties and the relaxivity values of the nanoparticles, but also their stability and solubility properties, thereby influencing entrapment efficacy.

Diameter and morphology of ultrasmall superparamagnetic iron oxides and stealth magnetic liposomes

DLS experiments revealed an average hydrodynamic diameter for the dextran-coated Molday-Ion USPIOs of 23.8 nm \pm 0.4 nm and a polydispersity index (PDI) of 0.20 \pm 0.01 (n = 3). These values are in line with data provided by the manufacturer. Extruded Molday-Ion-loaded sMLs and empty control liposomes exhibited mean hydrodynamic diameters between 160 nm and 170 nm (PDI = 0.10 \pm 0.01). Notably, the average diameter size of sMLs was not significantly influenced by increasing the initial amount of USPIOs, and the particle size remained constant for at least 1 week of storage at room temperature.

In contrast, the size distribution observed for the polarcoated EMG-1500 USPIOs was very broad. The EMG-1500 USPIOs were highly heterogeneous in colloidal suspension and revealed diameters ranging from 100 nm to 1000 nm with a PDI value of 0.8 ± 0.2 . This size – in terms of inhomogeneity – is most probably the reason why EMG-1500 USPIOs could not be sufficiently incorporated into liposomes. After sonication, EMG-1500-loaded sMLs revealed a hydrodynamic diameter between 100 nm and 130 nm (PDI = 0.1 ± 0.005). A similar size distribution was found for sonicated empty liposomes that we used as the control.

To visualize USPIOs, we used TEM (Figure 2); with TEM, we could also observe the iron oxide grains (dark spots), which were tightly packed within Molday-Ion-loaded sMLs, whereas the liposomes were observed as closed quasi-spherical struc-



Figure 2 TEM micrographs of (A) dextran-coated Molday-Ion USPIOs (1.7 mg Fe/mL) in an aqueous buffer directly observed under the microscope; (B) sMLs loaded with Molday-Ion USPIOs at a final iron concentration of 1.7 mg Fe/mL (after extrusion and purification); and (C) extruded control liposomes without magnetite. Abbreviations: sMLs, stealth magnetic liposomes; TEM, transmission electron microscope; USPIOs, ultrasmall superparamagnetic iron oxides.

tures, most of which had a diameter of less than 200 nm. Due to the poor performance of EMG-1500-loaded liposomes, they were not further investigated by TEM.

Fluorescence polarization

To investigate whether the incorporation of Molday-Ion USPIOs in liposomes has any influence on phospholipid bilayer properties, we performed anisotropy measurements to monitor lipid membrane fluidity.

To this end, the fluorescence polarization of the amphiphilic fluorophore TMA-DPH - which preferentially locates close to the polar head group region of the lipid bilayer and probes the water-lipid interface - was recorded. We found a significant increase in the $\langle r \rangle$ values from 0.241 ± 0.003 to 0.275 ± 0.010 (P < 0.01) by comparing empty liposomes with purified sMLs that contained a final iron concentration of 1.7 mg/mL. In contrast, incubation of preformed empty liposomes with Molday-Ion USPIOs with the same iron concentration of 1.7 mg/mL did not show a notable shift of the anisotropy value ($< r > = 0.248 \pm 0.002$), compared to empty control liposomes. These data suggest that USPIOs primarily interact with the lipid membrane when they are entrapped into the liposomes. One possible explanation for this behavior is that high concentrations of USPIOs are confined to a small volume of water inside the liposomes and most probably interact with the hydrophilic phospholipid head groups in the inner leaflet of the bilayer, causing rigidification of the bilayer itself. Further evidence in support of this notion comes from experiments on formulations without CH or PEG lipids. As expected, these formulations were more fluid than our lead formulation that contained both DSPE-Peg-2000 and CH, but again, the anisotropy value increased upon the incorporation of USPIOs (see Table 2). In contrast, when dextran-coated USPIOs are freely dispersed in water, they have no impact on lipid membranes.

Magnetic resonance imaging in vitro and in vivo

In vitro measurements revealed that the magnetization curves determined for free USPIOs and Molday-Ion sMLs have similar shapes (Figure 3). In this diagram, the values for saturation magnetization are reported in emu/cm³, as a function of the Fe concentration (mg/mL). The similar behavior of the magnetization curves demonstrates that the superparamagnetic properties of the iron oxide nanoparticles are preserved after encapsulation in liposomes.

Next, we compared the positive and negative signal enhancement – visualized on T1- and T2-weighted images in agarose gel phantoms – which contained either Molday-Ion or EMG-1500 USPIO-loaded liposomes.

For this purpose, we used sMLs that were freshly prepared at the same lipid concentration, but with increasing amounts of iron. Due to the different encapsulation efficiencies, the actual concentration of iron in sMLs was lower, especially for the EMG-1500 sMLs. These discrepancies in iron concentrations

Table 2 Anisotropy values (<r>) of empty and Molday-Ionloaded sMLs of different lipid compositions

Liposome	Lipid molar	C _{Fe} in sMLs	Anisotropy	
formulation	ratio (mol/mol)	(mg/mL)		
POPC/CH/Peg	3/2/0.15	-	0.241 ± 0.003	
POPC/CH/	3/2/0.15	1.7	$0.275^{*} \pm 0.013$	
Peg/Fe				
POPC/CH/	3/2/0.15	1.7	0.248** ± 0.002	
Peg + Fe				
POPC/Peg	3/0.15	_	0.184 ± 0.010	
POPC/Peg/Fe	3/0.15	1.7	$0.202^{*} \pm 0.004$	
POPC/CH	3/2	-	$\textbf{0.203} \pm \textbf{0.003}$	
POPC/CH/Fe	3/2	1.0	$0.258^{*} \pm 0.009$	

Notes: Anisotropy values are presented as mean \pm SD of three experiments. *In all cases, sMLs showed a significantly increased anisotropy compared to the corresponding empty formulation (P < 0.01). **Subsequent incubation with free USPIOs caused no significant increase in anisotropy (P > 0.01).

Abbreviations: sMLs, stealth magnetic liposomes; POPC, 1-Palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine; CH, cholesterol; Peg, polyethylene glycol; $C_{\rm Fe^{\rm t}}$ iron concentration.



Figure 3 Saturation magnetization values (emu/cm³) of Molday-Ion sMLs compared to free Molday-Ion USPIOs, as a function of the Fe concentration (mg/mL). Note: The points on the diagram represent the mean value of three experiments (±SD).

Abbreviations: sMLs, stealth magnetic liposomes; USPIOs, ultrasmall superparamagnetic iron oxides.

were clearly visible in both T1 and T2 scans, as shown in Figure 4. The signal intensities of the MR scans correlated very well with the actual iron concentrations. For Molday-Ion USPIO sMLs, we found that the higher the iron loading, the better the contrast enhancement; the signal recorded

from the EMG-1500 sMLs was always low due to inefficient encapsulation and low effective iron concentration.

Based on the in vitro results, Molday-Ion-loaded sMLs were chosen as MRI probes for in vivo experiments, in which we studied the biodistribution of sMLs relative to free USPIO



sMLs Phantom	Molday Ion-/ EMG 1500-sMLs	Molday lon-sMLs	EMG 1500-sMLs
preparations	initial C _{Fe} (mg/mL)	final C _{Fe} (mg/mL)	final C _{Fe} (mg/mL)
1	0.5	0.4	0.09
2	1.0	0.8	0.13
3	2.0	1.7	0.15
4	3.0	2.7	0.08

Figure 4 T2-weighted image (A) and T1-weighted scan (B) of either Molday-Ion sMLs (upper part in panels A and B) or EMG-1500sMLs (lower part in panels A and B) in 1% agarose gel phantoms.

Notes: The final C_{r_e} (mg/mL) values correspond to the actual concentrations used for the MRI measurements shown here. Agarose gel and empty liposome are the control samples used as a reference.

Abbreviations: sMLs, stealth magnetic liposome; C_{F4}, iron concentration; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; agar, agarose gel; EL, empty liposome; ctrl, control.



Figure 5 Behavior of the kidneys, liver, and muscle.

Notes: Panels (A-I and B-I) display the signal behavior of the kidneys; panels (A-II and B-II) display the signal behavior of the liver; and panels (A-III and B-III) display the signal behavior of the muscle over time.

Abbreviations: sMLs, steath magnetic liposomes; USPIOs, ultrasmall superparamagnetic iron oxides.

nanoparticles. T1 and T2* organ maps were recorded in different tissues after injection of either of the sMLs (Figure 5, panel A I-III) or free USPIOs (Figure 5, panel B I-III) in wild type mice. The images qualitatively illustrated the signal behavior of kidneys, liver, and muscle scanned before and at different time points after sample administration; sMLs showed a biodistribution similar to free Molday-Ion USPIOs. Both induced a contrast enhancement in the kidneys and liver, lasting for at least 5 hours. This similarity in in vivo turnover rates could partially be explained by the surface characteristics of dextran-coated USPIO colloids and sMLs, both having a polymer around the core – ie, dextran in the case of USPIOs and a PEG coat in case of sMLs. The surface coating is very important in the way of prolonging the circulation time of



Figure 6 Relative changes in relaxation times (qT1, qT2*) of muscle, liver, and kidneys after injection of clinical doses of 50 µmol Fe/Kg body weight in wild type mice. Notes: Signal changes were acquired at different time points (0 minutes, 5 minutes, 5 hours, 12 hours, 24 hours, 7 days) after administration of sMLs (**A** and **C**) and Moldaylon USPIOs (**B** and **D**), respectively. A strong signal reduction was observed in liver and kidneys for both sMLs and USPIOs 5 minutes after sample administration. No visible change was measured in the muscles.

Abbreviations: sMLs, stealth magnetic liposomes; USPIOs, ultrasmall superparamagnetic iron oxides; h, hours; d, days.



Figure 7 Quantification of iron in liver, kidneys, and blood by ICP-OES of control mice (n = 3) and injected mice 8 days after administration of sMLs and Molday-Ion USPIOs (n = 3 for each).

Note: The values are means \pm SD.

Abbreviations: sMLs, stealth magnetic liposomes; USPIOs, ultrasmall superparamagnetic iron oxides, SD, standard deviation.

nanoparticles in the blood, thus evading the immune system more efficiently.^{23–25}

Next, we determined the relative changes in T1 and T2* relaxation times via quantitative analysis. These results are reported in Figure 6, where the relative organ signal intensities (SI) are expressed as T1 post/T1 pre and T2* post/T2* pre ratios, and the accumulation behavior of either of the sMLs (a, c) or free USPIOs (b, d) are shown.

Strong signal reduction was measured for sMLs and USPIOs in liver and kidneys on both T1 and T2* sequences, and 1 week later, the signal went back to the baseline level, which corresponds to the value before sample injection. In the liver, 5 minutes after injection, we found percentage reductions of 55% and 48% in the T1 relaxation time for sMLs and free iron oxides, respectively. After 24 hours, these values were equivalent to 30% and 20% reductions in T1. Also, with respect to T2* relaxation time, high reductions of 80% and 90% were achieved in the liver 5 minutes after injection of either sMLs or free Molday-Ion USPIOs, and these values were still pronounced 24 hours later (74% for sMLs and 70% for free USPIOs). No signal reduction was detected in the muscles, indicating that the particles do not penetrate the vessel wall, and this gives the advantage of using the muscles as reference regions of signal normalization in semi-quantitative analysis.

After 7 days, sMLs and free USPIOs were completely cleared by the circulation system, as well as the kidneys, as confirmed by ICP-OES quantification (Figure 7). However, a higher accumulation of iron was detected in the livers of animals, which were treated with sMLs instead of free USPIOs. Taken together, our long-term MRI investigations revealed that free USPIOs and sMLs display a similar temporal tissue distribution. Both preferentially accumulated in the liver, whereas sMLs seemed to get cleared more slowly. Here, it has to be mentioned that with MRI imaging and ICP-OES quantification, we cannot distinguish between intact USPIO-loaded sMLs and USPIO nanoparticles released from liposomes. Although, from our in vitro experiments, we know that more than 75% of USPIOs remain in the sMLs upon storage for 1 week; the situation in vivo will certainly be different.

Conclusion

The purpose of our study was to synthesize and characterize sMLs with adequate properties for MRI using commercially available USPIOs. We could demonstrate that not all types of USPIOs are suitable for encapsulation in liposomes. We found that a maximum threshold concentration of USPIOs could be encapsulated into unilamellar liposomes with a mean particle diameter of less than 200 nm. We could also show that this USPIO concentration is sufficient to produce a pronounced signal enhancement in MRI in vitro and in vivo. Taken together, we have established a robust, sterically stabilized ML formulation with efficient MRI contrast properties for functional imaging. Currently, our studies are directed toward the targeting potential of such sMLs to enable their application in diagnostic medicine, with a specific focus on cardiovascular diseases, such as atherosclerosis.

Acknowledgments/disclosure

We greatly appreciate the help of Dr Helmar Wiltsche (University of Technology, Department of Analytical Chemistry, Graz, Austria) for the ICP-OES measurements.

This work was supported by the Austrian Nano-Initiative, which cofinanced this study as part of the NanoHealth Project, along with the Austrian Research Promotion Agency, as well as the government of Styria (HTI-Call, project identifier LIPOCEST). The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- 1. Lasic DD. The mechanism of vesicle formation. *Biochem J.* 1988;256(1):1–11.
- Torchilin VP. Liposomes as delivery agents for medical imaging. *Mol Med Today*. 1996;2(6):242–249.
- 3. Williams DF. *Williams Dictionary of Biomaterials*. Liverpool: University Press; 1999.
- 4. Torchilin V. Multifunctional and stimuli-sensitive pharmaceutical nanocarriers. *Eur J Pharm Biopharm*. 2009;71(3):431–444.
- Mulder WJ, Strijkers GJ, van Tilborg GA, Griffioen AW, Nicolay K. Lipid-based nanoparticles for contrast-enhanced MRI and molecular imaging. *NMR Biomed*. 2006;19(1):142–164.
- Kelly C, Jefferies C, Cryan SA. Targeted liposomal drug delivery to monocytes and macrophages. J Drug Deliv. 2011;2011:727241.
- De Cuyper M, Joniau M. Magnetoliposomes. Formation and structural characterization. *Eur Biophys J.* 1988;15(5):311–319.
- Martina MS, Fortin JP, Menager C, Clement O, Barratt G, Grabielle-Madelmont C, et al. Generation of superparamagnetic liposomes revealed as highly efficient MRI contrast agents for in vivo imaging. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2005;127(30):10676–10685.
- Plassat V, Martina MS, Barratt G, Menager C, Lesieur S. Sterically stabilized superparamagnetic liposomes for MR imaging and cancer therapy: pharmacokinetics and biodistribution. *Int J Pharm.* 2007; 344(1–2):118–127.
- Sabaté R, Barnadas-Rodriguez R, Callejas-Fernandez J, Hidalgo-Alvarez R, Estelrich J. Preparation and characterization of extruded magnetoliposomes. *Int J Pharm.* 2008;347(1–2):156–162.
- Viroonchatapan E, Sato H, Ueno M, Adachi I, Tazawa K, Horikoshi I. Magnetic targeting of thermosensitive magnetoliposomes to mouse livers in an in situ on-line perfusion system. *Life Sci.* 1996;58(24): 2251–2261.
- Soenen SJ, Hodenius M, De Cuyper M. Magnetoliposomes: versatile innovative nanocolloids for use in biotechnology and biomedicine. *Nanomedicine (Lond)*. 2009;4(2):177–191.
- Gossuin Y, Gillis P, Hocq A, Vuong QL, Roch A. Magnetic resonance relaxation properties of superparamagnetic particles. *Wiley Interdiscip Rev Nanomed Nanobiotechnol*. 2009;1(3):299–310.
- Park JY, Daksha P, Lee GH, Woo S, Chang Y. Highly water-dispersible PEG surface modified ultra small superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles useful for target-specific biomedical applications. *Nanotechnology*. 2008;19(36):365–603.
- Wu W, Chen B, Cheng J, Wang J, Xu W, Liu L, et al. Biocompatibility of Fe3O4/DNR magnetic nanoparticles in the treatment of hematologic malignancies. *Int J Nanomedicine*. 2010;5:1079–1084.
- Di Marco M, Sadun C, Port M, Guilbert I, Couvreur P, Dubernet C. Physicochemical characterization of ultrasmall superparamagnetic iron oxide particles (USPIO) for biomedical application as MRI contrast agents. *Int J Nanomedicine*. 2007;2(4):609–622.
- Gupta AK, Gupta M. Synthesis and surface engineering of iron oxide nanoparticles for biomedical applications. *Biomaterials*. 2005;26(18): 3995–4021.

- Elias A, Tsourkas A. Imaging circulating cells and lymphoid tissues with iron oxide nanoparticles. *Hematology Am Soc Hematol Educ Program*. 2009:720–726.
- Gimi B, Pathak AP, Ackerstaff E, Glunde K, Artemov D, Bhujwalla ZM. Molecular imaging of cancer: applications of magnetic resonance methods. *Proc IEEE Inst Electr Electron Eng.* 2005;93(4): 784–799.
- Amstad E, Zurcher S, Mashaghi A, Wong JY, Textor M, Reimhult E. Surface functionalization of single superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles for targeted magnetic resonance imaging. *Small.* 2009;5(11): 1334–1342.
- Plaza RC, Arias JL, Espin M, Jimenez ML, Delgado AV. Aging effects in the electrokinetics of colloidal iron oxides. *J Colloid Interface Sci*. 2002;245(1):86–90.
- Mulder WJ, Strijkers GJ, Griffioen AW, van Bloois L, Molema G, Storm G, et al. A liposomal system for contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging of molecular targets. *Bioconjug Chem.* 2004;15(4): 799–806.
- Uster PS, Allen TM, Daniel BE, Mendez CJ, Newman MS, Zhu GZ. Insertion of poly(ethylene glycol) derivatized phospholipid into preformed liposomes results in prolonged in vivo circulation time. *FEBS Lett.* 1996;386(2–3):243–246.
- Klibanov AL, Maruyama K, Torchilin VP, Huang L. Amphipathic polyethyleneglycols effectively prolong the circulation time of liposomes. *FEBS Lett.* 1990;268(1):235–237.
- Edwards K, Johnson M, Karlsson G, Silvander M. Effect of polyethyle neglycol-phospholipids on aggregate structure in preparations of small unilamellar liposomes. *Biophys J.* 1997;73:258–266.
- Dandamudi S, Campbell RB. Development and characterization of magnetic cationic liposomes for targeting tumor microvasculature. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 2007;1768(3):427–438.
- Dandamudi S, Campbell RB. The drug loading, cytotoxicty and tumor vascular targeting characteristics of magnetite in magnetic drug targeting. *Biomaterials*. 2007;28(31):4673–4683.
- Borenstain V, Barenholz Y. Characterization of liposomes and other lipid assemblies by multiprobe fluorescence polarization. *Chem Phys Lipids*. 1993;64(1–3):117–127.
- 29. Bowen CV, Zhang X, Saab G, Gareau PJ, Rutt BK. Application of the static dephasing regime theory to superparamagnetic iron-oxide loaded cells. *Magn Reson Med.* 2002;48(1):52–61.
- Skouras A, Mourtas S, Markoutsa E, De Goltstein MC, Wallon C, Catoen S, et al. Magnetoliposomes with high USPIO entrapping efficiency, stability and magnetic properties. *Nanomedicine*. 2011;7(5): 572–579.
- Weissleder R, Bogdanov AA Jr, Neuwelt EA, Papisov M. Long-circulating iron oxides for MR imaging. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev.* 1995;16:321–334.

International Journal of Nanomedicine

Publish your work in this journal

The International Journal of Nanomedicine is an international, peerreviewed journal focusing on the application of nanotechnology in diagnostics, therapeutics, and drug delivery systems throughout the biomedical field. This journal is indexed on PubMed Central, MedLine, CAS, SciSearch®, Current Contents®/Clinical Medicine, Journal Citation Reports/Science Edition, EMBase, Scopus and the Elsevier Bibliographic databases. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/ testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: http://www.dovepress.com/international-journal-of-nanomedicine-journal

Dovepress